

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, Elizabeth Adam, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am employed by Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, the Court appointed claims and noticing agent for the Debtors in the above-captioned cases.

On January 2, 2008, I caused to be served the documents listed below (i) upon the parties listed on Exhibit A hereto via overnight mail, (ii) upon the parties listed on Exhibit B hereto via electronic notification and (iii) upon the parties listed on Exhibit C hereto via postage pre-paid U.S. mail:

- 1) Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 3139 (James Hutz, Jr.) (Docket No. 11617) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D]
- 2) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 11602 And 11603 (Freudenberg NOK Inc And Freudenberg NOK General Partnership) (Docket No. 11619) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit E]
- 3) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 2433 (Akebono Corporation) (Docket No. 11637) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F]
- 4) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Nos. 1472 And 2274 (Sherwin Williams) (Docket No. 11638) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G]
- 5) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 2420, 2422, 4536, 5761, 6752, 6354, 9272, 16633, And 16724 (State Of Michigan, Department Of Treasury) ("Statement Of Disputed Issues - State

Of Michigan, Department Of Treasury (Use Taxes)") (Docket No. 11639) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit H]

- 6) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 15679 And 15681 (Fry's Metals, Inc., A Cookson Electronics Company) (Docket No. 11640) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit I]

On January 2, 2008, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit J hereto via overnight mail:

- 7) Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 3139 (James Hutz, Jr.) (Docket No. 11617) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D]

On January 2, 2008, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit K hereto via overnight mail:

- 8) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 11602 And 11603 (Freudenberg NOK Inc And Freudenberg NOK General Partnership) (Docket No. 11619) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit E]

On January 2, 2008, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit L hereto via overnight mail:

- 9) Debtors' Supplemental Reply To The Responses Of Lightsource Parent Corporation And Guide Corporation To The Debtors' Objections To Proofs Of Claim Nos. 14070 And 14245 ("Supplemental Reply - Lightsource Parent Corporation And Guide Corporation") [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit M]

On January 2, 2008, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the party listed on Exhibit N hereto via overnight mail:

- 10) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 2433 (Akebono Corporation) (Docket No. 11637) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F]

On January 2, 2008, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit O hereto via overnight mail:

- 11) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Nos. 1472 And 2274 (Sherwin Williams) (Docket No. 11638) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G]

On January 2, 2008, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the party listed on Exhibit P hereto via overnight mail:

- 12) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 2420, 2422, 4536, 5761, 6752, 6354, 9272, 16633, And 16724 (State Of Michigan, Department Of Treasury) ("Statement Of Disputed Issues - State Of Michigan, Department Of Treasury (Use Taxes)") (Docket No. 11639) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit H]

On January 2, 2008, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit Q hereto via overnight mail:

- 13) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 15679 And 15681 (Fry's Metals, Inc., A Cookson Electronics Company) (Docket No. 11640) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit I]

On January 2, 2008, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit R hereto via overnight mail:

- 14) Debtors' Supplemental Reply With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 16612 (Metalforming Technologies, Inc.) (Docket No. 11641) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit S]

On January 2, 2008, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit T hereto via overnight mail:

- 15) Debtors' Supplemental Reply With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 10770 (Intesys Technologies, Inc.) (Docket No. 11642) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit U]

Dated: February 21, 2008

/s/ Elizabeth Adam

Elizabeth Adam

State of California
County of Los Angeles

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 21st day of February, 2008, by Elizabeth Adam, proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.

Signature: /s/ Leanne V. Rehder

Commission Expires: 3/2/08

EXHIBIT A

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP	Robert J. Stark	Seven Times Square		New York	NY	10036	212-209-4800	212-2094801	rstark@brownrudnick.com	Indenture Trustee
Cohen, Weiss & Simon	Bruce Simon	330 W. 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036	212-356-0231	212-695-5436	bsimon@cwsny.com	
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	Steven J. Reisman	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061	2126966000	2126971559	sreisman@cm-p.com	Counsel to Flextronics International, Inc.; Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd
Davis, Polk & Wardwell	Donald Bernstein Brian Resnick	450 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-450-4092 212-450-4213	212-450-3092 212-450-3213	donald.bernstein@dpw.com brian.resnick@dpw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Postpetition Administrative Agent
Delphi Corporation	Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	248-813-2491	sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.i.craft@delphi.com	Debtors
Electronic Data Systems Corp.	Michael Nefkens	5505 Corporate Drive MSIA		Troy	MI	48098	248-696-1729	248-696-1739	mike.nefkens@eds.com	Creditor Committee Member
Flextronics International	Carrie L. Schiff	305 Interlocken Parkway		Broomfield	CO	80021	303-927-4853	303-652-4716	cschiff@flextronics.com	Counsel to Flextronics International
Flextronics International USA, Inc.	Paul W. Anderson	2090 Fortune Drive		San Jose	CA	95131	408-428-1308		paul.anderson@flextronics.com	Counsel to Flextronics International USA, Inc.
Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.	Richard Lee Chambers, III	6501 William Cannon Drive West	MD: OE16	Austin	TX	78735	512-895-6357	512-895-3090	trey.chambers@freescale.com	Creditor Committee Member
Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson	Brad Eric Sheler Bonnie Steingart Vivek Melwani Jennifer L Rodburg Richard J Slivinski	One New York Plaza		New York	NY	10004	212-859-8000	212-859-4000	rodbuige@ffhsj.com sliviri@ffhsj.com	Counsel to Equity Security Holders Committee
FTI Consulting, Inc.	Randall S. Eisenberg	3 Times Square	11th Floor	New York	NY	10036	212-2471010	212-841-9350	randall.eisenberg@fticonsulting.com	Financial Advisors to Debtors
General Electric Company	Valerie Venable	9930 Kinsey Avenue		Huntersville	NC	28078	704-992-5075	866-585-2386	valerie.venable@ge.com	Creditor Committee Member
Groom Law Group	Lonie A. Hassel	1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW		Washington	DC	20006	202-857-0620	202-659-4503	lhassel@groom.com	Counsel to Employee Benefits
Hodgson Russ LLP	Stephen H. Gross	1540 Broadway	24th Fl	New York	NY	10036	212-751-4300	212-751-0928	sgross@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel to Hexcel Corporation
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Frank L. Gorman, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	313-465-8000	fgorman@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Robert B. Weiss, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	313-465-8000	rweiss@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Internal Revenue Service	Attn: Insolvency Department	477 Michigan Ave	Mail Stop 15	Detroit	MI	48226	313-628-3648	313-628-3602		Michigan IRS
Internal Revenue Service	Attn: Insolvency Department, Maria Valerio	290 Broadway	5th Floor	New York	NY	10007	212-436-1038	212-436-1931	mariaivalerio@irs.gov	IRS
IUE-CWA	Conference Board Chairman	2360 W. Dorothy Lane	Suite 201	Dayton	OH	45439	937-294-7813	937-294-9164		Creditor Committee Member
Jefferies & Company, Inc.	William Q. Derrough	520 Madison Avenue	12th Floor	New York	NY	10022	212-284-2521	212-284-2470	bderrough@jefferies.com	UCC Professional
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Richard Duker	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-5484	212-270-4016	richard.duker@jpmorgan.com	Prepetition Administrative Agent
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Susan Atkins, Gianni Russello	277 Park Ave 8th Fl		New York	NY	10172	212-270-0426	212-270-0430	gianni.russello@jpmorgan.com susan.atkins@jpmorgan.com	Postpetition Administrative Agent
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Gordon Z. Novod	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036	212-715-9100	212-715-8000	gnovod@kramerlevin.com	Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Thomas Moers Mayer	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036	212-715-9100	212-715-8000	tmayer@kramerlevin.com	Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC
Kurtzman Carson Consultants	Sheryl Betance	2335 Alaska Ave		El Segundo	CA	90245	310-823-9000	310-823-9133	sbetance@kcccllc.com	Noticing and Claims Agent
Latham & Watkins LLP	Robert J. Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-906-1370	212-751-4864	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	Counsel to Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
Law Debenture Trust of New York	Daniel R. Fisher	400 Madison Ave	Fourth Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-750-6474	212-750-1361	daniel.fisher@lawdeb.com	Indenture Trustee
Law Debenture Trust of New York	Patrick J. Healy	400 Madison Ave	Fourth Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-750-6474	212-750-1361	patrick.healy@lawdeb.com	Indenture Trustee
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	David D. Cleary	227 West Monroe Street	Suite 5400	Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	dcleary@mwe.com	Counsel to Recticel North America, Inc.
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Jason J. DeJonker	227 West Monroe Street	Suite 5400	Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	jdejonker@mwe.com	Counsel to Recticel North America, Inc.
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Mohsin N. Khambati	227 West Monroe Street	Suite 5400	Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	mkhambati@mwe.com	Counsel to Recticel North America, Inc.
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Peter A. Clark	227 West Monroe Street	Suite 5400	Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	pclark@mwe.com	Counsel to Recticel North America, Inc.
McTigue Law Firm	Cornish F. Hitchcock	5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W.	Suite 350	Washington	DC	20015	202-364-6900	202-364-9960	conh@mctiquelaw.com	Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees
McTigue Law Firm	J. Brian McTigue	5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W.	Suite 350	Washington	DC	20015	202-364-6900	202-364-9960	bmctigue@mctiquelaw.com	Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees
Mesirow Financial	Leon Szlezinger	666 Third Ave	21st Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-808-8366	212-682-5015	lszlezinger@mesirrowfinancial.com	UCC Professional
Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy LLP	Gregory A Bray Esq Thomas R Kreller Esq James E Till Esq	601 South Figueroa Street	30th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90017	213-892-4000	213-629-5063	gbray@milbank.com tkreller@milbank.com jtill@milbank.com	Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management LP and Dolce Investments LLC
Morrison Cohen LLP	Joseph T. Moldovan, Esq.	909 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	2127358603	9175223103	jmoldovan@morrisoncohen.com	Counsel to Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan
Northeast Regional Office	Mark Schonfeld, Regional Director	3 World Financial Center	Room 4300	New York	NY	10281	212-336-1100	212-336-1323	newyork@sec.gov	Securities and Exchange Commission
Office of New York State	Attorney General Eliot Spitzer	120 Broadway		New York City	NY	10271	212-416-8000	212-416-6075	william.dornbos@oag.state.ny.us	New York Attorney General's Office
O'Melveny & Myers LLP	Robert Siegel	400 South Hope Street		Los Angeles	CA	90071	213-430-6000	213-430-6407	rsiegel@omm.com	Special Labor Counsel
O'Melveny & Myers LLP	Tom A. Jerman, Rachel Janger	1625 Eye Street, NW		Washington	DC	20006	202-383-5300	202-383-5414	tjerman@omm.com	Special Labor Counsel
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Jeffrey Cohen	1200 K Street, N.W.	Suite 340	Washington	DC	20005	202-326-4020	202-326-4112	garrick.sandra@pbqc.gov efile@pbqc.gov	Counsel to Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Ralph L. Landy	1200 K Street, N.W.	Suite 340	Washington	DC	20005-4026	2023264020	2023264112	landy.ralph@pbqc.gov	Chief Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Phillips Nizer LLP	Sandra A. Riemer	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103	212-841-0589	212-262-5152	sriemer@phillipsnizer.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems
Rothchild Inc.	David L. Resnick	1251 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020	212-403-3500	212-403-5454	david.resnick@us.rothschild.com	Financial Advisor
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Robert W. Dremluk	620 Eighth Ave		New York	NY	10018-1405	212-218-5500	212-218-5526	rdremluk@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Shearman & Sterling LLP	Douglas Bartner, Jill Frizzley	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-8484000	212-848-7179	dbartner@shearman.com jfrizzley@shearman.com	Local Counsel to the Debtors
Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP	Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H. Trust, William T. Russell, Jr.	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-455-2000	212-455-2502	kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com wrussell@stblaw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Prepetition Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons, Ron E. Meisler	333 W. Wacker Dr.	Suite 2100	Chicago	IL	60606	312-407-0700	312-407-0411	jbutler@skadden.com jlyonsch@skadden.com rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J. Matz	4 Times Square	P.O. Box 300	New York	NY	10036	212-735-3000	212-735-2000	kmarafio@skadden.com tmatz@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP	Daniel D. Doyle	1 North Brentwood Boulevard	Tenth Floor	St. Louis	MO	63105	314-863-7733	314-862-4656	ddoyle@spencerfane.com	Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP	Nicholas Franke	1 North Brentwood Boulevard	Tenth Floor	St. Louis	MO	63105	314-863-7733	314-862-4656	nfranke@spencerfane.com	Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees
Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Chester B. Salomon, Constantine D. Pourakis	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022	2123198500	2123198505	cp@stevenslee.com cs@stevenslee.com	Counsel to Wamco, Inc.
Togut, Segal & Segal LLP	Albert Togut	One Penn Plaza	Suite 3335	New York	NY	10119	212-594-5000	212-967-4258	altogut@teamtogut.com	Conflicts Counsel to the Debtors
Tyco Electronics Corporation	MaryAnn Brereton, Assistant General Counsel	60 Columbia Road		Morristown	NJ	7960	973-656-8365	973-656-8805		Creditor Committee Member
United States Trustee	Alicia M. Leonhard	33 Whitehall Street	21st Floor	New York	NY	10004-2112	212-510-0500	212-668-2255 does not take service via fax		Counsel to United States Trustee
Warner Stevens, L.L.P.	Michael D. Warner	1700 City Center Tower II	301 Commerce Street	Fort Worth	TX	76102	817-810-5250	817-810-5255	mwarner@warnerstevens.com	Proposed Conflicts Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Harvey R. Miller	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8500	212-310-8077	harvey.miller@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Jeffrey L. Tanenbaum, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	jeff.tanenbaum@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Martin J. Bienenstock, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	martin.bienenstock@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Michael P. Kessler, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	michael.kessler@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Wilmington Trust Company	Steven M. Cimalore	Rodney Square North	1100 North Market Street	Wilmington	DE	19890	302-636-6058	302-636-4143	scimalore@wilmingtontrust.com	Creditor Committee Member/Indenture Trustee

EXHIBIT B

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP	Robert J. Stark	Seven Times Square		New York	NY	10036	212-209-4800	212-2094801	rstark@brownrudnick.com	Indenture Trustee
Cohen, Weiss & Simon	Bruce Simon	330 W. 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036	212-356-0231	212-695-5436	bsimon@cwsny.com	
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	Steven J. Reisman	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061	2126966000	2126971559	sreisman@cm-p.com	Counsel to Flextronics International, Inc., Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd
Davis, Polk & Wardwell	Donald Bernstein Brian Resnick	450 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-450-4092 212-450-4213	212-450-3092 212-450-3213	donald.bernstein@dpw.com brian.resnick@dpw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Postpetition Administrative Agent
Delphi Corporation	Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	248-813-2491	sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.j.craft@delphi.com	Debtors
Electronic Data Systems Corp.	Michael Nefkens	5505 Corporate Drive MSIA		Troy	MI	48098	248-696-1729	248-696-1739	mike.nefkens@eds.com	Creditor Committee Member
Flextronics International	Carrie L. Schiff	305 Interlocken Parkway		Broomfield	CO	80021	303-927-4853	303-652-4716	cschiff@flextronics.com	Counsel to Flextronics International
Flextronics International USA, Inc.	Paul W. Anderson	2090 Fortune Drive		San Jose	CA	95131	408-428-1308		paul.anderson@flextronics.com	Counsel to Flextronics International USA, Inc.
Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.	Richard Lee Chambers, III	6501 William Cannon Drive West	MD: OE16	Austin	TX	78735	512-895-6357	512-895-3090	trey.chambers@freescale.com	Creditor Committee Member
Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson	Brad Eric Sheler Bonnie Steingart Vivek Melwani Jennifer L Rodburg Richard J Slivinski	One New York Plaza		New York	NY	10004	212-859-8000	212-859-4000	rodbuie@ffhsj.com sliviri@ffhsj.com randall.eisenberg@fticonsulting.com	Counsel to Equity Security Holders Committee
FTI Consulting, Inc.	Randall S. Eisenberg	3 Times Square	11th Floor	New York	NY	10036	212-2471010	212-841-9350	valerie.venable@ge.com	Financial Advisors to Debtors
General Electric Company	Valerie Venable	9930 Kinsey Avenue 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW		Huntersville	NC	28078	704-992-5075	866-585-2386	valerie.venable@ge.com	Creditor Committee Member
Groom Law Group	Lonie A. Hassel	1540 Broadway		Washington	DC	20006	202-857-0620	202-659-4503	lhassel@groom.com	Counsel to Employee Benefits
Hodgson Russ LLP	Stephen H. Gross	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	New York	NY	10036	212-751-4300	212-751-0928	sgross@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel to Hexcel Corporation
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Frank L. Gorman, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	313-465-8000	fgorman@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Robert B. Weiss, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	313-465-8000	rweiss@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Jefferies & Company, Inc.	William Q. Derrough	520 Madison Avenue	12th Floor	New York	NY	10022	212-284-2521	212-284-2470	bderrough@jefferies.com	UCC Professional
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Richard Duker	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-5484	212-270-4016	richard.duker@jpmorgan.com	Prepetition Administrative Agent
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Susan Atkins, Gianni Russello	277 Park Ave 8th Fl		New York	NY	10172	212-270-0426	212-270-0430	susan.atkins@jpmorgan.com	Postpetition Administrative Agent
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Gordon Z. Novod	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036	212-715-9100	212-715-8000	gnovod@kramerlevin.com	Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Thomas Moers Mayer	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036	212-715-9100	212-715-8000	tmayer@kramerlevin.com	Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC
Kurtzman Carson Consultants	Sheryl Betance	2335 Alaska Ave		El Segundo	CA	90245	310-823-9000	310-823-9133	sbetance@kccllc.com	Noticing and Claims Agent
Latham & Watkins LLP	Robert J. Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-906-1370	212-751-4864	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	Counsel to Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Law Debenture Trust of New York	Daniel R. Fisher	400 Madison Ave	Fourth Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-750-6474	212-750-1361	daniel.fisher@lawdeb.com	Indenture Trustee
Law Debenture Trust of New York	Patrick J. Healy	400 Madison Ave	Fourth Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-750-6474	212-750-1361	patrick.healy@lawdeb.com	Indenture Trustee
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Jason J. DeJonker	227 West Monroe Street	Suite 5400	Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	jdejonker@mwe.com	Counsel to Recticel North America, Inc.
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Peter A. Clark	227 West Monroe Street	Suite 5400	Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	pclark@mwe.com	Counsel to Recticel North America, Inc.
McTigue Law Firm	Cornish F. Hitchcock	5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W.	Suite 350	Washington	DC	20015	202-364-6900	202-364-9960	conh@mctiquelaw.com	Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees
McTigue Law Firm	J. Brian McTigue	5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W.	Suite 350	Washington	DC	20015	202-364-6900	202-364-9960	bmctigue@mctiquelaw.com	Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees
Mesirow Financial	Leon Szlezinger	666 Third Ave	21st Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-808-8366	212-682-5015	lszlezinger@mesirrowfinancial.com	UCC Professional
Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy LLP	Gregory A Bray Esq Thomas R Kreller Esq James E Till Esq	601 South Figueroa Street	30th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90017	213-892-4000	213-629-5063	gbray@milbank.com tkreller@milbank.com jtill@milbank.com	Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management LP and Dolce Investments LLC
Morrison Cohen LLP	Joseph T. Moldovan, Esq.	909 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	2127358603	9175223103	jmoldovan@morrisoncohen.com	Counsel to Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan
Northeast Regional Office	Mark Schonfeld, Regional Director	3 World Financial Center	Room 4300	New York	NY	10281	212-336-1100	212-336-1323	newyork@sec.gov	Securities and Exchange Commission
Office of New York State	Attorney General Eliot Spitzer	120 Broadway		New York City	NY	10271	212-416-8000	212-416-6075	william.dornbos@oag.state.ny.us	New York Attorney General's Office
O'Melveny & Myers LLP	Robert Siegel	400 South Hope Street		Los Angeles	CA	90071	213-430-6000	213-430-6407	rsiegel@omm.com	Special Labor Counsel
O'Melveny & Myers LLP	Tom A. Jerman, Rachel Janger	1625 Eye Street, NW		Washington	DC	20006	202-383-5300	202-383-5414	tjerman@omm.com	Special Labor Counsel
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Jeffrey Cohen	1200 K Street, N.W.	Suite 340	Washington	DC	20005	202-326-4020	202-326-4112	efile@pbgc.gov	Counsel to Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Ralph L. Landy	1200 K Street, N.W.	Suite 340	Washington	DC	20005-4026	2023264020	2023264112	landy.ralph@pbgc.gov	Chief Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Phillips Nizer LLP	Sandra A. Riemer	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103	212-841-0589	212-262-5152	sriemer@phillipsnizer.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems
Rothchild Inc.	David L. Resnick	1251 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020	212-403-3500	212-403-5454	david.resnick@us.rothschild.com	Financial Advisor
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Robert W. Dremluk	620 Eighth Ave		New York	NY	10018-1405	212-218-5500	212-218-5526	rdremluk@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Shearman & Sterling LLP	Douglas Bartner, Jill Frizzley	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-8484000	212-848-7179	dbartner@shearman.com jfrizzley@shearman.com	Local Counsel to the Debtors
Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP	Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H. Trust, William T. Russell, Jr.	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-455-2000	212-455-2502	kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com wrussell@stblaw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Prepetition Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons, Ron E. Meisler	333 W. Wacker Dr.	Suite 2100	Chicago	IL	60606	312-407-0700	312-407-0411	jbutler@skadden.com jlyonsch@skadden.com rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J. Matz	4 Times Square	P.O. Box 300	New York	NY	10036	212-735-3000	212-735-2000	kmarafio@skadden.com tmatz@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP	Daniel D. Doyle	1 North Brentwood Boulevard	Tenth Floor	St. Louis	MO	63105	314-863-7733	314-862-4656	ddoyle@spencerfane.com	Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP	Nicholas Franke	1 North Brentwood Boulevard	Tenth Floor	St. Louis	MO	63105	314-863-7733	314-862-4656	nfranke@spencerfane.com	Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees
Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Chester B. Salomon, Constantine D. Pourakis	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022	2123198500	2123198505	cp@stevenslee.com cs@stevenslee.com	Counsel to Wamco, Inc.
Togut, Segal & Segal LLP	Albert Togut	One Penn Plaza	Suite 3335	New York	NY	10119	212-594-5000	212-967-4258	altogut@teamtogut.com	Conflicts Counsel to the Debtors
Warner Stevens, L.L.P.	Michael D. Warner	1700 City Center Tower II	301 Commerce Street	Fort Worth	TX	76102	817-810-5250	817-810-5255	mwarner@warnerstevens.com	Proposed Conflicts Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Harvey R. Miller	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8500	212-310-8077	harvey.miller@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Jeffrey L. Tanenbaum, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	jeff.tanenbaum@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Martin J. Bienenstock, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	martin.bienenstock@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Michael P. Kessler, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	michael.kessler@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Wilmington Trust Company	Steven M. Cimalore	Rodney Square North	1100 North Market Street	Wilmington	DE	19890	302-636-6058	302-636-4143	scimalore@wilmingtontrust.com	Creditor Committee Member/Indenture Trustee

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Adalberto Cañadas Castillo		Avda Ramon de Carranza	10-1º	Cadiz		11006	Spain	34 956 226 311		adalberto@canadas.com	Representative to DASE
Adler Pollock & Sheehan PC	Joseph Avanzato	One Citizens Plz 8th Fl		Providence	RI	02903		401-274-7200	401-751-0604	javanzato@apslaw.com	Attorneys for Fry's Metals Inc. and Specialty Coatings Systems Eft
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	David M Dunn	1333 New Hampshire Ave NW		Washington	DC	20036		202-887-4000	202-887-4288	ddunn@akingump.com	Counsel to TAI Unsecured Creditors Liquidating Trust
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	Ira S Dizengoff	590 Madison Ave		New York	NY	10022-2524		212-872-1000	212-872-1002	jdizengoff@akingump.com	Counsel to TAI Unsecured Creditors Liquidating Trust
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	Peter J. Gurfein	2029 Centure Park East	Suite 2400	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-552-6696	310-229-1001	pgurfein@akingump.com	Counsel to Wamco, Inc.
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP	Michael S. Greger	1900 Main Street	Fifth Floor	Irvine	CA	92614-7321		949-553-1313	949-553-8354	mgreger@allenmatkins.com	Counsel to Kilroy Realty, L.P.
Alston & Bird, LLP	Craig E. Freeman	90 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10016		212-210-9400	212-922-3891	craig.freeman@alston.com	Counsel to Cadence Innovation, LLC
Alston & Bird, LLP	Dennis J. Connolly; David A. Wender	1201 West Peachtree Street		Atlanta	GA	30309		404-881-7269	404-253-8554	dconnolly@alston.com dwender@alston.com	Counsel to Cadence Innovation, LLC, PD George Co, Furukawa Electric Companay, Ltd., and Furukawa Electric North America APD, Inc.
Ambrake Corporation	Brandon J. Kessinger	300 Ring Road		Elizabethtown	KY	42701		270-234-5428	270-737-3044	bkessinger@akebono-usa.com	Representative for Ambrake Corporation
American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.	Steven R. Keyes	One Dauch Drive, Mail Code 6E-2-42		Detroit	MI	48243		313-758-4868		steven.keyes@aam.com	Representative for American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.
Andrews Kurth LLP	Gogi Malik	1717 Main Street	Suite 3700	Dallas	TX	75201		214-659-4400	214-659-4401	gogimalik@andrewskurth.com	Counsel to ITW Mortgage Investments IV, Inc.
Andrews Kurth LLP	Monica S. Blacker	1717 Main Street	Suite 3700	Dallas	TX	75201		214-659-4400	214-659-4401	mblacker@andrewskurth.com	Counsel to ITW Mortgage Investments IV, Inc.
Anglin, Flewelling, Rasmussen, Campbell & Trytten, LLP	Mark T. Flewelling	199 South Los Robles Avenue	Suite 600	Pasadena	CA	91101-2459		626-535-1900	626-577-7764	mtf@afrc.com	Counsel to Stanley Electric Sales of America, Inc.
Anthony Ostlund & Baer PA	John B Orenstein	3600 Wells Fargo Ctr	90 S 7th St	Minneapolis	MN	55402		612-349-6969	612-349-6996	jorenstein@aoblaw.com	Attorneys for Whitebox Hedged High Yield Partners, LP
Arent Fox PLLC	Mitchell D. Cohen	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-484-3900	212-484-3990	Cohen.Mitchell@arentfox.com	Counsel to Pullman Bank and Trust Company
Arent Fox PLLC	Robert M. Hirsh	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-484-3900	212-484-3990	Hirsh.Robert@arentfox.com	Counsel to Pullman Bank and Trust Company
Arnall Golden Gregory LLP	Darryl S. Laddin	171 17th Street NW	Suite 2100	Atlanta	GA	30363-1031		404-873-8120	404-873-8121	dladdin@agg.com	Counsel to Daishinku (America) Corp. d/b/a KDS America ("Daishinku"), SBC Telecommunications, Inc. (SBC)
Arnold & Porter LLP	Joel M. Gross	555 Twelfth Street, N.W.		Washington	D.C.	20004-1206		202-942-5000	202-942-5999	joel_gross@aporter.com	Counsel to CSX Transportation, Inc.
ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.	Carl Galloway	250 Royal Oak Road		Cambridge	Ontario	N3H 4R6	Canada	519-653-4483	519-650-6520	cgalloway@atsautomation.com	Company
Balch & Bingham LLP	Eric T. Ray	PO Box 306		Birmingham	AL	35201		205-251-8100	205-226-8799	eray@balch.com	Attorney for Alabama Power Company
Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP	Kimberly J. Robinson	200 W Madison St Ste 3900		Chicago	IL	60606		312-984-3100	312-984-3150	kim.robinson@bfkn.com	Counsel to Motion Industries, Inc., EIS, Inc. and Johnson Industries, Inc.
Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP	William J. Barrett	200 W Madison St Ste 3900		Chicago	IL	60606		312-984-3100	312-984-3150	william.barrett@bfkn.com	Counsel to Motion Industries, Inc., EIS, Inc. and Johnson Industries, Inc.
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Alan K. Mills	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	317-231-7433	alan.mills@btlaw.com	Counsel to Mays Chemical Company
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	John T. Gregg	300 Ottawa Avenue, NW	Suite 500	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-742-3930	626-742-3999	john.gregg@btlaw.com	Counsel to Priority Health; Clarion Corporation of America

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Mark R. Owens	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	317-231-7433	mark.owens@btlaw.com	Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Michael K. McCrory	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	317-231-7433	michael.mccrory@btlaw.com	Counsel to Gibbs Die Casting Corporation; Clarion Corporation of America
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Patrick E. Mears	300 Ottawa Avenue, NW	Suite 500	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-742-3936	616-742-3999	pmears@btlaw.com	Counsel to Armada Rubber Manufacturing Company, Bank of America Leasing & Leasing & Capital, LLC, & AutoCam Corporation
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Wendy D. Brewer	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	317-231-7433	wendy.brewer@btlaw.com	Counsel to Gibbs Die Casting Corporation
Bartlett Hackett Feinberg P.C.	Frank F. McGinn	155 Federal Street	9th Floor	Boston	MA	02110		617-422-0200	617-422-0383	ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com	Counsel to Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc.
Beeman Law Office	Thomas M Beeman	33 West 10th Street	Suite 200	Anderson	IN	46016		765-640-1330	765-640-1332	tom@beemanlawoffice.com	Counsel to Madison County (Indiana) Treasurer
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	Hannah E. Greenwald	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-554-1411	2125541444	hannah@blbglaw.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforfs ABP
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	John P. Coffey	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-554-1409	2125541444	sean@blbglaw.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforfs ABP
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	Wallace A. Showman	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-554-1429	212-554-1444	wallace@blbglaw.com	Counsel to SANLUIS Rassini International, Inc.; Rassini, S.A. de C.V.
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Kenneth T. Law, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	650-494-2738	klaw@bbslaw.com	Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc..
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Lawrence M. Schwab, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	650-494-2738	lschwab@bbslaw.com	Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc.; Soletron Corporation; Soletron De Mexico SA de CV; Soletron Invtrotronics; Coherent, Inc.; Veritas Software Corporation
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Patrick M. Costello, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	650-494-2738	pcostello@bbslaw.com	Soletron Corporation; Soletron de Mexico SA de CV; Soletron Invtrotronics and Coherent, Inc.
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Thomas M. Gaa	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	650-494-2738	tgaa@bbslaw.com	Counsel to Veritas Software Corporation
Bingham McHale LLP	John E Taylor Whitney L Mosby	10 West Market Street	Suite 2700	Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-635-8900	317-236-9907	jtaylor@binghammchale.com wmosby@binghammchale.com	Counsel to Universal Tool & Engineering co., Inc. and M.G. Corporation
Blank Rome LLP	Marc E. Richards	The Chrysler Building	405 Lexington Avenue	New York	NY	10174		212-885-5000	212-885-5002	mrichards@blankrome.com	Counsel to DENSO International America, Inc.
Bodman LLP	Ralph E. McDowell	100 Renaissance Center	34th Floor	Detroit	MI	48243		313-393-7592	313-393-7579	rmcdowell@bodmanllp.com	Counsel to Freudenberg-NOK; General Partnership; Freudenberg-NOK, Inc.; Flextech, Inc.; Vibracoustic de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.; Lear Corporation; American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Camille W. Hill	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	315-218-8100	chill@bsk.com	Counsel to Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessa Plastics Corp.
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Charles J. Sullivan	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	315-218-8100	csullivan@bsk.com	Counsel to Diemolding Corporation
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Stephen A. Donato	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	315-218-8100	sdonato@bsk.com	Counsel to Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessa Plastics Corp; Diemolding Corporation
Bose McKinney & Evans LLP	Michael A Trentadue Carina M de la Torre	2700 First Indiana Plz	135 N Pennsylvania St	Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-684-5000	317-684-5173	mtrentadue@boselaw.com cdelatorre@boselaw.com	Counsel to Decatur Plastics Products, Inc. and Eikenberry & Associates, Inc.; Lorentson Manufacturing, Company, Inc.; Lorentson Tooling, Inc.; L & S Tools, Inc.; Hewitt Tool & Die, Inc.
Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC	Austin L. McMullen	1600 Division Street, Suite 700	PO Box 34005	Nashville	TN	37203		615-252-2307	615-252-6307	amcmullen@bccb.com	Counsel to Calsonic Kansei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd.
Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC	Roger G. Jones	1600 Division Street, Suite 700	PO Box 34005	Nashville	TN	37203		615-252-2307	615-252-6307	rgjones@bccb.com	Counsel to Calsonic Kansei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd.
Brembo S.p.A.	Massimiliano Cini	Administration Department via Brembo 25	24035 Curno BG	Bergamo			Italy	00039-035-605-529	0039-035-605-671	massimiliano_cini@brembo.it	Creditor
Brown & Connery, LLP	Donald K. Ludman	6 North Broad Street		Woodbury	NJ	08096		856-812-8900	856-853-9933	dludman@brownconnery.com	Counsel to SAP America, Inc.
Buchalter Nemer, A Profesional Corporation	Shawn M. Christianson	333 Market Street	25th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94105-2126		415-227-0900	415-227-0770	schristianson@buchalter.com	Counsel to Oracle USA, Inc.; Oracle Credit Corporation
Burr & Forman LLP	Michael Leo Hall	420 North Twentieth Street	Suite 3100	Birmingham	AL	35203		(205) 458-5367	(205) 244-5651	mhall@burr.com	Counsel to Mercedes-Benz U.S. International, Inc
Cadwalader Wickersham & Taft LLP	Jeannine D'Amico	1201 F St NW Ste 1100		Washington	DC	20004		202-862-2452	202-862-2400	jeannine.damico@cw.com	Attorneys for the Audit Committee of Dephi Corporation
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP	Jonathan Greenberg	80 Pine Street		New York	NY	10005		212-701-3000	732-205-6777	jonathan.greenberg@BASF.COM	Counsel to Engelhard Corporation
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP	Robert Usadi	80 Pine Street		New York	NY	10005		212-701-3000	212-269-5420	rusadi@cahill.com	Counsel to Engelhard Corporation
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLC	Jean R. Robertson, Esq.	1400 McDonald Investment Ctr	800 Superior Ave	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-622-8404	216-241-0816	jrobertson@calfee.com	Counsel to Brush Engineered materials
Calinoff & Katz, LLP	Dorothy H. Marinis-Riggio	140 East 45th Street	17th Floor	New York	NY	10017		212-826-8800	212-644-5123	driggio@candklaw.com	Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Alumunim Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Carson Fischer, P.L.C.	Robert A. Weisberg	300 East Maple Road	Third Floor	Birmingham	MI	48009-6317		248-644-4840	248-644-1832	rweisberg@carsonfischer.com	Counsel to Cascade Die Casting Group, Inc.
Carter Ledyard & Milburn LLP	Aaron R. Cahn	2 Wall Street		New York	NY	10005		212-732-3200	212-732-3232	cahn@clm.com	Counsel to STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Chadbourne & Parke LLP	Douglas Deutsch, Esq.	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-408-5100	212-541-5369	ddeutsch@chadbourne.com	Counsel to EagleRock Capital Management, LLC

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Clark Hill PLC	Joel D. Applebaum	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8300	313-965-8252	japplebaum@clarkhill.com	Counsel to 1st Choice Heating & Cooling, Inc.; BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC
Clark Hill PLC	Shannon Deeby	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8300	313-965-8252	sdeeby@clarkhill.com	Counsel to BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC
Clark Hill PLLC	Robert D. Gordon	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8572	313-965-8252	rgordon@clarkhill.com	Counsel to ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.
Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP	Deborah M. Buell	One Liberty Plaza		New York	NY	10006		212-225-2000	212-225-3999	maofiling@cgsh.com	Counsel to Arneses Electricos Automotrices, S.A.de C.V.; Cordaflex, S.A. de C.V.
Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton LLP	James L. Bromley	One Liberty Plaza		New York	NY	10006		212-225-2000	212-225-3999	maofiling@cgsh.com	Counsel to Bear, Stearns, Co. Inc.; Citigroup, Inc.; Credit Suisse First Boston; Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.; Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.; JP Morgan Chase & Co.; Lehman Brothers, Inc.; Merrill Lynch & Co.; Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc.; UBS Securities, LLC
Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.	Thomas D. Maxson	11 Stanwix Street	15th Floor	Pittsburgh	PA	15222-1319		412-297-4706	412-209-1837	tmaxson@cohenlaw.com	Counsel to Nova Chemicals, Inc.
Cohen, Weiss & Simon LLP	Joseph J. Vitale Babette Ceccotti	330 West 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036		212-356-0238	646-473-8238	jvitale@cwsny.com bceccotti@cwsny.com	Counsel to International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agriculture Implement Works of America (UAW)
Cohn Birnbaum & Shea P.C.	Scott D. Rosen, Esq.	100 Pearl Street, 12th Floor		Hartford	CT	06103		860-493-2200	860-727-0361	srosen@cb-shea.com	Counsel to Floyd Manufacturing Co., Inc.
Conlin, McKenney & Philbrick, P.C.	Bruce N. Elliott	350 South Main Street	Suite 400	Ann Arbor	MI	48104		734-971-9000	734-971-9001	Elliott@cmplaw.com	Counsel to Brazeway, Inc.
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP	Jeffrey C. Wisler, Esq.	1007 N. Orange Street	P.O. Box 2207	Wilmington	DE	19899		302-658-9141	302-658-0380	jwisler@cblh.com	Counsel to ORIX Warren, LLC
Contrarian Capital Management, L.L.C.	Mark Lee, Janice Stanton, Bill Raine, Seth Lax	411 West Putnam Avenue	Suite 225	Greenwich	CT	06830		203-862-8200 (203) 862-8231	203-629-1977 (203) 629-1977	mlee@contrariancapital.com jstanton@contrariancapital.com wraime@contrariancapital.com solax@contrariancapital.com	Counsel to Contrarian Capital Management, L.L.C.
Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA	Ronald S. Pretekin	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-8177	937-223-6705	Pretekin@coollaw.com	Counsel to Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company
Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA	Sylvie J. Derrien	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-8177	937-223-6705	derrien@coolaw.com	Counsel to Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company
Cornell University	Nancy H. Pagliaro	Office of University Counsel	300 CCC Building, Garden Avenue	Ithaca	NY	14853-2601		607-255-5124	607-254-3556	nhp4@cornell.edu	Paralegal/Counsel to Cornell University
Covington & Burling	Susan Power Johnston	620 Eighth Ave		New York	NY	10018		212-841-1005	646-441-9005	sjohnston@cov.com	Special Counsel to the Debtor
Cox, Hodgman & Giarmarco, P.C.	Aaron R. Marcu		101 W. Big Beaver Road	Troy	MI	48084-5280		248-457-7000	248-457-7001	swalsh@chglaw.com	Counsel to Nissinbo Automotive Corporation
Curtin & Heefner, LLP	Sean M. Walsh, Esq.	Tenth Floor Columbia Center									Counsel to SPS Technologies, LLC; NSS Technologies, Inc.; SPS Technologies Waterford Company; Greer Stop Nut, Inc.
	Daniel P. Mazo	250 N. Pennsylvania Avenue		Morrisville	PA	19067		215-736-2521	215-736-3647	dpm@curtinheefner.com	

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Curtin & Heefner, LLP	Robert Szwajkos	250 N. Pennsylvania Avenue		Morrisville	PA	19067		215-736-2521	215-736-3647	rsz@curtinheefner.com	Counsel to SPS Technologies, LLC; NSS Technologies, Inc.; SPS Technologies Waterford Company; Greer Stop Nut, Inc.
Damon & Morey LLP	William F. Savino	1000 Cathedral Place	298 Main Street	Buffalo	NY	14202-4096		716-856-5500	716-856-5510	wsavino@damonmorey.com	Counsel to Relco, Inc.; The Durham Companies, Inc.
Day Pitney LLP	Richard M. Meth	P.O. Box 1945		Morristown	NJ	07962-1945		973-966-6300	973-966-1015	rmeth@daypitney.com	Counsel to Marshall E. Campbell Company
Day Pitney LLP	Ronald S. Beacher Conrad K. Chiu	7 Times Square		New York	NY	10036		212-297-5800	212-916-2940	rbeacher@daypitney.com cchiu@daypitney.com	Counsel to IBJTC Business Credit Corporation, as successor to IBJ Whitehall Business Credit Corporation
Denso International America, Inc.	Carol Sowa	24777 Denso Drive		Southfield	MI	48086		248-372-8531	248-350-7772	carol_sowa@denso-diam.com	Counsel to Denso International America, Inc.
Dinsmore & Shohl LLP	John Persiani	1900 Chemed Center	255 East Fifth Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-977-8200	513-977-8141	john.persiani@dinslaw.com	Counsel to The Procter & Gamble Company
DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP	Richard M. Kremen Maria Ellena Chavez-Ruark	The Marbury Building	6225 Smith Avenue	Baltimore	Maryland	21209-3600		410-580-3000	410-580-3001	richard.kremen@dlapiper.com	Counsel to Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. & Constellation NewEnergy - Gas Division, LLC
Dreier LLP	Maura I. Russell Wendy G. Marcari	499 Park Ave	14th Fl	New York	NY	10022		212-328-6100	212-652-3863	iquerrier@dreierllp.com	Counsel to SPCP Group LLC
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	Andrew C. Kassner	18th and Cherry Streets		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-2700	215-988-2757	andrew.kassner@dbr.com	Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P.
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	David B. Aaronson	18th and Cherry Streets		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-2700	215-988-2757	david.aaronson@dbr.com	Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P. and Quaker Chemical Corporation
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	Janice B. Grubin	140 Broadway 39th Fl		New York	NY	10005-1116		212-248-3140	212-248-3141	janice.grubin@dbr.com	Counsel to Vanguard Distributors, Inc.
Duane Morris LLP	Joseph H. Lemkin	744 Broad Street	Suite 1200	Newark	NJ	07102		973-424-2000	973-424-2001	jhlemkin@duanemorris.com	Counsel to NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC; and Hosiden America Corporation
Duane Morris LLP	Margery N. Reed, Esq.	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103-4196		215-979-1000	215-979-1020	dmdelphi@duanemorris.com	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company
Duane Morris LLP	Wendy M. Simkulak, Esq.	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103-4196		215-979-1000	215-979-1020	wmsimkulak@duanemorris.com	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott LLC	Michael G. Busenkell	300 Delaware Avenue	Suite 1360	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-425-0430	302-425-0432	mbusenkel@eckertseamans.com	Counsel to Chicago Miniature Optoelectronic Technologies, Inc.
Electronic Data Systems Corporation	Ayala Hassell	5400 Legacy Dr.	Mail Stop H3-3A-05	Plano	TX	75024		212-715-9100	212-715-8000	ayala.hassell@eds.com	Representative for Electronic Data Systems Corporation
Entergy Services, Inc.	Alan H. Katz	639 Loyola Ave 26th Fl		New Orleans	LA	70113				akatz@entergy.com	Assistant General Counsel to Entergy Services, Inc.
Erman, Teicher, Miller, Zucker & Freedman, P.C.	David H. Freedman	400 Galleria Officentre	Ste. 444	Southfield	MI	48034		248-827-4100	248-827-4106	dfreedman@ermanteicher.com	Counsel to Doshi Prettl International, LLC
Ettelman & Hochheiser, P.C.	Gary Ettelman	c/o Premium Cadillac	77 Main Street	New Rochelle	NY	10801		516-227-6300	516-227-6307	gettelman@e-hlaw.com	Counsel to Jon Ballin
Fagel Haber LLC	Lauren Newman	55 East Monroe	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60603		312-346-7500	312-580-2201	lnewman@fagelhaber.com	Counsel to Aluminum International, Inc.
Filardi Law Offices LLC	Charles J. Filardi, Jr., Esq.	65 Trumbull Street	Second Floor	New Haven	CT	06510		203-562-8588	866-890-3061	charles@filardi-law.com	Counsel to Federal Express Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Finkel Goldstein Rosenbloom & Nash LLP	Ted J. Donovan	26 Broadway	Suite 711	New York	NY	10004		212-344-2929	212-422-6836	tdonovan@finkgold.com	Counsel to Pillarhouse (U.S.A.) Inc.
Foley & Lardner LLP	David G Dragich	500 Woodward Ave Suite 2700		Detroit	MI	48226-3489		313-234-7100	313-234-2800	ddragich@foley.com	Counsel to Internet Corporation
Foley & Lardner LLP	Jill L. Murch	321 North Clark Street	Suite 2800	Chicago	IL	60610-4764		312-832-4500	312-832-4700	lmurch@foley.com	Counsel to Kuss Corporation
Foley & Lardner LLP	John A. Simon	One Detroit Center	500 Woodward Ave Suite 2700	Detroit	MI	48226-3489		313-234-7100	313-234-2800	jsimon@foley.com	Counsel to Ernst & Young LLP
Foley & Lardner LLP	Michael P. Richman	90 Park Avenue	37th Floor	New York	NY	10016-1314		212-682-7474	212-687-2329	mrichman@foley.com	Counsel to Ernst & Young LLP
Fox Rothschild LLP	Fred Stevens	13 East 37th Street	Suite 800	New York	NY	10016		212-682-7575	212-682-4218	fstevens@foxrothschild.com	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products, Inc.
Fox Rothschild LLP	Michael J. Viscount, Jr.	1301 Atlantic Avenue	Suite 400	Atlantic City	NJ	08401-7212		609-348-4515	609-348-6834	mviscount@foxrothschild.com	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products, Inc.
Frederick T. Rikkers		419 Venture Court	P.O. Box 930555	Verona	WI	53593		608-848-6350	608-848-6357	fridders@rikkerslaw.com	Counsel to Southwest Metal Finishing, Inc.
Fulbright & Jaworski LLP	David A Rosenzweig	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103-3198		212-318-3000	212-318-3400	drosenzweig@fulbright.com	Counsel to Southwest Research Institute
Fulbright & Jaworski LLP	Michael M Parker	300 Convent St Ste 2200		San Antonio	TX	78205		210-224-5575	210-270-7205	mparker@fulbright.com	Attorney for Solvay Fluorides, LLC
Garvey Schubert Barer	Roberto Carrillo	100 Wall St 20th Fl		New York	NY	10005		212-965-4511	212-334-1278	rcarrillo@gsblaw.com	Counsel to Southwest Research Institute
Gibbons P.C.	David N. Crapo	One Gateway Center		Newark	NJ	07102-5310		973-596-4523	973-639-6244	dcrapo@qibbonslaw.com	Attorney for Solvay Fluorides, LLC
Goldberg, Stinnett, Meyers & Davis	Merle C. Meyers	44 Montgomery Street	Suite 2900	San Francisco	CA	94104		415-362-5045	415-362-2392	mmeyers@qsmldlaw.com	Counsel to Southwest Research Institute
Goodwin Proctor LLP	Allan S. Brilliant	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-813-8800	212-355-3333	abrilliant@goodwinproctor.com	Attorney's for Tecnomec S.r.L.
Goodwin Proctor LLP	Craig P. Druehl	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-813-8800	212-355-3333	cdruehl@goodwinproctor.com	Counsel to Epcos, Inc.
Gorlick, Kravitz & Listhaus, P.C.	Barbara S. Mehlsack	17 State Street	4th Floor	New York	NY	10004		212-269-2500	212-269-2540	bmehlsack@gklaw.com	Counsel to Alps Automotive, Inc.
Goulston & Storrs, P.C.	Peter D. Bilowz	400 Atlantic Avenue		Boston	MA	02110-333		617-482-1776	617-574-4112	pbilowz@goulstonstorrs.com	Counsel to UGS Corp.
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	Jay W. Eisenhofer	45 Rockefeller Center	650 Fifth Avenue	New York	NY	10111		212-755-6501	212-755-6503	jeisenhofer@gelaw.com	Counsel to UGS Corp.
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	Sharan Nirmul	1201 North Market Street	Suite 2100	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-622-7000	302-622-7100	snirmul@gelaw.com	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10; International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Matthew R. Robbins	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	414-271-6308	mrr@previant.com	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Timothy C. Hall	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	414-271-6308	tch@previant.com	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
Graydon Head & Ritchey LLP	J. Michael Debbler, Susan M. Argo	1900 Fifth Third Center	511 Walnut Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-621-6464	513-651-3836	mdebbler@graydon.com	Counsel to Grote Industries; Batesville Tool & Die; PIA Group; Reliable Castings
Greenberg Traurig, LLP	Maria J. DiConza	MetLife Bldg	200 Park Avenue	New York	NY	10166		212-801-9200	212-801-6400	diconzam@qtlaw.com	Counsel to Samtech Corporation
Greenberg Traurig, LLP	Shari L. Heyen	1000 Louisiana	Suite 1800	Houston	TX	77002		713-374-3500	713-374-3505	heyens@qtlaw.com	Counsel to Samtech Corporation
Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale, P.C.	Cherie Macdonald J. Patrick Bradley	10 S. Broadway	Suite 200	St. Louis	MO	63102		314-241-9090	314-241-8624	ckm@greensfelder.com jpb@greensfelder.com	Counsel to ARC Automotive, Inc.
Guaranty Bank	Herb Reiner	8333 Douglas Avenue		Dallas	TX	75225		214-360-2702	214-360-1940	herb.reiner@guarantygroup.com	Counsel to American Finance Group, Inc. d/b/a Guaranty Capital Corporation
Halperin Battaglia Raicht, LLP	Alan D. Halperin Christopher J. Battaglia Julie D. Dyas	555 Madison Avenue	9th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-765-9100	212-765-0964	cbattaglia@halperinlaw.net ahalperin@halperinlaw.net jdyas@halperinlaw.net	Counsel to Pacific Gas Turbine Center, LLC and Chromalloy Gas Turbine Corporation; ARC Automotive, Inc
Hancock & Estabrook LLP	R John Clark Esq	1500 Tower I	PO Box 4976	Syracuse	NY	13221-4976		315-471-3151	315-471-3167	riclark@hancocklaw.com	Counsel to Alliance Precision Plastics Corporation
Harris D. Leinwand	Harris D. Leinwand	350 Fifth Avenue	Suite 2418	New York	NY	10118		212-725-7338	212-244-6219	hleinwand@aol.com	Counsel to Baker Hughes Incorporated; Baker Petrolite Corporation
Haynes and Boone, LLP	Judith Elkin	153 East 53rd Street	Suite 4900	New York	NY	10022		212-659-7300	212-918-8989	judith.elkin@haynesboone.com	Counsel to Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Haynes and Boone, LLP	Lenard M. Parkins Kenric D. Kattner	1 Houston Center	1221 McKinney, Suite 2100	Houston	TX	77010		713-547-2000	713-547-2600	lenard.parkins@haynesboone.com kenric.kattner@haynesboone.com	Counsel to Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Heller Ehrman LLP	Timothy Mehok	Times Square Tower	Seven Times Square	New York	NY	10036		212-832-8300	212-763-7600	timothy.mehok@hellerehrman.com	Counsel to @Road, Inc.
Herrick, Feinstein LLP	Paul Rubin	2 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10016		212-592-1448	212-545-3360	prubin@herrick.com	Counsel to Canon U.S.A., Inc. and Schmidt Technology GmbH
Hewlett-Packard Company	Anne Marie Kennelly	3000 Hanover St., M/S 1050		Palo Alto	CA	94304		650-857-6902	650-852-8617	anne.kennelly@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Kenneth F. Higman	2125 E. Katella Avenue	Suite 400	Anaheim	CA	92806		714-940-7120	740-940-7539	ken.higman@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Sharon Petrosino	420 Mountain Avenue		Murray Hill	NJ	07974		908-898-4760	908-898-4133	sharon.petrosino@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Hiscock & Barclay, LLP	J. Eric Charlton	300 South Salina Street	PO Box 4878	Syracuse	NY	13221-4878		315-425-2716	315-425-8576	echarlton@hiscockbarclay.com	Counsel to GW Plastics, Inc.
Hodgson Russ LLP	Julia S. Kreher	One M&T Plaza	Suite 2000	Buffalo	NY	14203		716-848-1330	716-819-4645	jkreher@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel to Hexcel Corporation
Hodgson Russ LLP	Stephen H. Gross, Esq.	230 Park Avenue	17th Floor	New York	NY	10169		212-751-4300	212-751-0928	sgross@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel to Hexcel Corporation; Co Counsel for Yazaki North America, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Hodgson Russ LLP	Stephen H. Gross, Esq.	60 E 42nd St 37th Fl		New York	NY	10165-0150		212-661-3535	212-972-1677	sgross@hodgsonruss.com	Co-Counsel for Yazaki North America, Inc.
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Edward C. Dolan	Columbia Square	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	Washington	D.C.	20004-1109		202-637-5677	202-637-5910	ecdolan@hhlaw.com	Counsel to Umicore Autocat Canada Corp.
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Scott A. Golden	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-918-3000	212-918-3100	sagolden@hhlaw.com	Counsel to XM Satellite Radio Inc.
Holme Roberts & Owen, LLP	Elizabeth K. Flaagan	1700 Lincoln	Suite 4100	Denver	CO	80203		303-861-7000	303-866-0200	elizabeth.flaagan@hro.com	Counsel to CoorsTek, Inc.; Corus, L.P.
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Donald T. Baty, Jr.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7314	313-465-7315	dbaty@honigman.com	Counsel to Fujitsu Ten Corporation of America
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	E. Todd Sable	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7548	313-465-7549	tsable@honigman.com	Counsel to Valeo Climate Control Corp.; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Motors and Actuators Division; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Wipers Division; Valeo Switches & Detection System, Inc.
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Seth A Drucker	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue Ste 2290	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7626	313-465-7627	sdrucker@honigman.com	Counsel for Valeo Climate Control, Corp.
Howard & Howard Attorneys PC	Lisa S Gretchko	39400 Woodward Ave	Ste 101	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304-5151		248-723-0396	248-645-1568	lgretchko@howardandhoward.com	Intellectual Property Counsel for Delphi Corporation, et al.
Howick, Westfall, McBryan & Kaplan, LLP	Louis G. McBryan	3101 Tower Creek Parkway	Ste 600 One Tower Creek	Atlanta	GA	30339		678-384-7000	678-384-7034	lmcbrayn@hwmklaw.com	Counsel to Vanguard Distributors, Inc.
Hunton & Williams LLP	Michael P. Massad, Jr.	Energy Plaza, 30th Floor	1601 Bryan Street	Dallas	TX	75201		214-979-3000	214-880-0011	mmassad@hunton.com	Counsel to RF Monolithics, Inc.
Hunton & Williams LLP	Steven T. Holmes	Energy Plaza, 30th Floor	1601 Bryan Street	Dallas	TX	75201		214-979-3000	214-880-0011	sholmes@hunton.com	Counsel to RF Monolithics, Inc.
Hurwitz & Fine P.C.	Ann E. Evanko	1300 Liberty Building		Buffalo	NY	14202		716-849-8900	716-855-0874	ae@hurwitzfine.com	Counsel to Jiffy-Tite Co., Inc.
Ice Miller	Ben T. Caughey	One American Square	Box 82001	Indianapolis	IN	46282-0200		317-236-2100	317-236-2219	Ben.Caughey@icemiller.com	Counsel to Sumco, Inc.
Infineon Technologies North America Corporation	Greg Bibbes	1730 North First Street	M/S 11305	San Jose	CA	95112		408-501-6442	408-501-2488	greg.bibbes@infineon.com	General Counsel & Vice President for Infineon Technologies North America Corporation
Infineon Technologies North America Corporation	Jeff Gillespie	2529 Commerce Drive	Suite H	Kokomo	IN	46902		765-454-2146	765-456-3836	jeffery.gillispie@infineon.com	Global Account Manager for Infineon Technologies North America
InPlay Technologies Inc	Heather Beshears	234 South Extension Road		Mesa	AZ	85201				heather@inplaytechnologies.com	Creditor
International Union of Operating Engineers	Richard Griffin	1125-17th Avenue, N.W.		Washington	DC	20036		202-429-9100	202-778-2641	rgriffin@iuoe.org	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10; International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832
Jaffe, Raitt, Heuer & Weiss, P.C.	Paige E. Barr	27777 Franklin Road	Suite 2500	Southfield	MI	48034		248-351-3000	248-351-3082	pbarr@jaffelaw.com	Counsel to Trutron Corporation
James R Scheuerle	Parmenter O'Toole	601 Terrace Street	PO Box 786	Muskegon	MI	49443-0786		231-722-1621	231-728-2206	JRS@Parmenterlaw.com	Counsel to Port City Die Cast and Port City Group Inc
Jenner & Block LLP	Ronald R. Peterson	One IBM Plaza		Chicago	IL	60611		312-222-9350	312-840-7381	rpeterson@jenner.com	Counsel to SPX Corporation (Contech Division), Alcan Rolled Products-Ravenswood, LLC, Tenneco Inc. and Contech LLC
Jones Day	Scott J. Friedman	222 East 41st Street		New York	NY	10017		212-326-3939	212-755-7306	sifriedman@jonesday.com	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP	John P. Sieger, Esq.	525 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60661		312-902-5200	312-577-4733	john.sieger@kattenlaw.com	Counsel to TDK Corporation America and MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc.
Kaye Scholer LLP	Richard G Smolev	425 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10022-3598		212-236-8000	212-836-8689	rsmolev@kayescholer.com	Counsel to InPlay Technologies Inc
Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter Co., LPA	Kenneth R. Cookson	65 East State Street	Suite 1800	Columbus	OH	43215		614-426-5400	614-464-2634	kcookson@keglerbrown.com	Counsel to Solution Recovery Services
Keller Rohrback L.L.P.	Lynn Lincoln Sarko Cari Campen Laufenberg Erin M. Riley	1201 Third Avenue	Suite 3200	Seattle	WA	98101		206-623-1900	206-623-3384	lsarko@kellerrohrback.com claufenberg@kellerrohrback.com eriley@kellerrohrback.com	Counsel to Neal Folck, Greg Bartell, Donald McEvoy, Irene Polito, and Thomas Kessler, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated, and on behalf of the Delphi Savings-Stock Purchase Program for Salaried Employees in the United States and the Delphi Personal Savings Plan for Hourly-Rate Employees in the United States
Keller Rohrback P.L.C.	Gary A. Gotto	National Bank Plaza	3101 North Central Avenue, Suite 900	Phoenix	AZ	85012		602-248-0088	602-248-2822	ggotto@kellerrohrback.com	Counsel to Neal Folck, Greg Bartell, Donald McEvoy, Irene Polito, and Thomas Kessler, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated, and on behalf of the Delphi Savings-Stock Purchase Program for Salaried Employees in the United States and the Delphi Personal Savings Plan for Hourly-Rate Employees in the United States
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Larry Magarik	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	212-358-0207	lmagarik@kjmlabor.com	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Susan M. Jennik	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	212-358-0207	sjennik@kjmlabor.com	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Thomas Kennedy	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	212-358-0207	tkennedy@kjmlabor.com	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
King & Spalding, LLP	H. Slayton Dabney, Jr. Bill Dimos	1185 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-556-2100	212-556-2222	sdabney@kslaw.com bdimos@kslaw.com	Counsel to KPMG LLP
Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Jim Stempel	200 East Randolph Drive		Chicago	IL	60601		312-861-2000	312-861-2200	jstempel@kirkland.com	Counsel to Lunt Manufacturing Company
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Nicholson Graham LLP	Edward M. Fox	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-536-4812	212-536-3901	efox@klnq.com	Counsel to Wilmington Trust Company, as Indenture trustee
Klett Rooney Lieber & Schorling	DeWitt Brown	The Brandywine Building	1000 West Street, Suite 1410	Wilmington	DE	19801		(302) 552-4200		dbrown@klettrooney.com	Counsel to Entergy
Krugliak, Wilkins, Griffiths & Dougherty CO., L.P.A.	Sam O. Simmerman	4775 Munson Street N.W.	P.O. Box 36963	Canton	OH	44735-6963		330-497-0700	330-497-4020	sosimmerman@kwgd.com	Counsel to for Millwood, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Kutak Rock LLP	Jay Selanders	1010 Grand Blvd Ste 500		Kansas City	MO	64106		816-502-4617	816-960-0041	jay.selanders@kutakrock.com	Counsel to DaimlerChrysler Corporation; DaimlerChrysler Motors Company, LLC; DaimlerChrysler Canada, Inc.
Kutchin & Rufo, P.C.	Edward D. Kutchin	Two Center Plaza	Suite 620	Boston	MA	02108-1906		617-542-3000	617-542-3001	ekutchin@kutchinrufo.com	Counsel to Parlex Corporation
Kutchin & Rufo, P.C.	Kerry R. Northrup	Two Center Plaza	Suite 620	Boston	MA	02108-1906		617-542-3000	617-542-3001	knorthrup@kutchinrufo.com	Counsel to Parlex Corporation
Lambert, Leser, Isackson, Cook & Guinta, P.C.	Susan M. Cook	309 Davidson Building	PO Box 835	Bay City	MI	48707-0835		989-893-3518		smcook@lambertleser.com	Counsel to Linamar Corporation
Latham & Watkins	Erika Ruiz	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	erika.ruiz@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Henry P. Baer, Jr.	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	henry.baer@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Mark A. Broude	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1384	212-751-4864	mark.broude@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Michael J. Riela	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	michael.riela@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Mitchell A. Seider	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	mitchell.seider@lw.com	UCC Professional
Law Offices of Michael O'Hayer	Michael O'Hayer Esq	22 N Walnut Street		West Chester	PA	19380		610-738-1230	610-738-1217	mkohayer@aol.com	Counsel to A-1 Specialized Services and Supplies Inc
Lewis and Roca LLP	Rob Charles, Esq.	One South Church Street	Suite 700	Tucson	AZ	85701		520-629-4427	520-879-4705	rcharles@lrlaw.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Lewis and Roca LLP	Susan M. Freeman, Esq.	40 North Central Avenue	Suite 1900	Phoenix	AZ	85004-4429		602-262-5756	602-734-3824	sfreeman@lrlaw.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Linear Technology Corporation	John England, Esq.	General Counsel for Linear Technology Corporation	1630 McCarthy Blvd.	Milpitas	CA	95035-7417		408-432-1900	408-434-0507	jengland@linear.com	Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	Diane W. Sanders	1949 South IH 35 (78741)	P.O. Box 17428	Austin	TX	78760-7428		512-447-6675	512-443-5114	austin.bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel to Cameron County, Brownsville ISD
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	Elizabeth Weller	2323 Bryan Street	Suite 1600	Dallas	TX	75201		214-880-0089	4692215002	dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel to Dallas County and Tarrant County
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	John P. Dillman	P.O. Box 3064		Houston	TX	77253-3064		713-844-3478	713-844-3503	houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel in Charge for Taxing Authorities: Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District, City of Houston, Harris County
Loeb & Loeb LLP	P. Gregory Schwed	345 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10154-0037		212-407-4000		gschwed@loeb.com	Counsel to Creditor The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc. and Proposed Auditor Deloitte & Touche, LLP
Loeb & Loeb LLP	William M. Hawkins	345 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10154		212-407-4000	212-407-4990	whawkins@loeb.com	Counsel to Industrial Ceramics Corporation
Lord, Bissel & Brook	Timothy S. McFadden	115 South LaSalle Street		Chicago	IL	60603		312-443-0370	312-896-6394	tmcfadden@lordbissell.com	Counsel to Methode Electronics, Inc.
Lord, Bissel & Brook	Timothy W. Brink	115 South LaSalle Street		Chicago	IL	60603		312-443-1832	312-443-896-6432	tbrink@lordbissell.com	Counsel to Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc.
Lord, Bissel & Brook LLP	Kevin J. Walsh	885 Third Avenue	26th Floor	New York	NY	10022-4802		212-947-8304	212-947-1202	kwash@lordbissell.com	Counsel to Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc. and Methode Electronics, Inc.
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Bruce S. Nathan	1251 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	212-262-7402	bnathan@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Daewoo International (America) Corp.
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Ira M. Levee	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	212-262-7402	ilevee@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfonds ABP
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Kenneth A. Rosen	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	973-597-2400	krosen@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Michael S. Etikin	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	212-262-7402	metkin@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Scott Cargill	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	973-597-2400	scargill@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.; AT&T Corporation
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Vincent A. D'Agostino	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	973-597-2400	vdagostino@lowenstein.com	Counsel to AT&T Corporation
Lyden, Liebenthal & Chappell, Ltd.	Erik G. Chappell	5565 Airport Highway	Suite 101	Toledo	OH	43615		419-867-8900	419-867-8909	egc@lydenlaw.com	Counsel to Metro Fibres, Inc.
Maddin, Hauser, Wartell, Roth & Heller PC	Alexander Stotland Esq	28400 Northwestern Hwy	Third Floor	Southfield	MI	48034		248-354-4030		axs@maddinhauser.com	Attorney for Danice Manufacturing Co.
Madison Capital Management	Joe Landen	6143 South Willow Drive	Suite 200	Greenwood Village	CO	80111		303-957-4254	303-957-2098	jlanden@madisoncap.com	Representative for Madison Capital Management
Margulies & Levinson, LLP	Jeffrey M. Levinson, Esq. Leah M. Caplan, Esq.	30100 Chagrin Boulevard	Suite 250	Pepper Pike	OH	44124		216-514-4935	216-514-4936	jml@ml-legal.com lmc@ml-legal.com	Counsel to Venture Plastics
Mastromarco & Jahn, P.C.	Victor J. Mastromarco, Jr.	1024 North Michigan Avenue	P.O. Box 3197	Saginaw	MI	48605-3197		989-752-1414		vmastromar@aol.com	Counsel to H.E. Services Company and Robert Backie and Counsel to Cindy Palmer, Personal Representative to the Estate of Michael Palmer
Masuda Funai Eifert & Mitchell, Ltd.	Gary D. Santella	203 North LaSalle Street	Suite 2500	Chicago	IL	60601-1262		312-245-7500	312-245-7467	gsantella@masudafunai.com jgtougas@mayerbrownrowe.com	Counsel to NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC and Hosiden America Corporation
Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP	Jeffrey G. Tougas	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-262-1910	212-506-2500	jgtougas@mayerbrownrowe.com	Counsel to Bank of America, N.A.
Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP	Raniero D'Aversa, Jr.	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-262-1910	212-506-2500	rdaversa@mayerbrown.com	Counsel to Bank of America, N.A.
McCarter & English, LLP	David J. Adler, Jr. Esq.	245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor		New York	NY	10167		212-609-6800	212-609-6921	dadler@mccarter.com	Counsel to Ward Products, LLC
McCarter & English, LLP	Eduardo J. Glas, Esq.	Four Gateway Center	100 Mulberry Street	Newark	NJ	07102-4096		913-622-4444	973-624-7070	eglas@mccarter.com	Counsel to General Products Delaware Corporation
McCarthy Tetrault LLP	John J. Salmas Lorne P. Salzman	66 Wellington Street West	Suite 4700	Toronto	Ontario	M5K 1E6		416-362-1812	416-868-0673	jsalmas@mccarthy.ca lsalzman@mccarthy.ca	Counsel to Themselves (McCarthy Tetrault LLP)
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	James M. Sullivan	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-547-5477	212-547-5444	jmsullivan@mwe.com	Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation, National Semiconductor Corporation; Timken Corporation
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Stephen B. Selbst	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-547-5400	212-547-5444	sselbst@mwe.com	Counsel to National Semiconductor Corporation
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Scott N. Opincar, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, E.	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	216-348-5474	sopincar@mcdonaldhopkins.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Shawn M. Riley, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, E.	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	216-348-5474	sriley@mcdonaldhopkins.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP	Jeffrey Bernstein, Esq.	Three Gateway Center	100 Mulberry Street	Newark	NJ	07102-4079		973-622-7711	973-622-5314	jbernstein@mdmc-law.com	Counsel to New Jersey Self-Insurers Guaranty Association
McGuirewoods LLP	Aaron G McCollough Esq	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219-4030		804-775-1000	804-775-1061	amccollough@mcguirewoods.com	Counsel to Siemens Energy & Automation, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Hanan Kolko	1350 Broadway	Suite 501	New York	NY	10018		212-239-4999	212-239-1311	hkolko@msek.com	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Lowell Peterson, Esq.	1350 Broadway	Suite 501	New York	NY	10018		212-239-4999	212-239-1311	lpeterson@msek.com	Counsel to United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A.	M. Evan Meyers	Berkshire Building	6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400	Riverdale Park	MD	20737-1385		301-699-5800		emeyers@mrrlaw.net	Counsel to Prince George County, Maryland
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A.	Robert H. Rosenbaum	Berkshire Building	6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400	Riverdale Park	MD	20737-1385		301-699-5800		rosenbaum@mrrlaw.net	Counsel to Prince George County, Maryland
Michael Cox		Cadillac Place	3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-0140		miag@michigan.gov	Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury
Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency	Dennis J. Raterink	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909-7717		517-373-1820	517-373-2129	raterinkd@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency
Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency	Michael Cox	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909-7717		517-373-1820	517-373-2129	miag@michigan.gov	Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency
Michigan Heritage Bank	Janice M. Donahue	28300 Orchard Lake Rd	Ste 200	Farmington Hills	MI	48334		248-538-2529	248-786-3596	jdonahue@miheritage.com	Counsel to Michigan Heritage Bank; MHB Leasing, Inc.
Miles & Stockbridge, P.C.	Thomas D. Renda	10 Light Street		Baltimore	MD	21202		410-385-3418	410-385-3700	trenda@milesstockbridge.com	Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Alumunim Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Miller Johnson	Thomas P. Sarb		Suite 800, PO Box 306	Grand Rapids	MI	49501-0306		616-831-1748	616-988-1748	sarbt@millerjohnson.com	Counsel to Pridgeon & Clay, Inc.
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Robert D. Wolford	250 Monroe Avenue, N.W.		Grand Rapids	MI	49501-0306		616-831-1726	616-988-1726	wolfordr@millerjohnson.com	Counsel to Wells Operating Partnership, LP
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Jonathan S. Green	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-8452	313-496-7997	greenj@millercanfield.com	Counsel to Niles USA Inc.; Techcentral, LLC; The Bartech Group, Inc.; Fischer Automotive Systems
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Timothy A. Fusco	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-8435	313-496-8453	fusco@millercanfield.com	Counsel to Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc. and Conceria Pasubio
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris Glovsky and Pepco, P.C.	Paul J. Ricotta	One Financial Center		Boston	MA	02111		617-542-6000	617-542-2241	pricotta@mintz.com pricotta@mintz.com	Counsel to Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc. and Conceria Pasubio
Molex Connector Corp	Jeff Ott	2222 Wellington Ct.		Lisle	IL	60532		630-527-4254	630-512-8610	Jeff.Ott@molex.com	Counsel to Molex Connector Corp
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Andrew D. Gottfried	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0060		212-309-6000	212-309-6001	agottfried@morganlewis.com	Counsel to ITT Industries, Inc.; Hitachi Chemical (Singapore), Ltd.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Menachem O. Zelmanovitz	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-309-6000	212-309-6001	mzelmanovitz@morganlewis.com gm	Counsel to Hitachi Chemical (Singapore) Pte, Ltd.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Richard W. Esterkin, Esq.	300 South Grand Avenue		Los Angeles	CA	90017		213-612-1163	213-612-2501	resterkin@morganlewis.com	Counsel to Sumitomo Corporation
Moritt Hock Hamroff & Horowitz LLP	Leslie Ann Berkoff	400 Garden City Plaza		Garden City	NY	11530		516-873-2000		lberkoff@moritthock.com	Counsel to Standard Microsystems Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries Oasis Silicon Systems AG and SMC NA Automotive, LLC (successor-in-interest to Oasis Silicon Systems, Inc.)
Morrison Cohen LLP	Michael R. Dal Lago	909 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-735-8757	917-522-3157	mdallago@morrisoncohen.com	Counsel to Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan
Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C.	Raymond J. Urbanik, Esq., Joseph J. Wielebinski, Esq. and Davor Rukavina, Esq.	3800 Lincoln Plaza	500 North Akard Street	Dallas	RX	75201-6659		214-855-7590 214-855-7561 214-855-7587	214-855-7584	rurbanik@munsch.com jwielebinski@munsch.com drukavina@munsch.com	Counsel to Texas Instruments Incorporated
Nantz, Litowich, Smith, Girard & Hamilton, P.C.	Sandra S. Hamilton	2025 East Beltline, S.E.	Suite 600	Grand Rapids	MI	49546		616-977-0077	616-977-0529	sandy@nlsq.com	Counsel to Lankfer Diversified Industries, Inc.
Nathan, Neuman & Nathan, P.C.	Kenneth A. Nathan	29100 Northwestern Highway	Suite 260	Southfield	MI	48034		248-351-0099	248-351-0487	Knathan@nathanneuman.com	Counsel to 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties
National City Commercial Capital	Lisa M. Moore	995 Dalton Avenue		Cincinnati	OH	45203		513-455-2390	866-298-4481	lisa.moore2@nationalcity.com	Vice President and Senior Counsel to National City Commercial Capital
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough	George B. Cauthen	1320 Main Street, 17th Floor	PO Box 11070	Columbia	SC	29201		803-7255-9425	803-256-7500	george.cauthen@nelsonmullins.com	Counsel to Datwyler Rubber & Plastics, Inc.; Datwyler, Inc.; Datwyler i/o devices (Americas), Inc.; Rothrist Tube (USA), Inc.
New Jersey Attorney General's Office Division of Law	Tracy E Richardson Deputy Attorney General	R.J. Hughes Justice Complex	25 Market St P.O. Box 106	Trenton	NJ	08628-0106		609-292-1537	609-777-3055	tracy.richardson@dol.lps.state.nj.us	Deputy Attorney General - State of New Jersey Division of Taxation
Nix, Patterson & Roach, L.L.P.	Bradley E. Beckworth	205 Linda Drive		Daingerfield	TX	75638		903-645-7333	903-645-4415	bbeckworth@nixlawfirm.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employes's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Nix, Patterson & Roach, L.L.P.	Jeffrey J. Angelovich	205 Linda Drive		Daingerfield	TX	75638		903-645-7333	903-645-4415	jangelovich@nixlawfirm.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employes's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Nix, Patterson & Roach, L.L.P.	Susan Whatley	205 Linda Drive		Daingerfield	TX	75638		903-645-7333	903-645-4415	susanwhatley@nixlawfirm.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
North Point	David G. Heiman	901 Lakeside Avenue		Cleveland	OH	44114		216-586-3939	216-579-0212	dgheman@jonesday.com	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee	Camille Hope	P.O. Box 954		Macon	GA	31202		478-742-8706	478-746-4488	cahope@chapter13macon.com	Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee
Office of the Texas Attorney General	Jay W. Hurst	P.O. Box 12548		Austin	TX	78711-2548		512-475-4861	512-482-8341	jay.hurst@oag.state.tx.us	Counsel to The Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency	c/o Michelle T. Sutter	Principal Assistant Attorney General Environmental Enforcement Section	30 E Broad St 25th Fl	Columbus	OH	43215		614-466-2766	614-752-2441	msutter@ag.state.oh.us	Attorney for State of Ohio, Environmental Protection Agency
Orbotech, Inc.	Michael M. Zizza, Legal Manager	44 Manning Road		Billerica	MA	01821		978-901-5025	978-667-9969	michaelz@orbotech.com	Company
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Alyssa Englund, Esq.	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103		212-506-5187	212-506-5151	aenglund@orrick.com	Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Frederick D. Holden, Jr., Esq.	405 Howard Street		San Francisco	CA	94105		415-773-5700	415-773-5759	fholden@orrick.com	Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Jonathan P. Guy	Columbia Center	1152 15th St NW	Washington	DC	20005-1706		202-339-8400	202-339-8500	jguy@orrick.com	Counsel to Westwood Associates, Inc.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Richard H. Wyron	Columbia Center	1152 15th St NW	Washington	DC	20005-1706		202-339-8400	202-339-8500	rwron@orrick.com	Counsel to Westwood Associates, Inc.
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP	Michael R. Seidl	919 N. Market Street, 17th Floor	P.O. Box 8705	Wilmington	DE	19899-8705		302-652-4100	302-652-4400	mseidl@pszilaw.com	Counsel for Essex Group, Inc.
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP	Robert J. Feinstein Ilan D. Scharf	780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor		New York	NY	10017-2024		212-561-7700	212-561-7777	rfeinstein@pszilaw.com lscharf@pszilaw.com	Counsel for Essex Group, Inc.
Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP	David W. Dykhous	Phyllis S. Wallitt	1133 Avenue of the Americas	New York	NY	10036-6710		212-336-2000	212-336-2222	dwdykhous@pbwt.com	Attorneys for Fry's Metals Inc. and Specialty Coatings Systems Eft
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Andrew N. Rosenberg Justin G. Brass	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	212-757-3990	arosenberg@paulweiss.com jbrass@paulweiss.com	Counsel to Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Douglas R. Davis	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	212-757-3990	ddavis@paulweiss.com	Counsel to Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Elizabeth R. McColm	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	212-757-3990	emccolm@paulweiss.com	Counsel to Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Stephen J. Shimshak	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3133	212-373-2136	sshimshak@paulweiss.com	Counsel to Ambrake Corporation
Peggy Housner		Cadillac Place	3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-0140		housnerp@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury
Pepe & Hazard LLP	Kristin B. Mayhew	30 Jelliff Lane		Southport	CT	06890-1436		203-319-4022	203-259-0251	kmayhew@pepehazard.com	Counsel for Illinois Tool Works Inc., Illinois Tool Works for Hobart Brothers Co., Hobart Brothers Company, ITW Food Equipment Group LLC and Tri-Mark, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Anne Marie Aaronson	3000 Two logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	215-981-4750	aaronsona@pepperlaw.com	Counsel to Capro, Ltd, Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation and Teleflex Incorporated d/b/a Teleflex Morse (Capro)
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Francis J. Lawall	3000 Two logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	215-981-4750	lawallf@pepperlaw.com	Counsel to Capro, Ltd, Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation and Teleflex Incorporated d/b/a Teleflex Morse (Capro)
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Henry Jaffe	1313 Market Street	PO Box 1709	Wilmington	DE	19899-1709		302-777-6500	302-421-8390	jaffeh@pepperlaw.com	Counsel to SKF USA, Inc.
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Linda J. Casey	3000 Two logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	215-981-4750	caseyl@pepperlaw.com	Counsel to SKF USA, Inc.
Pierce Atwood LLP	Jacob A. Manheimer	One Monument Square		Portland	ME	04101		207-791-1100	207-791-1350	jmanheimer@pierceatwood.com	Counsel to FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexido, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A.
Pierce Atwood LLP	Keith J. Cunningham	One Monument Square		Portland	ME	04101		207-791-1100	207-791-1350	kcunningham@pierceatwood.com	Counsel to FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexido, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A.
Pietragallo Bosick & Gordon LLP	Richard J. Parks	54 Buhl Blvd		Sharon	PA	16146		724-981-1397	724-981-1398	rip@pbandg.com	Counsel to Ideal Tool Company, Inc.
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Karen B. Dine	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	212-858-1500	karen.dine@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America, Hyundai Motor Company and Hyundai Motor America
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Margot P. Erlich	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	212-858-1500	margot.erlich@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Mark D. Houle	650 Town Center Drive	Ste 550	Costa Mesa	CA	92626-7122		714-436-6800	714-436-2800	mark.houle@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America, Hyundai Motor Company and Hyundai Motor America
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Richard L. Epling	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	212-858-1500	richard.epling@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Robin L. Spear	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	212-858-1500	robin.spear@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C.	Brett S. Moore, Esq.	100 Southgate Parkway	P.O. Box 1997	Morristown	NJ	07960		973-538-4006	973-538-5146	bsmoore@pbnlaw.com	
Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C.	John S. Mairo, Esq.	100 Southgate Parkway	P.O. Box 1997	Morristown	NJ	07960		973-538-4006	973-538-5146	jsmairo@pbnlaw.com	Counsel to Neuman Aluminum Automotive, Inc. and Neuman Aluminum Impact Extrusion, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Previant, Goldberg, Uelman, Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Jill M. Hartley and Marianne G. Robbins	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	414-271-6308	jh@previant.com mgr@previant.com	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
PriceWaterHouseCoopers	Enrique Bujidos	Almagro	40	Madrid		28010	Spain	34 915 684 356		enrique.bujidos@es.pwc.com	Representative to DASE
QAD, Inc.	Jason Pickering, Esq.	10,000 Midlantic Drive		Mt. Laurel	NJ	08054		856-840-2489	856-840-2740	jkp@qad.com	Counsel to QAD, Inc.
Quadrangle Debt Recovery Advisors LLC	Andrew Herenstein	375 Park Avenue, 14th Floor		New York	NY	10152		212-418-1742	866-741-2505	andrew.herenstein@quadranglegroup.com	Counsel to Quadrangle Debt Recovery Advisors LLC
Quadrangle Group LLC	Patrick Bartels	375 Park Avenue, 14th Floor		New York	NY	10152		212-418-1748	866-552-2052	patrick.bartels@quadranglegroup.com	Counsel to Quadrangle Group LLC
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP	John A. Harris	Renaissance One	Two North Central Avenue	Phoenix	AZ	85004-2391		602-229-5200	602-229-5690	jharris@quarles.com	Counsel to Semiconductor Components Industries, Inc.
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP	Kasey C. Nye	One South Church Street		Tucson	AZ	85701		520-770-8717	520-770-2203	knve@quarles.com	Counsel to Offshore International, Inc.; Maquilas Teta Kawi, S.A. de C.V.; On Semiconductor Corporation
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP	Roy Prange	33 E Main St Ste 900		Madison	WI	53703-3095		608-283-2485	608-294-4920	rjp@quarles.com	Counsel for Flambeau Inc.
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP	Scott R. Goldberg	Renaissance One	Two North Central Avenue	Phoenix	AZ	85004-2391		602-229-5200	602-229-5690	sgoldeber@quarles.com	Counsel to Semiconductor Components Industries, Inc.
Reed Smith	Elena Lazarou	599 Lexington Avenue	29th Street	New York	NY	10022		212-521-5400	212-521-5450	elazarou@reedsmith.com	Counsel to General Electric Capital Corporation, Strategic Asset Finance.
Riddell Williams P.S.	Joseph E. Shickich, Jr.	1001 4th Ave.	Suite 4500	Seattle	WA	98154-1195		206-624-3600	206-389-1708	jshickich@riddellwilliams.com	Counsel to Microsoft Corporation; Microsoft Licensing, GP
Rieck and Crotty PC	Jerome F Crotty	55 West Monroe Street	Suite 3390	Chicago	IL	60603		312-726-4646	312-726-0647	jcrotty@rieckcrotty.com	Counsel to Mary P. O'Neill and Liam P. O'Neill
Rierner & Braunstein LLP	Mark S. Scott	Three Center Plaza		Boston	MA	02108		617-523-9000	617-880-3456	msscott@riernerlaw.com	Counsel to ICX Corporation
Riverside Claims LLC	Holly Rogers	2109 Broadway	Suite 206	New York	NY	10023		212-501-0990	212-501-7088	holly@regencap.com	Riverside Claims LLC
Robinson, McFadden & Moore, P.C.	Annemarie B. Mathews	P.O. Box 944		Columbia	SC	29202		803-779-8900	803-771-9411	amatheys@robinsonlaw.com	Counsel to Blue Cross Blue Shield of South Carolina
Ropes & Gray LLP	Gregory O. Kaden	One International Place		Boston	MA	02110-2624		617-951-7000	617-951-7050	gregory.kaden@ropesgray.com	Attorneys for D-J, Inc.
Ropes & Gray LLP	Marc E. Hirschfield	45 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10111-0087		212-841-5700	212-841-5725	marc.hirschfield@ropesgray.com	Attorneys for D-J, Inc.
Rosen Slome Marder LLP	Thomas R. Slome	333 Earle Ovington Boulevard	Suite 901	Uniondale	NY	11533		516-227-1600		tslome@rsmllp.com	Counsel to JAE Electronics, Inc.
Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc.	Charles E. Boulbol, P.C.	26 Broadway, 17th Floor		New York	NY	10004		212-825-9457	212-825-9414	rtrack@msn.com	Counsel for Pamela Gellar Counsel to Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc.
Sachnoff & Weaver, Ltd	Charles S. Schulman	10 South Wacker Drive	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60606		312-207-1000	312-207-6400	agelman@sachnoff.com	Counsel to Infineon Technologies North America Corporation
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Christopher R. Belmonte	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	212-818-9606	cbelmonte@ssbb.com	Counsel to Moody's Investors Service
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Pamela A. Bosswick	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	212-818-9606	pbosswick@ssbb.com	Counsel to Moody's Investors Service
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Daniel Weiner	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340		dweiner@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Howard Borin	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340		hborin@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Ryan Heilman	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340		rheilman@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schiff Hardin LLP	Eugene J. Geekie, Jr.	7500 Sears Tower		Chicago	IL	60606		312-258-5635	312-258-5600	egeekie@schiffhardin.com	Counsel to Means Industries
Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP	Michael Yarnoff	280 King of Prussia Road		Radnor	PA	19087		610-667-7056	610-667-7706	myarnoff@sbclasslaw.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employes's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP	Sean M. Handler	280 King of Prussia Road		Radnor	PA	19087		610-667-7706	610-667-7056	shandler@sbclasslaw.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employes's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Schulte Roth & Sabel LLP	James T. Bentley	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2273	212-593-5955	james.bentley@srz.com	Counsel to Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America
Schulte Roth & Sabel LLP	Michael L. Cook	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2000	212-595-5955	michael.cook@srz.com	Counsel to Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America; D.C. Capital Partners, L.P.
Schulte Roth & Sabel LLP	Carol Weiner Levy	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2000	212-595-5955	carol.weiner.levy@srz.com	Counsel to D.C. Capital Partners, L.P.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Paul M. Baisier, Esq.	1545 Peachtree Street, N.E.	Suite 700	Atlanta	GA	30309-2401		404-885-1500	404-892-7056	pbaisier@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Robert W. Dremluk	620 Eighth Ave		New York	NY	10018-1405		212-218-5500	212-218-5526	rdremluk@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	William J. Hanlon	World Trade Center East	Two Seaport Lane, Suite 300	Boston	MA	02210		617-946-4800	617-946-4801	whanlon@seyfarth.com	Counsel to le Belier/LBQ Foundry S.A. de C.V.
Sheehan Phinney Bass + Green Professional Association	Bruce A. Harwood	1000 Elm Street	P.O. Box 3701	Manchester	NH	03105-3701		603-627-8139	603-627-8121	bharwood@sheehan.com	Counsel to Source Electronics, Inc.
Sheldon S. Toll PLLC	Sheldon S. Toll	2000 Town Center	Suite 2550	Southfield	MI	48075		248-358-2460	248-358-2740	jawtoll@comcast.net	Counsel to Milwaukee Investment Company
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Eric Waters	30 Rockefeller Plaza	24th Floor	New York	NY	10112		212-332-3800	212-332-3888	ewaters@sheppardmullin.com	Counsel to Gary Whitney
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Malani J. Sternstein	30 Rockefeller Plaza	24th Floor	New York	NY	10112		212-332-3800	212-332-3888	msternstein@sheppardmullin.com	Counsel to International Rectifier Corp. and Gary Whitney
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Theodore A. Cohen	333 South Hope Street	48th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-620-1780	213-620-1398	tcohen@sheppardmullin.com	Counsel to Gary Whitney
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Theresa Wardle	333 South Hope Street	48th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-620-1780	213-620-1398	twardle@sheppardmullin.com	Counsel to International Rectifier Corp.
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC	Robert P. Thibeaux	5353 Essen Lane	Suite 650	Baton Rouge	LA	70809		225-757-2185	225-757-7674	rthibeaux@shergarner.com	Counsel to Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC	Robert P. Thibeaux	909 Poydras Street	28th Floor	New Orleans	LA	70112-1033		504-299-2100	504-299-2300	rthibeaux@shergarner.com	Counsel to Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Andrew H. Sherman	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-643-7000	212-643-6500	asherman@sillscummis.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Jack M. Zackin	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-643-7000	212-643-6500	jzackin@sillscummis.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Valerie A Hamilton Simon Kimmelman	650 College Rd E		Princeton	NJ	08540		609-227-4600	609-227-4646	vhamilton@sillscummis.com skimmelman@sillscummis.com	Counsel to Doosan Infracore America Corp.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Silver Point Capital, L.P.	Chaim J. Fortgang	Two Greenwich Plaza	1st Floor	Greenwich	CT	06830		203-542-4216	203-542-4100	cfortgang@silverpointcapital.com	Counsel to Silver Point Capital, L.P.
Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP	Barbara Ellis-Monro	1230 Peachtree Street, N.E.	Suite 3100	Atlanta	GA	30309		404-815-3500	404-815-3509	bellis-monro@sgrlaw.com	Counsel to Southwire Company
Smith, Katzenstein & Furlow LLP	Kathleen M. Miller	800 Delaware Avenue, 7th Floor	P.O. Box 410	Wilmington	DE	19899		302-652-8400	302-652-8405	kmiller@skfdelaware.com	Counsel to Airgas, Inc.
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	D. Farrington Yates	1221 Avenue of the Americas	24th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-768-6700	212-768-6800	fyates@sonnenschein.com	Counsel to Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc. and United Plastics Group
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	Monika J. Machen	8000 Sears Tower	233 South Wacker Drive	Chicago	IL	60606		312-876-8000	312-876-7934	mmachen@sonnenschein.com	Counsel to United Plastics Group
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	Robert E. Richards	8000 Sears Tower	233 South Wacker Drive	Chicago	IL	60606		312-876-8000	312-876-7934	richards@sonnenschein.com	Counsel to Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc.
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.	Penn Ayers Butler	600 Hansen Way		Palo Alto	CA	94304		650-856-6500	650-843-8777	pabutler@ssd.com	Counsel to Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. And Furukawa Electric North America, APD Inc.
State of California Office of the Attorney General	Sarah E. Morrison	Deputy Attorney General	300 South Spring Street Ste 1702	Los Angeles	CA	90013		213-897-2640	213-897-2802	sarah.morrison@doj.ca.gov	Attorneys for the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control
State of Michigan Department of Labor & Economic Growth, Unemployment Insurance Agency	Roland Hwang Assistant Attorney General	3030 W. Grand Boulevard	Suite 9-600	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-2210	313-456-2201	hwangr@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Unemployment Tax Office of the Department of Labor & Economic Growth, Unemployment Insurance Agency
Steel Technologies, Inc.	John M. Baumann	15415 Shelbyville Road		Louisville	KY	40245		502-245-0322	502-245-0542	jmbaumann@steeltechnologies.com	Counsel to Steel Technologies, Inc.
Stein, Rudser, Cohen & Magid LLP	Robert F. Kidd	825 Washington Street	Suite 200	Oakland	CA	94607		510-287-2365	510-987-8333	rkidd@srcm-law.com	Counsel to Excel Global Logistics, Inc.
Sterns & Weinroth, P.C.	Jeffrey S. Posta Michael A Spero Simon Kimmelman Valerie A Hamilton	50 West State Street, Suite 1400	PO Box 1298	Trenton	NJ	08607-1298		609-392-2100	609-392-7956	jposta@sternslaw.com jspecf@sternslaw.com	Counsel to Doosan Infracore America Corp.
Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Chester B. Salomon, Esq. Constantine D. Pourakis, Esq.	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-319-8500	212-319-8505	cs@stevenslee.com cp@stevenslee.com	Counsel to Tonolli Canada Ltd.; VJ Technologies, Inc. and V.J. ElectroniX, Inc.
Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP	Mark A. Shaiken	1201 Walnut Street		Kansas City	MO	64106		816-842-8600	816-691-3495	mshaiken@stinsonmoheck.com	Counsel to Thyssenkrupp Waupaca, Inc. and Thyssenkrupp Stahl Company
Stites & Harbison PLLC	Madison L. Cashman	424 Church Street	Suite 1800	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-5200	615-782-2371	robert.goodrich@stites.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Stites & Harbison PLLC	Robert C. Goodrich, Jr.	424 Church Street	Suite 1800	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-5200	615-782-2371	madison.cashman@stites.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Stites & Harbison, PLLC	W. Robinson Beard, Esq.	400 West Market Street		Louisville	KY	40202		502-681-0448 502-587-3400	502-779-8274 502-587-6391	wbeard@stites.com loucourtsum@stites.com	Counsel to WAKO Electronics (USA), Inc., Ambrake Corporation, and Akebona Corporation (North America)

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, LLP	Kristopher M. Hansen	180 Maiden Lane		New York	NY	10038		212-806-5400	212-806-6006	khansen@stroock.com	Counsel to 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties
Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP	Richard L. Ferrell	425 Walnut Street	Suite 1800	Cincinnati	OH	45202-3957		513-381-2838		ferrell@taftlaw.com	Counsel to Wren Industries, Inc.
Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP	W Timothy Miller Esq	425 Walnut Street	Suite 1800	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-381-2838	513-381-0205	miller@taftlaw.com	Counsel to Select Industries Corporation and Gobar Systems, Inc.
Tennessee Department of Revenue	Marvin E. Clements, Jr.	c/o TN Attorney General's Office, Bankruptcy Division	PO Box 20207	Nashville	TN	37202-0207		615-532-2504	615-741-3334	marvin.clements@state.tn.us	Tennessee Department of Revenue
Terra Law LLP	David B. Draper	60 S. Market Street	Suite 200	San Jose	CA	95113		408-299-1200	408-998-4895	ddraper@terra-law.com	Counsel to Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.
Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP	Jonathan D. Forstot	Two World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-912-7679	212-912-7751	jforstot@tpw.com	Counsel to TT Electronics, Plc
Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP	Louis A. Curcio	Two World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-912-7607	212-912-7751	lcurcio@tpw.com	Counsel to TT Electronics, Plc
The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.	Mr. Tetsuhiro Niizeki	6-1 Marunouchi	2-Chrome, Chiyoda-ku	Tokyo	Japan	100-8322			81-3-3286-3919	niizeki.tetsuhiro@furukawa.co.jp	Legal Department of The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.
The Timken Corporation BIC - 08	Robert Morris	1835 Dueber Ave. SW	PO Box 6927	Canton	OH	44706-0927		330-438-3000	1-330-471-4388	robert.morris@timken.com	Representative for Timken Corporation
Thelen Reid Brown Raysman & Steiner LLP	David A. Lowenthal	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-603-2000	212-603-2001	dlowenthal@thelenreid.com	Counsel to American Finance Group, Inc. d/b/a Guaranty Capital Corporation and Oki Semiconductor Company
Thompson & Knight	Rhett G. Cambell	333 Clay Street	Suite 3300	Houston	TX	77002		713-654-1871	713-654-1871	rhett.campbell@tklaw.com	Counsel to STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Thompson & Knight LLP	Ira L. Herman	919 Third Avenue	39th Floor	New York	NY	10022-3915		212-751-3045	214-999-9139	ira.herman@tklaw.com	Counsel to Victory Packaging
Thompson & Knight LLP	John S. Brannon	1700 Pacific Avenue	Suite 3300	Dallas	TX	75201-4693		214-969-1505	214-969-1609	john.brannon@tklaw.com	Counsel to Victory Packaging
Thurman & Phillips, P.C.	Ed Phillips, Jr.	8000 IH 10 West	Suite 1000	San Antonio	TX	78230		210-341-2020	210-344-6460	ephillips@thurman-phillips.com	Counsel to Royberg, Inc. d/b/a Precision Mold & Tool and d/b/a Precision Mold and Tool Group
Todd & Levi, LLP	Jill Levi, Esq.	444 Madison Avenue	Suite 1202	New York	NY	10022		212-308-7400		jlevi@toddlelevi.com	Counsel to Bank of Lincolnwood
Tyler, Cooper & Alcorn, LLP	W. Joe Wilson	City Place	35th Floor	Hartford	CT	06103-3488		860-725-6200	860-278-3802	jwilson@tylercooper.com	Counsel to Barnes Group, Inc.
Underberg & Kessler, LLP	Helen Zamboni	300 Bausch & Lomb Place		Rochester	NY	14604		585-258-2800	585-258-2821	hzamboni@underbergkessler.com	Counsel to McAlpin Industries, Inc.
Union Pacific Railroad Company	Mary Ann Kilgore	1400 Douglas Street	MC 1580	Omaha	NE	68179		402-544-4195	402-501-0127	mkilgore@UP.com	Counsel to Union Pacific Railroad Company
Varnum, Riddering, Schmidt & Howlett LLP	Michael S. McElwee	Bridgewater Place	P.O. Box 352	Grand Rapids	MI	49501-0352		616-336-6827	616-336-7000	msmcelwee@varnumlaw.com	Co-Counsel to Tower Automotive, Inc.
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz	Emil A. Kleinhaus	51 West 52nd Street		New York	NY	10019-6150		212-403-1000	212-403-2000	EAKleinhaus@wlrk.com	Counsel to Capital Research and Management Company
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz	Richard G. Mason	51 West 52nd Street		New York	NY	10019-6150		212-403-1000	212-403-2000	RGMason@wlrk.com	Counsel to Capital Research and Management Company
Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis, PLLC	David E. Lemke, Esq.	511 Union Street	Suite 2700	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-6380	615-244-6804	david.lemke@wallerlaw.com	Counsel to Nissan North America, Inc.
Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis, PLLC	Robert J. Welhoelter, Esq.	511 Union Street	Suite 2700	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-6380	615-244-6804	robert.welhoelter@wallerlaw.com	Counsel to Nissan North America, Inc.
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Gordon J. Toering	900 Fifth Third Center	111 Lyon Street, N.W.	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-752-2185	616-222-2185	gtoering@wnj.com	Counsel to Robert Bosch Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Michael G. Cruse	2000 Town Center	Suite 2700	Southfield	MI	48075		248-784-5131	248-603-9631	mcruse@wnj.com	Counsel to Compuware Corporation
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Stephen B. Grow	900 Fifth Third Center	111 Lyon Street, N.W.	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-752-2158		growsb@wnj.com	Counsel to Behr Industries Corp.
Weiland, Golden, Smiley, Wang Ekvall & Strok, LLP	Lei Lei Wang Ekvall	650 Town Center Drive	Suite 950	Costa Mesa	CA	92626		714-966-1000	714-966-1002	lekvall@wgllp.com	Counsel to Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.
Weinstein, Eisen & Weiss LLP	Aram Ordubegian	1925 Century Park East	#1150	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-203-9393	310-203-8110	aordubegian@weineisen.com	Counsel to Orbotech, Inc.
Weltman, Weinberg & Reis Co., L.P.A.	Geoffrey J. Peters	175 South Third Street	Suite 900	Columbus	OH	43215		614-857-4326	614-222-2193	gpeters@weltman.com	Counsel to Seven Seventeen Credit Union
White & Case LLP	Glenn Kurtz Gerard Uzzi Douglas Baumstein	1155 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-2787		212-819-8200		skurtz@ny.whitecase.com guzzi@whitecase.com dbaumstein@ny.whitecase.com	Counsel to Appaloosa Management, LP
White & Case LLP	Thomas Lauria Frank Eaton	Wachovia Financial Center	200 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 4900	Miami	FL	33131		305-371-2700	305-358-5744	tlauria@whitecase.com featon@miami.whitecase.com	Counsel to Appaloosa Management, LP
Whyte, Hirschboeck Dudek S.C.	Bruce G. Arnold	555 East Wells Street	Suite 1900	Milwaukee	WI	53202-4894		414-273-2100	414-223-5000	barnold@whdlaw.com	Counsel to Schunk Graphite Technology
Wickens Herzer Panza Cook & Batista Co	James W Moennich Esq	35765 Chester Rd		Avon	OH	44011-1262		440-930-8000	440-930-8098	jmoennich@wickenslaw.com	Counsel for Delphi Sandusky ESOP
Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C.	R. Michael Farquhar	5400 Renaissance Tower	1201 Elm Street	Dallas	TX	75270		214-745-5400	214-745-5390	mfarquhar@winstead.com	Counsel to National Instruments Corporation
Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation	Marc. J. Winthrop	660 Newport Center Drive	4th Floor	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-720-4100	949-720-4111	mwinthrop@winthropcouchot.com	Counsel to Metal Surfaces, Inc.
Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation	Sean A. O'Keefe	660 Newport Center Drive	4th Floor	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-720-4100	949-720-4111	skeefe@winthropcouchot.com	Counsel to Metal Surfaces, Inc.
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC	Lillian H. Pinto	300 North Greene Street	Suite 1900	Greensboro	NC	27402		336-574-8058	336-574-4528	lpinto@wcsr.com	Counsel to Armacell
Zeichner Ellman & Krause LLP	Peter Janovsky	575 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-223-0400	212-753-0396	pjanovsky@zeklaw.com	Counsel to Toyota Tsusho America, Inc. and Karl Kufner, KG aka Karl Kuefner, KG
Zeichner Ellman & Krause LLP	Stuart Krause	575 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-223-0400	212-753-0396	skrause@zeklaw.com	Counsel to Toyota Tsusho America, Inc.

EXHIBIT C

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	PARTY / FUNCTION
Airgas, Inc.	David Boyle	259 Radnor-Chester Road, Suite 100	P.O. Box 6675	Radnor	PA	19087-8675	610-230-3064	Counsel to Airgas, Inc.
Akebono Corporation North America	Alan Swiech	34385 Twelve Mile Road		Farmington Hills	MI	48331	248-489-7406	Vice President of Administration for Akebono Corporation
Angelo, Gordon & Co.	Leigh Walzer	245 Park Avenue	26th Floor	New York	NY	10167	212-692-8251	
APS Clearing, Inc.	Andy Leinhoff Matthew Hamilton	1301 S. Capital of Texas Highway	Suite B-220	Austin	TX	78746	512-314-4416	Counsel to APS Clearing, Inc.
Berry Moorman P.C.	James P. Murphy	535 Griswold	Suite 1900	Detroit	MI	48226	313-496-1200	Counsel to Kamax L.P.; Optrex America, Inc.
Bingham McHale LLP	Michael J Alerding	10 West Market Street	Suite 2700	Indianapolis	IN	46204	317-635-8900	Counsel to Universal Tool & Engineering co., Inc. and M.G. Corporation
Cage Williams & Abelman, P.C.	Steven E. Abelman	1433 Seventeenth Street		Denver	CO	80202	303-295-0202	Counsel to United Power, Inc.
Calinoff & Katz, LLP	Dorothy H. Marinis-Riggio	140 East 45th Street	17th Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-826-8800	Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminum Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Colbert & Winstead, P.C.	Amy Wood Malone	1812 Broadway		Nashville	TN	37203	615-321-0555	Counsel to Averitt Express, Inc.
Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA	Steven M. Wachstein	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402	937-223-8177	Counsel to Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company
Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA	Sylvie J. Derrien	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402	937-223-8177	Counsel to Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	Andrew M. Thau	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061	212-696-8898	Counsel to Flextronics International, Inc., Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	David S. Karp	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061	212-696-6065	Counsel to Flextronics International, Inc., Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.
DaimlerChrysler Corporation	Kim Kolb	CIMS 485-13-32	1000 Chrysler Drive	Auburn Hills	MI	48326-2766	248-576-5741	Counsel to DaimlerChrysler Corporation; DaimlerChrysler Motors Company, LLC; DaimlerChrysler Canada, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	PARTY / FUNCTION
DiConza Law, P.C.	Gerard DiConza, Esq.	630 Third Avenue, 7th Floor		New York	NY	10017	212-682-4940	Counsel to Tyz-All Plastics, Inc.; Co-Counsel to Tower Automotive, Inc.
Dykema Gossett PLLC	Brendan G Best Esq	39577 Woodward Ave Ste 300		Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304	248-203-0523	Attorneys for Tremont City Barrel Fill PRP Group
Dykema Gossett PLLC	Gregory J. Jordan	10 Wacker	Suite 2300	Chicago	IL	60606	312-627-2171	Counsel to Tremont City Barrel Fill PRP Group
Fagel Haber LLC	Gary E. Green	55 East Monroe	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60603	312-346-7500	Counsel to Aluminum International, Inc.
Genovese Joblove & Battista, P.A.	Craig P. Rieders, Esq.	100 S.E. 2nd Street	Suite 4400	Miami	FL	33131	305-349-2300	Counsel to Ryder Integrated Logistics, Inc.
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	Geoffrey C. Jarvis	1201 North Market Street	Suite 2100	Wilmington	DE	19801	302-622-7000	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Heller Ehrman LLP	Carren Shulman	Times Square Tower	Seven Times Square	New York	NY	10036	212-832-8300	Counsel to @Road, Inc.
Howard & Howard Attorneys PC	Lisa S Gretchko	39400 Woodward Ave	Ste 101	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304-5151	248-723-0396	Intellectual Property Counsel for Delphi Corporation, et al.
Howick, Westfall, McBryan & Kaplan, LLP	Louis G. McBryan	3101 Tower Creek Parkway	Ste 600 One Tower Creek	Atlanta	GA	30339	678-384-7000	Counsel to Vanguard Distributors, Inc.
Hunter & Schank Co. LPA	John J. Hunter	One Canton Square	1700 Canton Avenue	Toledo	OH	43624	419-255-4300	Counsel to ZF Group North America Operations, Inc.
Hunter & Schank Co. LPA	Thomas J. Schank	One Canton Square	1700 Canton Avenue	Toledo	OH	43624	419-255-4300	Counsel to ZF Group North America Operations, Inc.
Jason, Inc.	Beth Klimczak, General Counsel	411 E. Wisconsin Ave	Suite 2120	Milwaukee	WI	53202		General Counsel to Jason Incorporated
Johnston, Harris Gerde & Komarek, P.A.	Jerry W. Gerde, Esq.	239 E. 4th St.		Panama City	FL	32401	850-763-8421	Counsel to Peggy C. Brannon, Bay County Tax Collector
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP	Mark I. Bane	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178	212-808-7800	Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP	Mark. R. Somerstein	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178	212-808-7800	Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Klett Rooney Lieber & Schorling	Eric L. Schnabel	The Brandywine Building	1000 West Street, Suite 1410	Wilmington	DE	19801	(302) 552-4200	Counsel to Entergy
Latham & Watkins	John W. Weiss	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-906-1200	UCC Professional
Lord, Bissel & Brook LLP	Rocco N. Covino	885 Third Avenue	26th Floor	New York	NY	10022-4802	212-812-8340	Counsel to Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc. and Methode Electronics, Inc.
McGuirewoods LLP	Elizabeth L. Gunn	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219-4030	804-775-1178	Counsel to Siemens Logistics Assembly Systems, Inc.
Miami-Dade County Tax Collector	Metro-Dade Paralegal Unit	140 West Flagler Street	Suite 1403	Miami	FL	33130	305-375-5314	Paralegal Collection Specialist for Miami-Dade County

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	PARTY / FUNCTION
Miles & Stockbridge, P.C.	Kerry Hopkins	10 Light Street		Baltimore	MD	21202	410-385-3418	Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminum Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Norris, McLaughlin & Marcus	Elizabeth L. Abdelmasieh, Esq	721 Route 202-206	P.O. Box 1018	Somerville	NJ	08876	908-722-0700	Counsel to Rotor Clip Company, Inc.
North Point	Michelle M. Harner	901 Lakeside Avenue		Cleveland	OH	44114	216-586-3939	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC
O'Rourke Katten & Moody	Michael C. Moody	161 N. Clark Street	Suite 2230	Chicago	IL	60601	312-849-2020	Counsel to Ameritech Credit Corporation d/b/a SBC Capital Services
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Curtis J. Weidler	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064	212-373-3157	Counsel to Ambrake Corporation; Akebono Corporation
Pickrel Shaeffer & Ebeling	Sarah B. Carter Esq	2700 Kettering Tower		Dayton	OH	45423		
Professional Technologies Services	John V. Gorman	P.O. Box #304		Frankenmuth	MI	48734	989-385-3230	Corporate Secretary for Professional Technologies Services
Reed Smith	Richard P. Norton	One Riverfront Plaza	1st Floor	Newark	NJ	07102	973-621-3200	Counsel to Jason Incorporated, Sackner Products Division
Republic Engineered Products, Inc.	Joseph Lapinsky	3770 Embassy Parkway		Akron	OH	44333	330-670-3004	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
Ropers, Majeski, Kohn & Bentley	Christopher Norgaard	515 South Flower Street	Suite 1100	Los Angeles	CA	90071	213-312-2000	Counsel to Brembo S.p.A; Bibielle S.p.A.; AP Racing
Sachnoff & Weaver, Ltd	Charles S. Schulman	10 South Wacker Drive	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60606	312-207-1000	Counsel to Infineon Technologies North America Corporation
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Max Newman	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304	248-540-3340	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schiff Hardin LLP	William I. Kohn	6600 Sears Tower		Chicago	IL	60066	312-258-5500	Counsel to Means Industries
Shipman & Goodwin LLP	Jennifer L. Adamy	One Constitution Plaza		Hartford	CT	06103-1919	860-251-5811	Counsel to Fortune Plastics Company of Illinois, Inc.; Universal Metal Hose Co.,
Sony Electronics Inc.	Lloyd B. Sarakin - Chief Counsel, Finance and Credit	1 Sony Drive	MD #1 E-4	Park Ridge	NJ	07656	201-930-7483	Counsel to Sony Electronics, Inc.
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.	Eric Marcks	One Maritime Plaza	Suite 300	San Francisco	CA	94111-3492		Counsel to Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. And Furukawa Electric North America, APD Inc.
Steinberg Shapiro & Clark	Mark H. Shapiro	24901 Northwestern Highway	Suite 611	Southfield	MI	48075	248-352-4700	Counsel to Bing Metals Group, Inc.; Genral Transport International, Inc.; Crown Enterprises, Inc.; Economy Transport, Inc.; Logistics Insight Corp (LINC); Universal Am-Can, Ltd.; Universal Truckload Services, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	PARTY / FUNCTION
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, LLP	Joseph G. Minias	180 Maiden Lane		New York	NY	10038	212-806-5400	Counsel to 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. a
Swidler Berlin LLP	Robert N. Steinwurtzel	The Washington Harbour	3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300	Washington	DC	20007	202-424-7500	Attorneys for Sanders Lead Co., Inc.
Togut, Segal & Segal LLP	Albert Togut, Esq.	One Penn Plaza	Suite 3335	New York	NY	10119	212-594-5000	Conflicts counsel to Debtors
United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy	Allied Industrial and Service Workers, Intl Union (USW), AFL-CIO	David Jury, Esq.	Five Gateway Center Suite 807	Pittsburgh	PA	15222	412-562-2549	Counsel to United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP	Robert J. Sidman, Esq.	52 East Gay Street	P.O. Box 1008	Columbus	OH	43216-1008	614-464-6422	
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP	Tiffany Strelow Cobb	52 East Gay Street		Columbus	OH	43215	614-464-8322	Counsel to America Online, Inc. and its Subsidiaries and Affiliates
Warner Stevens, L.L.P.	Michael D. Warner	301 Commerce Street	Suite 1700	Fort Worth	TX	76102	817-810-5250	Counsel to Electronic Data Systems Corp. and EDS Information Services, L.L.C.
Weiland, Golden, Smiley, Wang Ekvall & Strok, LLP	Lei Lei Wang Ekvall	650 Town Center Drive	Suite 950	Costa Mesa	CA	92626	714-966-1000	Counsel to Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.
Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C.	Berry D. Spears	401 Congress Avenue	Suite 2100	Austin	TX	78701	512-370-2800	Counsel to National Instruments Corporation
WL Ross & Co., LLC	Stephen Toy	600 Lexington Avenue	19th Floor	New York	NY	10022	212-826-1100	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC

EXHIBIT D

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

NOTICE OF SUFFICIENCY HEARING WITH RESPECT
TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 3139

(JAMES HUTZ, JR.)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 26, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 3139 (the "Proof of Claim") filed by James Hutz, Jr. (the "Claimant") pursuant to the Debtors' Twenty-Second Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate Or Amended Claims, (B) Equity Claims, (C) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (D) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (E) Untimely Claims, And (F) Claims Subject to Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, Claims Subject To Modification That Are Subject To Prior Orders, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation That Are Subject To Prior Orders (Docket No. 10738).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objection To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089), entered December 6, 2006 (the "Order") and the Second Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered November 20, 2007 (Docket No. 10994), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for January 31, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Sufficiency Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
January 2, 2008

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X	
	:
In re	:
	:
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:
	:
Debtors.	:
	:
-----X	

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

Date Filed	Claim Number	Asserted Claim Amount¹	Basis For Objection	Treatment Of Claim	Surviving Claim Number (if any)

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT E

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOFS OF CLAIM NUMBERS 11602 AND 11603
(FREUDENBERG NOK INC AND FREUDENBERG NOK GENERAL PARTNERSHIP)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on July 13, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 11602 (the "Proof Of Claim No. 11602") filed by Freudenberg NOK Inc ("Freudenberg NOK") and proof of claim number 11603 ("Proof Of Claim No. 11603," and together with Proof Of Claim No. 11602, "the Proofs Of Claim") filed by Freudenberg NOK General Partnership ("Freudenberg NOK GP," and together with Freudenberg NOK, the "Claimants") pursuant to the Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Untimely Claim, And (D) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject to Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, And Consensually Modified And Reduced Claims (Docket No. 8617).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Second Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered November 20, 2007 (Docket No. 10994), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proofs of Claim was scheduled for January 31, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices

And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order"), the Claims Objection Hearing for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proofs of Claim is hereby adjourned to February 7 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Those deadlines calculated based on the hearing date or the notice date shall be calculated based on the February 7 2008 hearing date or the January 2, 2008 notice date, as applicable, rather than the original January 31, 2008 hearing date or the original November 27, 2007 notice date. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proofs of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimants.

Dated: New York, New York
January 2, 2008

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
: In re : Chapter 11
: :
: DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
: :
: Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
: :
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy
Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

Date Filed	Claim Number	Asserted Claim Amount¹	Basis For Objection	Treatment Of Claim	Surviving Claim Number (if any)

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT F

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
	:	
In re	:	
	:	Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	
	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors.	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
-----X	:	

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 2433
(AKEBONO CORPORATION)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on September 21, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 2433 (the "Proof of Claim") filed by Akebono Corporation (the "Claimant") pursuant to the Debtors' Twenty-First Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate Or Amended Claims, (B) Untimely Equity Claim, (C) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (D) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (E) Untimely Claims, And (F) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claim Subject To Modification, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation (Docket No. 9535).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on November 27, 2007, the Debtors filed the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claims No. 2433 (Akebono Corporation) (Docket No. 11095) scheduling a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Original Proofs of Claim for January 31, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Paragraph 9(a)(ii) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order"), and the Second Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered November 20, 2007 (Docket No. 10994) the Claims Objection Hearing is hereby adjourned to February 8, 2008, at 10:00 a.m.

(prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. All provisions and deadlines set forth in the Order shall remain in full force and effect. Those deadlines shall be calculated based on the February 8, 2008 hearing date rather than the January 31, 2008 hearing date. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proofs of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Claims Objection Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
January 2, 2008

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 9331)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X	
	:
In re	:
	:
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:
	:
Debtors.	:
	:
-----X	

Chapter 11
Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
(Jointly Administered)

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

Date Filed	Claim Number	Asserted Claim Amount¹	Basis For Objection	Treatment Of Claim	Surviving Claim Number (if any)

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200__

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200__

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT G

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH RESPECT
TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOFS OF CLAIM NOS. 1472 AND 2274
(SHERWIN WILLIAMS)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on July 13, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proofs of claim numbers 1472 and 2274 ("the Proofs of Claim") filed by Sherwin Williams Company and Sherwin Williams Automotive Finishes Corp (collectively, the "Claimants"), respectively, pursuant to the Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Untimely Claim, And (D) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject to Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, And Consensually Modified And Reduced Claims (Docket No. 8617) (the "Nineteenth Omnibus Claims Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on November 27, 2007, the Debtors filed the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Nos. 1472 And 2274 (Sherwin Williams Automotive Corp) (Docket No. 11103) scheduling a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proofs of Claim for January 31, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Paragraph 9(a)(ii) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order"), and the Second Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014

Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered November 20, 2007 (Docket No. 10994) the Claims Objection Hearing is hereby adjourned to February 7, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the Court.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. All provisions and deadlines set forth in the Order shall remain in full force and effect. Those deadlines calculated based on the hearing date shall be calculated based on the February 7, 2008 hearing date rather than the January 31, 2008 hearing date. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proofs of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Claims Objection Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimants.

Dated: New York, New York
January 2, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re : Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy
Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

Date Filed	Claim Number	Asserted Claim Amount¹	Basis For Objection	Treatment Of Claim	Surviving Claim Number (if any)

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200__

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200__

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT H

Hearing Date: February 20, 2008
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

DEBTORS' STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES WITH RESPECT TO PROOFS OF
CLAIM NUMBERS 2420, 2422, 4536, 5761, 5762, 6354, 9272, 16633, AND 16724
(STATE OF MICHIGAN, DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY)

("STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES –
STATE OF MICHIGAN, DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY (USE TAXES)")

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, including Delphi Automotive Systems LLC ("DAS LLC"), Delphi Automotive Systems Services LLC ("DASS LLC"), and Delphi Technologies, Inc. ("DTI"), debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby submit this Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 2420, 2422, 4536, 5761, 5762, 6354, 9272, 16633, And 16724 (State Of Michigan, Department Of Treasury) (this "Statement of Disputed Issues") and respectfully represent as follows:

Background

1. On March 27, 2006, the State of Michigan Department of Revenue ("Michigan") filed proof of claim number 2420 ("Claim 2420") against DAS LLC. Claim 2420 asserts an unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$10,790,199.00 arising from certain use taxes and interest allegedly owed by DAS LLC to Michigan for tax years 1999, 2000, and 2001.

2. On March 27, 2006, Michigan filed proof of claim number 2422 ("Claim 2422") against DAS LLC. Claim 2422 asserts an unsecured priority claim in the amount of \$6,386,401.82 arising from certain taxes and interest allegedly owed by DAS LLC to Michigan for tax years, or portions thereof, 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005. Of the total amount asserted in Claim 2422, \$6,386,243.50 (comprising both taxes and interest) is on account of use taxes, and the remainder (\$158.32) relates to a Michigan Diesel Floor Tax.

3. On May 2, 2006, Michigan filed proof of claim number 4536 ("Claim 4536") against DASS LLC. Claim 4536 asserts an unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$20,048.53 arising from certain use taxes and interest allegedly owed by DASS LLC to Michigan for certain periods in 2000 and 2001.

4. On May 12, 2006, Michigan filed proof of claim number 5761 ("Claim 5761") against DTI. Claim 5761 asserts an unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$1,250,306.00 arising from certain use taxes and interest allegedly owed by DTI to Michigan for tax years 1999, 2000, and 2001.

5. On May 12, 2006, Michigan filed proof of claim number 5762 ("Claim 5762") against DTI. Claim 5762 asserts an unsecured priority claim in the amount of \$1,276,165.80 arising from certain use taxes and interest allegedly owed by DTI to Michigan for tax years, or portions thereof, 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005.

6. On May 19, 2006, Michigan filed proof of claim number 6354 ("Claim 6354") against Delphi. Claim 6354 asserts an unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$666,927.27 arising from certain taxes and interest allegedly owed by Delphi to Michigan for tax years 1999, 2000, and 2001. Of the total amount asserted in Claim 6354, \$368,923.52 (comprising both taxes and interest) is on account of use tax, and the remainder relates to the Michigan Single Business Tax ("SBT").¹

7. On July 19, 2006, Michigan filed proof of claim number 9272 ("Claim 9272") against Delphi. Claim 9272 asserts an unsecured priority claim in the amount of \$5,731,238.42 arising from certain taxes and interest allegedly owed by Delphi to Michigan for tax years, or portions thereof, 1999, 2000, 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005. Of the total amount asserted in Claim 9272, \$202,883.36 (comprising both taxes and interest) is on account of use taxes, and the remainder relates to SBT tax.

¹ See Mich. Comp. Laws §§ 208.1-145. The claims asserted on account of SBT taxes in Claim 6354, Claim 9272, and Claim 16633 are not subject to the evidentiary hearing for which this Statement of Disputed Issues is being filed. Rather, the claims asserted on account of SBT taxes were previously noticed on November 2, 2007 for an evidentiary hearing scheduled for January 10, 2008 (the "SBT Claim Hearing").

8. On July 30, 2007, Michigan filed proof of claim number 16633 ("Claim 16633") against Delphi amending Claim 9272. Claim 16633 asserts an unsecured priority claim in the amount of \$12,954,363.21 arising from certain taxes and interest allegedly owed by Delphi to Michigan for tax years, or portions thereof, 1999, 2000, 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005. Of the total amount asserted in Claim 16633, \$202,883.36 (comprising both taxes and interest) is on account of use taxes, and the remainder relates to SBT tax.

9. On October 17, 2007, Michigan filed proof of claim number 16724 ("Claim 16724," together with Claim 2420, Claim 2422, Claim 4536, Claim 5761, Claim 5762, Claim 6354, Claim 9272, and Claim 16633, the "Claims") against Delphi amending Claim 16633. Claim 16724 asserts an unsecured priority claim in the amount of \$10,459,293.50 arising from certain taxes and interest allegedly owed by Delphi to Michigan for tax years, or portions thereof, 1999, 2000, 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005. Of the total amount asserted in Claim 16724, \$202,883.36 (comprising both taxes and interest) is on account of use taxes, and the remainder relates to SBT tax.²

10. On August 24, 2007, the Debtors objected to Claims 2420, 2422, 4536, 5761, 5762, 6354, and 9272, among others, pursuant to the Debtors' Twentieth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (a) Duplicate And Amended Claims, (b) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (c) Claims Not Reflected On

² Michigan has explained that proof of claim number 16724 supersedes Claim 16633, which superseded Claim 9272. Therefore, the Debtors have previously requested that any claim asserted on account of SBT taxes in proof of claim number 16724 be included in the notice and supplemental pleadings filed in connection with the SBT Hearing scheduled for January 10, 2008. As Michigan stated with respect to both Claim 9272 and Claim 16633, "[t]his claim is no longer a valid claim and has been superseded and amended by Claim #16724, in the amount of \$10,459,293.50." State Of Michigan, Department Of Treasury' Supplemental Response To Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 6354, 6383, 9272 And 16633 (State Of Michigan, Department Of Treasury) (Docket No. 11296) (the "SBT Supp. Response"), at 5.

Debtors' Books And Records, (d) Untimely Claim, And (e) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, Consensually Modified And Reduced Tort Claims, And Lift Stay Procedures Claims Subject To Modification (Docket No. 9151).

11. On September 11, 2007, Michigan filed its Response Of The State Of Michigan, Department Of Treasury To Debtor's 20th Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (C) Claims Not Reflected On The Debtor's Books And Records, And (E) Tax Claims Subject To Modification (Docket No. 9337) (the "20th Objection Response"). In accordance with the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"), Claims 2420, 2422, 4536, 5761, 5762, 6354, and 9272, among others, were adjourned until a date to be decided by the Debtors.

12. On September 21, 2007, the Debtors objected to Claim 16633, among others, pursuant to the Debtors' Twenty-First Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate Or Amended Claims, (B) Untimely Equity Claim, (C) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (D) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (E) Untimely Claims, And (F) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claim Subject To Modification, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation (Docket No. 9535).

13. On October 4, 2007, Michigan filed its Response Of The State Of Michigan, Department Of Treasury to Debtor's Twenty-First Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(B) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (E) Untimely Claims (Docket No.

10445). In accordance with the Claims Objection Procedures Order, Claim 16633 was adjourned until a date to be decided by the Debtors.

14. On October 26, 2007 the Debtors objected to Claim 16724, among others, pursuant to the Debtors' Twenty-Second Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate Or Amended Claims, (B) Equity Claims, (C) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (D) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (E) Untimely Claims, And (F) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, Claims Subject To Modification That Are Subject To Prior Orders, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation That Are Subject To Prior Orders (Docket No. 10738).

15. On November 15, 2007, Michigan filed its Response Of The State Of Michigan, Department Of Treasury To Debtors' Twenty-Second Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (E) Untimely Claims (Docket No. 10945).

16. On December 17, 2007, the Debtors filed the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Nos. 2420, 2422, 4536, 5761, 5762, 6354, 9272, 16633, And 16724 (State Of Michigan Department Of Treasury) (Docket No. 11486) (the "Notice of Evidentiary Hearing").

17. Although Claim 2422, Claim 6354, Claim 9272, Claim 16633, and Claim 16724 assert amounts on account of use taxes and other taxes (including SBT taxes and Diesel Floor Taxes), the Debtors intend with respect to the Notice of Evidentiary Hearing to seek a determination for all taxes other than SBT taxes. The proofs of claim asserting SBT taxes are subject to the SBT Hearing and not subject to this proceeding.

Disputed Issues

18. As of the filing of this Statement of Disputed Issues, Michigan is currently auditing the use taxes for DAS LLC for the period of January 1, 1999 through October 7, 2005. The Debtors anticipate that this audit will be concluded before the commencement of the evidentiary hearing with respect to the Claims. In addition, the Debtors understand that Michigan anticipates commencing an audit against DTI for the period of January 1, 1999 through October 7, 2005. Such audit against DTI, however, has not yet commenced, but the Debtors anticipate that the DTI use tax audit will also be concluded before the commencement of the evidentiary hearing with respect to the Claims.

19. When Michigan commenced the use tax audit against DAS LLC, Michigan requested information regarding various categories of purchases that may be subject to use taxes. As of the filing of this Statement of Disputed Issues, the Debtors and Michigan have reached an agreement on all but two of the categories of purchases that were being audited.³ The two categories of purchases that remain unresolved are: (a) purchases and capital expenses related to information technology and (b) purchases of utility services. The Debtors are currently working with the auditors to resolve these remaining matters.

20. By way of background, the State of Michigan imposes a use tax "for the privilege of using, storing, or consuming tangible personal property in [the] state." Mich. Comp.

³ This Statement of Disputed Issues describes those issues that remain unresolved in the ongoing audit. The Debtors expressly reserve all rights to amend this Statement of Disputed Issues or to more fully explain those matters in subsequent filings in connection with this proceeding to the extent that (a) the Debtors and Michigan are unable to resolve the outstanding matters, (b) any matter that the parties believe was resolved becomes the subject of dispute, or (c) a new matter that arises.

Laws § 205.93 (1).⁴ If sales taxes are paid at the point of sale, then such purchases are exempt from use taxes. See Mich. Comp. Law § 205.94(1)(a).⁵ Because DAS LLC is a direct permit taxpayer with respect to sales and use taxes, DAS LLC does not pay sales tax at the time of purchase of tangible personal property in the state of Michigan. Instead, DAS LLC pays use taxes "based on the privilege of using, storing, or consuming personal property" in the state of Michigan. Mich. Comp. Laws § 205.93(1).

21. The Michigan use tax laws contain many exemptions, one of which is an exemption for goods purchased by "[a]n industrial processor for use or consumption in industrial processing." Mich. Comp. Laws § 205.94o(1)(a). Industrial processing includes, among other things, "[p]roduction or assembly," "[r]esearch or experimental activities," and "[e]ngineering related to industrial processing." See Mich. Comp. Laws § 205.54t(3).⁶ Therefore, although the

⁴ "There is levied upon and there shall be collected from every person in this state a specific tax for the privilege of using, storing, or consuming tangible personal property in this state at a rate equal to 6% of the price of the property"

⁵ "Property sold in this state on which transaction a tax is paid under the general sales tax act, 1933 PA 167, MCL 205.51 to 205.78, if the tax was due and paid on the retail sale to a consumer."

⁶ Industrial processing includes the following activities:

- (a) Production or assembly.
- (b) Research or experimental activities.
- (c) Engineering related to industrial processing.
- (d) Inspection, quality control, or testing to determine whether particular units of materials or products or processes to conform to specific parameters at any time before materials or products first come to rest in finished goods inventory storage.
- (e) Planning, scheduling, supervision, or control of production or other exempt activities.
- (f) Design, construction, or maintenance of production or other exempt machinery, equipment, and tooling.
- (g) Remanufacturing.
- (h) Processing of production scrap and waste up to the point it is stored for removal from the plant origin.
- (i) Recycling of used materials for ultimate sale at retail or reuse.
- (j) Production of material handling.
- (k) Storage of in-process materials.

Mich. Comp. Law § 205.54t(3).

Claims assert that substantial amounts are owed on account of use taxes, a substantial portion of the amounts asserted are exempt from use taxes because they qualify for the industrial processing exemption.

22. The Debtors dispute Claim 2420, Claim 2422, Claim 4536, Claim 5761, Claim 5762, Claim 6354, Claim 9272, Claim 16633, and Claim 16724 on at least six grounds: (1) Claim 9272 and Claim 16633 are superseded by Claim 16724, (2) the Debtors are not liable for use taxes for information-technology purchases allocated outside of Michigan, (3) the Debtors are not required to pay Michigan use taxes for utility consumption that falls within the industrial-processing exception, (4) Delphi is not liable for use taxes incurred, if at all, by a predecessor in interest to DAS LLC, (5) DASS LLC, an employee leasing company, has no use tax liability, and (6) DTI has limited use tax liability, if any. A more detailed description of these issues follows.

A. Proofs Of Claim Superseded By Claim 16724

23. As reflected in Michigan's SBT Supp. Response, the most recent filing in connection with its proofs of claims, Michigan admits that Claim 9272 and Claim 16633 are "no longer a valid claim[s] and [have] been superseded and amended by Claim #16724, in the amount of \$10,459,293.50." SBT Supp. Response at 5. Therefore, Claim 9272 and Claim 16633 should be disallowed and expunged, as they have been superseded by Claim 16724.

B. Delphi Is Not Liable For Use Taxes For Information-Technology Purchases Allocated Outside Of Michigan

24. Computer software generally constitutes tangible personal property that is subject to Michigan use taxes. To determine the amount of use taxes due, certain allocation rules must be applied. The allocation rules changed during the audit period because Michigan adopted

the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement on September 1, 2004. The Debtors purchase software and that software is housed on a particular server in a specific location. The software is then accessed and used by the Debtors at various locations of the Debtors. The main servers are located outside the State of Michigan, but the software is accessed by employees in Michigan and other states as well.

25. Because DAS LLC is a direct pay permit holder, DAS LLC does not pay sales tax upon the purchase of the software. Rather, DAS LLC pays use taxes based on the usage and consumption of the property. Before September 1, 2004, Michigan taxed the property based on the location of the software. Thus, if the software was located on a server in Michigan, the usage of the software was taxed regardless of whether the usage took place inside or outside the State of Michigan. Similarly, if the software was located on a server outside of Michigan, the software was not taxed in Michigan, even if the software was used in Michigan. After September 1, 2004, however, under the allocation rules of the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement, the usage of software is taxed based on the point of use, rather than the place where the software resides. Therefore, even if software resides on a server outside of Michigan, the taxpayer would still be obligated to pay Michigan use taxes based on the amount of use of the software from within Michigan. Similarly, if software were housed on a server in Michigan, but the software were used from outside of Michigan, the taxpayer would only be liable for usage from within the State of Michigan.

26. The Claims must be reduced to the extent that they assert amounts for software that are not subject to use taxes in Michigan. The Debtors reserve their rights to further object to the Claims with respect to this allocation of use should the Debtors be unable to reach an agreement with the auditors to resolve this issue.

C. The Industrial-Processing Exception Limits The Debtors' Liability For Use Taxes On Account Of Utility Services

27. Utility services are tangible personal property that are subject to Michigan use tax based on consumption or use, unless otherwise excepted from the Michigan use tax statute. Because a large portion of the utilities consumed by the Debtors are used in the manufacturing process, this consumption of utility services are exempt from Michigan use taxes under the industrial processing exception as more fully described above. Utility services used in connection with general administrative functions, however, are subject to Michigan use taxes. Accordingly, the Claims must be reduced to the extent that they assert a claim on account of utilities consumption without accounting for the industrial-processing exception. The Debtors reserve their rights to further object to Claims with respect to utility services.

D. Delphi Corporation Has No Use Tax Liability

28. Claim 6354, Claim 9272, Claim 16633, and Claim 16724 each assert claims against Delphi for use taxes owed. Delphi is a holding company for other operating entities such as DAS LLC, among others. Thus, most, if not all, of the transactions that might be subject to Michigan use taxes would have been conducted by DAS LLC, rather than Delphi. Moreover, all of the amounts asserted on the proofs of claim against Delphi for use taxes relate to FME0106296. This identification number relates to a predecessor-in-interest to DAS LLC that is no longer in existence. Therefore, any potential liability relating to the entity with the identification number of FME0106296 would lie with DAS LLC, not Delphi. Accordingly, Claim 6354 should, therefore, be reduced by \$91,798.96, Claim 9272 should be reduced by

\$202,883.36, Claim 16633 should be reduced by \$202,883.36, and Claim 16724 should be reduced by \$202,883.36.⁷

E. Delphi Automotive Systems Services LLC Has No Liability For Use Taxes

29. Claim 4536 asserts a claim on account of Michigan use tax allegedly owed by DASS LLC. DASS LLC is an employee leasing entity, and by its very nature, does not engage in transactions that would give rise to use tax liability. Because DASS LLC has no use tax liability, Claim 4536 should, therefore, be disallowed and expunged in its entirety.

F. Most Purchases By DTI Should Be Exempt From Michigan Use Taxes

30. As noted above, Michigan has not formally commenced a use tax audit with respect to DTI. The Debtors have never filed a sales and use tax return for DTI and no documents have been formally requested by the auditors to undertake the audit. Therefore, the basis for the DTI claims is unknown.

31. DTI holds various intellectual property owned by the Debtors and also conducts operations at one facility for research and development purposes. Therefore, most of the consumption of tangible property by the Debtors in connection with DTI will be exempt from use taxes under the industrial processing exception. Accordingly, the Debtors request that the claims asserted against DTI be reduced or disallowed completely, and the Debtors reserve their rights to further object to Claim 5761 and Claim 5762 with respect to any issue that remains unresolved in connection with these claims.

⁷

As discussed above, because Claim 9272 and Claim 16633 have been superseded by Claim 16724, Claim 9272 and Claim 16633 should be disallowed and expunged in their entirety. If this Court determines that Claim 9272 and Claim 16633 should not be disallowed and expunged, Claim 9272 and Claim 16633 should each be reduced by \$202,883.36 for the reasons described herein.

Reservation Of Rights

32. This Statement Of Disputed Issues is submitted by the Debtors pursuant to paragraph 9(d) the Claims Objection Procedures Order. Consistent with the provisions of the Claims Objection Procedures Order, the Debtors' submission of this Statement Of Disputed Issues is without prejudice to (a) the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of Claim 2420, Claim 2422, Claim 4536, Claim 5761, Claim 5762, Claim 6354, Claim 9272, Claim 16633, or Claim 16724; (b) the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of Claim 2420, Claim 2422, Claim 4536, Claim 5761, Claim 5762, Claim 6354, Claim 9272, Claim 16633, or Claim 16724; (c) the Debtors' right to seek a determination of tax liability under section 505 of the Bankruptcy Code with respect to Claim 2420, Claim 2422, Claim 4536, Claim 5761, Claim 5762, Claim 6354, Claim 9272, Claim 16633, or Claim 16724; and (d) the Debtors' right to identify later additional claims asserted by Michigan and schedule a hearing under the Claims Objection Procedures Order.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order

(a) disallowing and expunging with prejudice Claim 4536, Claim 9272, and Claim 16633,

(b) reducing Claim 16724 by an amount of \$202,883.36, (c) reducing the remainder of the

Claims in accordance with the amounts of use tax actually owed by the Debtors, and (d) granting

the Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
January 2, 2008

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 9331)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT I

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOFS OF CLAIM NUMBERS 15679 AND 15681
(FRY'S METALS, INC., A COOKSON ELECTRONICS COMPANY)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 22, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 15679 (the "Proof Of Claim No. 15679") and proof of claim number 15681 ("Proof Of Claim No. 15681," and together with Proof Of Claim No. 15679, "the Proofs Of Claim") filed by Fry's Metals Inc., A Cookson Electronics Company ("Fry's Metals") pursuant to the Debtors' Fifteenth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Untimely Claims And Untimely Tax Claim, And (D) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject to Modification, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation (Docket No. 7999).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Second Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered November 20, 2007 (Docket No. 10994), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proofs of Claim was scheduled for January 31, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089)

(the "Order"), the Claims Objection Hearing for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proofs of Claim is hereby adjourned to February 7, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Those deadlines calculated based on the hearing date or the notice date shall be calculated based on the February 7, 2008 hearing date or the January 2, 2008 notice date, as applicable, rather than the original January 31, 2008 hearing date or the original November 27, 2007 notice date. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proofs of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimants.

Dated: New York, New York
January 2, 2008

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re : Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy
Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

Date Filed	Claim Number	Asserted Claim Amount¹	Basis For Objection	Treatment Of Claim	Surviving Claim Number (if any)

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT J

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Jesse L. Snyder	Louis A. Curcio	THACHER PROFFITT & WOOD LLP	Two World Financial Center	New York	NY	10281
26 Market Street, 8th Floor	Gregg A. Rossi, ROSSI & ROSSI	Huntington Bank Building	PO Box 6045	Youngstown	OH	44501

EXHIBIT K

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Ralph E. McDowell	Bodman LLP	1901 St. Antoine Street	6th Floor at Ford Field	Detroit	MI	48226
David J. Nowaczewski	Bodman LLP	1901 St. Antoine Street	6th Floor at Ford Field	Detroit	MI	48226

EXHIBIT L

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Davis, Polk & Wardwell	Donald Bernstein Brian Resnick	450 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-450-4092 212-450-4213	212-450-3092 212-450-3213	donald.bernstein@dpw.com brian.resnick@dpw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Postpetition Administrative Agent
Delphi Corporation	Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	248-813-2491	sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.j.craft@delphi.com	Debtors
Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson	Brad Eric Sheler Bonnie Steingart Vivek Melwani Jennifer L. Rodburg Richard J. Slivinski	One New York Plaza		New York	NY	10004	212-859-8000	212-859-4000	rodbuie@ffhsj.com sliviri@ffhsj.com	Counsel to Equity Security Holders Committee
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Richard Duker	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-5484	212-270-4016	richard.duker@jpmorgan.com	Prepetition Administrative Agent
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Susan Atkins, Gianni Russello	277 Park Ave 8th Fl		New York	NY	10172	212-270-0426	212-270-0430	gianni.russello@jpmorgan.com susan.atkins@jpmorgan.com	Postpetition Administrative Agent
Latham & Watkins LLP	Robert J. Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-906-1370	212-751-4864	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	Counsel to Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP	Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H. Trust, William T. Russell, Jr.	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-455-2000	212-455-2502	kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com wrussell@stblaw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Prepetition Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons, Ron E. Meisler	333 W. Wacker Dr.	Suite 2100	Chicago	IL	60606	312-407-0700	312-407-0411	jbutler@skadden.com jlyonsch@skadden.com rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J. Matz	4 Times Square	P.O. Box 300	New York	NY	10036	212-735-3000	212-735-2000	kmarafio@skadden.com tmatz@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
United States Trustee	Alicia M. Leonhard	33 Whitehall Street	21st Floor	New York	NY	10004-2112	212-510-0500	212-668-2255 does not take service via fax		Counsel to United States Trustee

Pg 223 of 273
Delphi Corporation
Special Parties

Company	Contact	Address1	City	State	Zip
Honigman Miller Schwartz And Cohn Llp	Lawrence J. Murphy, Esq.	2290 First National Building	Detroit	MI	48226

EXHIBIT M

Hearing Date and Time: January 10, 2008 at 10:00 a.m.
Supplemental Response Date and Time: January 8, 2008 at 4:00 p.m.

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	-	x	
	:		
In re	:	Chapter 11	
	:		
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)	
	:		
	:	(Jointly Administered)	
Debtors.	:		
-----	-	x	

DEBTORS' SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO THE RESPONSES OF
LIGHTSOURCE PARENT CORPORATION AND GUIDE CORPORATION TO
THE DEBTORS' OBJECTIONS TO PROOFS OF CLAIM NOS. 14070 AND 14245

("SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY – LIGHTSOURCE PARENT CORPORATION
AND GUIDE CORPORATION")

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby submit their Supplemental Reply To The Responses Of Lightsource Parent Corporation And Guide Corporation To The Debtors' Objections To Proofs Of Claim Nos. 14070 And 14245, and respectfully represent as follows:

Preliminary Statement

1. Effective January 1, 1999, the assets and liabilities of various divisions and subsidiaries of General Motors Corporation ("GM") were transferred to Delphi and its subsidiaries and affiliates in accordance with the terms of the Master Separation Agreement dated as of December 22, 1998 Among General Motors Corporation, Delphi Automotive Systems Corporation, Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, Delphi Technologies, Inc. And Delphi Automotive Systems (Holding), Inc. (the "MSA"). Although in the MSA Delphi assumed certain of GM's obligations and responsibilities, the MSA specifically provides that:

[The MSA] shall be binding upon and inure solely to the benefit of each party hereto . . . and nothing in [the MSA], express or implied, is intended to confer upon any other Person any rights or remedies of any nature whatsoever under or by reason of [the MSA] . . .

MSA, § 9.05 (emphasis added). This provision expressly precludes any third party from seeking to enforce any provision of the MSA.

2. Despite this clear and unambiguous language in the MSA, Guide Corporation ("Guide") and Lightsource Parent Corporation ("Lightsource," and together with Guide, the "Claimants") nevertheless argue that they have standing to assert proofs of claim numbers 14070 and 14245 (the "Proofs of Claim") against Delphi for obligations addressed in the MSA (the "Claims").

3. The Debtors respectfully submit that Lightsource and Guide do not have standing to assert claims against Delphi for obligations addressed in the MSA. Accordingly, the Proofs of Claim should be disallowed and expunged.

Argument

4. The Claimants have failed to establish that they have standing to bring claims against Delphi. The MSA, upon which the Claims are based, unambiguously disclaims all liability to third parties. When a document is unambiguous, as is the MSA, the court should not rewrite the terms of the agreement. See Cruden v. Bank of New York, 957 F.2d 961, 976 (2d Cir. 1992) ("A court may neither rewrite, under the guise of interpretation, a term of the contract when the term is clear and unambiguous, nor redraft a contract to accord with its instinct for the dispensation of equity upon the facts of a given case."); Rhone-Poulenc Basic Chemicals Co. v. American Motorists Insurance Co., 616 A.2d 1192, 1195 (Del. 1992) ("Clear and unambiguous language . . . should be given its ordinary and usual meaning.").¹ Furthermore, a contract term is not rendered ambiguous simply because the parties disagree on its proper interpretation. See Rhone-Poulenc, 616 A.2d at 1196 (contract "ambiguous only when the provisions in controversy are reasonably or fairly susceptible of different interpretations or may have two or more different meanings"); Lee v. BSB Greenwich Mortg. Ltd. Partnership, 267 F.3d 172, 178 (2d Cir. 2001) ("A party cannot create an ambiguity in an otherwise plain agreement merely by 'urging different interpretations in the litigation.'" (citation omitted)); Authentic Hansom Cabs, Ltd. v. Fayolle, 300 B.R. 843, 850 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2003) ("Unambiguous contract language is not

¹ Both the MSA and Lightsource Formation Agreement ("LFA") contain choice of law provisions designating Delaware's as the governing law.

made ambiguous simply because the parties urge different interpretations." (citation omitted)).

Unambiguous contract language must be enforced as written.

5. Courts and commentators have frequently observed that "no third party beneficiary" clauses should be enforced. See Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Household International, Inc., 136 F. Supp. 2d 5, 8 (D. Mass. 2001) (applying Delaware law, holding party specifically referenced in contract could not be intended third party beneficiary when contract contained "no third party beneficiary" clause); India.com, Inc. v. Dalal, 412 F.3d 315, 321 (2d Cir. 2005) (holding "no third party beneficiary" clause effective despite specific identification of third party in contract); Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Home Ins. Co., 150 F.3d 545,549 (6th Cir. 1998) ("whether or not there was a direct assumption," "disclaimer barring third parties from enforcing rights under the contract applies"); 4 Corbin on Contracts, § 777 at 25 (1951) ("[I]f two contracting parties expressly provide that some third party who will be benefited by performance shall have no legally enforceable right, the courts should effectuate the express intent by denying the third party any direct remedy." (cited by In re Gulf Oil/Cities Service Tender Offer Litigation, 725 F.Supp. 712, 733 (S.D.N.Y., 1989))).

6. The Claimants' position that express "no third-party beneficiary" clauses are unenforceable if there is an express assumption of a particular obligation which benefits a third party is mistaken.² The contract giving rise to the obligation must be read as a whole when determining whether that third party has the right to enforce an obligation. See JPMorgan Chase

² Neither of the cases cited by the Claimants for the proposition that "no third-party beneficiary" clauses are not enforceable if there is an express assumption of a particular obligation appear to actually involve specific language providing that nothing in the agreement, express or implied, is intended to confer third-party beneficiary rights. The Claimants note that other courts have said that a general "no third-party beneficiary" clause "is designed to cut off potential third-party beneficiary claims of those not specifically identified by the agreement." Supplemental Response, 9. The MSA, however, specifically states that the "no third-party beneficiary clause" does apply despite any other express or implied provisions.

& Co. v. Pierce, No. 05-CV-74455, 2007 W.L. 2781711 (E.D. Mich. 2007) ("must examine the entire agreement and determine whether the contractual intent may be discerned from the express words of the contract" (applying Delaware law)).

7. Here, the MSA unambiguously disclaims all rights of third parties to enforce the MSA, despite any other provisions of the MSA. Section 9.05 of the MSA provides:

[The MSA] shall be binding upon and inure solely to the benefit of each party hereto . . . and nothing in [the MSA], express or implied, is intended to confer upon any other Person any rights or remedies of any nature whatsoever under or by reason of [the MSA] . . .

MSA, § 9.05 (emphasis added). GM and Delphi could have drafted the "no third party beneficiary" clause to apply only "except as otherwise provided" in the MSA, but they did not. GM and Delphi specifically stated that nothing else in the MSA, "express or implied," was intended to confer any benefit or right on any other entity. GM and Delphi are sophisticated parties represented by counsel and capable of carefully drafting the MSA to give full effect to their intent. See Vermont Teddy Bear Co., Inc. v. 538 Madison Realty Co., 1 N.Y. 3d 470, 475 (N.Y. 2004). Where, as here, that intent is clear from the language of the agreement, it must be enforced.

8. Moreover, the Claimants' reliance on "a well-established canon of contract construction . . . that contracts should be construed, whenever possible, to harmonize and give effect to all of their provisions" is misguided (Supplemental Response at 9, citing Minnesota Invco of RSA #7, Inc. v. Midwest Wireless Holdings LLC, 903 A.2d 786, 794 (Del. Ch. 2006)). It is Lightsource's interpretation of the MSA – not the Debtors' – that would violate this canon of contract interpretation. Lightsource argues that Section 9.05 of the MSA should be "interpreted as limiting third party beneficiary claims based on liabilities or obligations not specifically assigned to and assumed by Delphi in the MSA." (Supplemental Response at 9). This

interpretation of Section 9.05 directly contradicts the clause in Section 9.05 that states that nothing "express or implied" in the MSA should be interpreted as giving rights to third parties. The plain language of Sections 2.02(b) and 9.05 of the MSA are in harmony. The limitation on the rights of third parties in Section 9.05 takes nothing away from the obligation expressly created in Section 2.02, which distributes responsibility between Delphi and GM.

9. Giving force to the unambiguous terms of the MSA does not leave the Claimants without a remedy. Although they do not have standing to bring a claim against Delphi, nothing in the MSA prevents the Claimants from seeking recovery from GM.

10. The Claimants have not provided, and cannot provide, any set of facts that would support a right to payment from the Debtors. The burden of proof to establish a claim against the Debtors rests on the Claimants and, if their Proofs of Claim do not include sufficient factual support, such Proofs of Claim are not entitled to a presumption of prima facie validity pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). In re WorldCom, Inc., No. 02-13533, 2005 WL 3832065, at *4 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Dec. 29, 2005) (only a claim that alleges facts sufficient to support legal liability to claimant satisfies claimant's initial obligation to file substantiated proof of claim); see also In re Allegheny Intern., Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 174 (3d Cir. 1992) (in its initial proof of claim filing, claimant must allege facts sufficient to support claim); In re Chiro Plus, Inc. 339 B.R. 111, 113 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2006) (claimant bears initial burden of sufficiently alleging claim and establishing facts to support legal liability); In re Armstrong Finishing, L.L.C., No. 99-11576-C11, 2001 WL 1700029, at *2 (Bankr. M.D.N.C. May 2, 2001) (only when claimant alleges facts sufficient to support its proof of claim is it entitled to have claim considered prima facie valid); In re United Cos. Fin. Corp., 267 B.R. 524, 527 (Bankr. D. Del. 2000) (claimant must allege facts sufficient to support legal basis for its claim to have claim make prima facie case).

11. For purposes of sufficiency, this Court determined that the standard of whether the claimant has met its initial burden of proof to establish a claim should be similar to the standard employed by courts in deciding a motion to dismiss under Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 7012 and 9014. (January 12, 2007 Transcript at 52). Pursuant to that standard, a motion to dismiss should be granted "if it plainly appears that the non-movant 'can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief.'" In re Lopes, 339 B.R. 82, 86 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2006) (quoting Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 45-46 (1957)). Essentially, the claimant must provide facts that sufficiently support a legal liability against the Debtors. (January 12, 2007 Transcript at 52, 53). In this case, the Claimants have not proved, and cannot prove, any set of facts that support a right to payment from the Debtors because they are precluded by the terms of the MSA from asserting such right to payment. Accordingly, the Third and Twentieth Omnibus Claims Objections should be sustained as to the Proofs of Claim, and the Claims should be disallowed and expunged.

Memorandum of Law

12. Because the legal points and authorities upon which this Reply relies are incorporated herein, the Debtors respectfully request that the requirement of the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law under Local Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York be deemed satisfied.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request the Court enter an order (i) sustaining the Third and Twentieth Omnibus Claims Objections as to the Claims, (ii) disallowing and expunging the Proofs of Claim, and (iii) granting such further and other relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
January 2, 2008

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT N

Pg 234 of 273
Delphi Corporation
Special Parties

Company	Contact	Address1	City	State	Zip
Stites & Harbison, PLLC	W. Robinson Beard	400 West Market Street	Louisville	KY	40202

EXHIBIT O

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Sherwin Williams	Dennis W. Loughlin	Strobl & Sharp, P.C.	300 E. Long Lake Road Suite 200	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304
Sherwin Williams		Sherwin Williams Automotive Finishes	4440 Warrensville Center Rd.	Warrensville Heights	OH	44128

EXHIBIT P

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Attorney General Michael A. Cox	Attn: Peggy A. Housner, Assistant Attorney General	3030 W. Grand Boulevard	10th Floor--Suite 200	Detroit	MI	48202

EXHIBIT Q

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Fry's Metals Inc.	Jeffrey A. Cooper, Esq.	Carella, Byrne, Bain, Gilfillan Cecchi, Stewart & Olstein, P.C.	5 Becker Farm Road	Roseland	NJ	07068
Fry's Metals Inc.	Marc D. Miceli, Esq.	Carella, Byrne, Bain, Gilfillan Cecchi, Stewart & Olstein, P.C.	5 Becker Farm Road	Roseland	NJ	7068
Fry's Metals Inc.	James R. Oswald	Adler Pollock & Sheehan P.C.	One Citizens Plaza, 8th Floor	Providence	RI	02903

EXHIBIT R

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Davis, Polk & Wardwell	Donald Bernstein Brian Resnick	450 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-450-4092 212-450-4213	212-450-3092 212-450-3213	donald.bernstein@dpw.com brian.resnick@dpw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Postpetition Administrative Agent
Delphi Corporation	Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	248-813-2491	sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.j.craft@delphi.com	Debtors
Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson	Brad Eric Sheler Bonnie Steingart Vivek Melwani Jennifer L. Rodburg Richard J. Slivinski	One New York Plaza		New York	NY	10004	212-859-8000	212-859-4000	rodbuie@ffhsj.com sliviri@ffhsj.com	Counsel to Equity Security Holders Committee
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Richard Duker	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-5484	212-270-4016	richard.duker@jpmorgan.com	Prepetition Administrative Agent
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Susan Atkins, Gianni Russello	277 Park Ave 8th Fl		New York	NY	10172	212-270-0426	212-270-0430	gianni.russello@jpmorgan.com susan.atkins@jpmorgan.com	Postpetition Administrative Agent
Latham & Watkins LLP	Robert J. Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-906-1370	212-751-4864	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	Counsel to Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP	Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H. Trust, William T. Russell, Jr.	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-455-2000	212-455-2502	kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com wrussell@stblaw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Prepetition Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons, Ron E. Meisler	333 W. Wacker Dr.	Suite 2100	Chicago	IL	60606	312-407-0700	312-407-0411	jbutler@skadden.com jlyonsch@skadden.com rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J. Matz	4 Times Square	P.O. Box 300	New York	NY	10036	212-735-3000	212-735-2000	kmarafio@skadden.com tmatz@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
United States Trustee	Alicia M. Leonhard	33 Whitehall Street	21st Floor	New York	NY	10004-2112	212-510-0500	212-668-2255 does not take service via fax		Counsel to United States Trustee

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Metforming Technologies Inc.	Mary Zoliak	Metforming Technologies Inc.	980 N. Michigan Ave Suite 1900	Chicago	IL	60611
Metforming Technologies Inc.	Curtis J. Crowther	Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP	1000 West Street, 17th Floor PO Box 391	Wilmington	DE	19899

EXHIBIT S

Hearing Date: January 31, 2008
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

DEBTORS' SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY WITH
RESPECT TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 16612
(METALFORMING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.)

("SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY – METALFORMING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.")

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, including Delphi Automotive Systems LLC ("DAS LLC"), debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby submit this Supplemental Reply With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 16612 (Metalforming Technologies, Inc.) (the "Supplemental Reply") and respectfully represent as follows:

Preliminary Statement

1. Prior to October 8, 2005, the date the Debtors filed their bankruptcy petitions (the "Petition Date"), DAS LLC purchased goods from Metalforming Technologies, Inc. ("MTI"). On July 10, 2006, MTI filed proof of claim number 16612 (the "Proof of Claim") against Delphi asserting an unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$257,482.41 for amounts allegedly outstanding with respect to the supply of such goods ("Claim 16612").¹

2. On July 13, 2007, the Debtors objected to Claim 16612 pursuant to the Debtors' Eighteenth Omnibus Objection (Procedural) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain Duplicate Or Amended Claims (Docket No. 8616) (the "Eighteenth Omnibus Claims Objection").

3. On August 13, 2007, MTI filed Metalforming Technologies, Inc.'s Response To Debtors' Eighteenth Omnibus Objection (Procedural) Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §

¹ Claim 16612 purports to amend proof of claim number 9190, which was filed by MTI against Delphi in the amount of \$315,746.36 ("Claim 9190" and, together with Claim 16612, the "Claims"). In an effort to reach a resolution of the Claims, the Debtors have agreed to treat Claim 16612 as a valid amendment of Claim 9190. Thus, Claim 9190 should be disallowed and expunged in its entirety as duplicative of Claim 16612, and the Debtors reserve their rights with respect to Claim 9190, including, but not limited to, their right to re-object to Claim 9190. In addition, the Debtors maintain their objection to Claim 16612 for the reasons set forth in this Supplemental Reply.

502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain Duplicate Or Amended Claims (Docket No. 9042) (the "Response").²

4. MTI has failed to adequately support its claim and establish that the Debtors owe an outstanding liability to MTI in the amount asserted in the Proof of Claim.³

Argument

5. MTI has failed to provide sufficient evidence to support its claim. The burden of proof to establish a claim against an estate rests on the claimant and, if a proof of claim does not include sufficient factual support, the proof of claim is not entitled to a presumption of prima facie validity pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f) . In re WorldCom, Inc., No. 02-13533, 2005 WL 3832065, at *4 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Dec. 29, 2005) (only a claim that alleges facts sufficient to support legal liability to claimant satisfies claimant's initial obligation to file substantiated proof of claim); see also In re Allegheny Intern., Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 174 (3d Cir. 1992) (in its initial proof of claim filing, claimant must allege facts sufficient to support claim); In re Chiro Plus, Inc. 339 B.R. 111, 113 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2006) (claimant bears initial burden of sufficiently alleging claim and establishing facts to support legal liability); In re Armstrong

² The Debtors note that MTI has not filed a supplemental response to the Eighteenth Omnibus Claims Objection. Pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"), the deadline for MTI to file a supplemental response was December 17, 2007.

³ On October 24, 2005, MTI submitted a demand to the Debtors asserting a reclamation claim in the amount of \$307,193.64 arising from the alleged supply of goods prior to the Petition Date (the "Reclamation Demand"). On February 21, 2006, the Debtors sent a statement of reclamation to MTI (the "Statement of Reclamation") with respect to the Reclamation Demand, whereby the Debtors asserted that the valid amount of the Reclamation Demand is \$13,755.27 (the "Reclamation Claim"), subject to the Debtors' right to seek, at any time, a judicial determination that certain reserved defenses (the "Reserved Defenses") to the Reclamation Claim are valid. MTI failed to respond to the Statement of Reclamation. Thus, pursuant to the Amended Final Reclamation Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 362, 503, And 546 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019 Establishing Procedures For The Treatment Of Reclamation Claims entered by the Court on November 4, 2005 (Docket No. 881), MTI has been deemed to have consented to the amount set forth in the Statement of Reclamation, subject to the Reserved Defenses.

Finishing, L.L.C., No. 99-11576-C11, 2001 WL 1700029, at *2 (Bankr. M.D.N.C. May 2, 2001) (only when claimant alleges facts sufficient to support its proof of claim is it entitled to have claim considered prima facie valid); In re United Cos. Fin. Corp., 267 B.R. 524, 527 (Bankr. D. Del. 2000) (claimant must allege facts sufficient to support legal basis for its claim to have claim make prima facie case). Even if the allegations in the Proof of Claim were sufficient to make a prima facie claim, the Debtors have rebutted that claim by providing specific evidence refuting the validity of Claim 16612. To shift the burden of production back to a claimant, a debtor must "refute at least one of the allegations that is essential to the claim's legal sufficiency." In re WorldCom, Inc., No. 02-13533, 2005 WL 3832065, *4 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2005) (citing In re Allegheny Int'l, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173-174 (3d Cir.1992)). Here, the Debtors have refuted the allegations that are essential to Claim 16612. The burden therefore "reverts to the claimant to prove the validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence The burden of persuasion is always on the claimant." Id. MTI has not met that burden.

6. MTI asserts in the Proof of Claim that Delphi owes MTI a total of \$257,482.41 for goods sold. See Proof of Claim. However, because MTI has failed to file a supplemental response or any affidavits or declarations of witnesses, MTI has presented no evidence to support Claim 16612. By contrast, the Debtors' reconciliation process, as reflected in the declaration of Dean Unrue, the Debtors' claims administrator, reflects a deliberative and methodical attempt to reconcile every one of the many invoices attached to MIT's Proof of Claim. See Decl. Of Dean Unrue In Supp. Of Debtors' Supplemental Reply (the "Unrue Decl.").⁴

⁴ The Debtors also expressly incorporate their entire Statement of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 16612 (Metalforming Technologies, Inc.) into this Supplemental Reply.

7. The Debtors' books and records reflect that as of the Petition Date, DAS LLC owed \$55,620.35 to MTI.⁵ Unrue Decl. ¶ 15. The Debtors cross-referenced the amounts and invoices referenced in the Proof of Claim with the Debtors' books and records and noted that \$18,663.41 of the alleged unpaid invoices included in the Proof of Claim already had been paid. Id. ¶¶ 5-10. With respect to the remaining \$238,819.00 of invoices included in the Proof of Claim, MTI has not provided sufficient documentation, including, in certain instances, invoice copies, proofs of delivery,⁶ and/or purchase order numbers, to support that DAS LLC owes such amounts.⁷ Id. ¶¶ 11-12. Because DAS LLC has no record of receiving the goods associated with these invoices, \$238,819.00 should be subtracted from the amount of Claim 16612, which would reduce the amount of the claim to \$0.00.

8. However, as noted above, DAS LLC's books and records reflect that, as of the Petition Date, \$55,620.35 was due and owing to MTI. Id. ¶ 15. Accordingly, the Debtors concluded that Claim 16612 should be limited to that amount.

9. After taking into account the above-referenced deductions to the Proof of Claim, the Debtors reconciled the Proof of Claim as illustrated in the following chart:

⁵ Although Claim 16612 was filed against Delphi, the Debtors believe that any liability with respect to Claim 16612 lies with DAS LLC, not Delphi. See Unrue Decl. ¶ 14. Thus the Debtors dispute that Delphi owes any liability to MTI with respect to Claim 16612, and believe that, to the extent Claim 16612 is allowed, in whole or in part, it should be allowed against DAS LLC.

⁶ Delphi's General Terms And Conditions governing its dealings with suppliers require that suppliers "promptly forward the original bill of lading or other shipping receipt with respect to each shipment as Buyer instructs." See General Terms And Conditions, § 2.1.

⁷ As part of settlement negotiations, MTI has provided some documentation requested by the Debtors with respect to some of the \$238,819.00 of invoices. However, as of the date of the filing of this Supplemental Reply, the Debtors have been unable to verify that any amounts contained in these invoices are due and owing.

<u>MTI's Asserted Amount</u>		\$257,482.41
<u>Modifications</u>	Paid Invoices	(\$18,663.41)
	Invoices With Insufficient Documentation	(\$238,819.00)
	DAS LLC's Books And Records	\$55,620.35 ⁸
<u>Reconciled Amount</u>		\$55,620.35

10. MTI failed to present any evidence demonstrating that Delphi or DAS LLC owe the amounts listed in the invoices attached to the Proof of Claim. By contrast, the Debtors carefully considered and reviewed each invoice and determined that it likely owes no more than \$55,620.35 for the invoices that MTI believes were due but not paid.

11. For all the reasons discussed above, neither Delphi nor DAS LLC are liable to MTI for the full amount asserted in the Proof of Claim and Claim 16612 should be reduced to a general unsecured non-priority claim against DAS LLC in an amount not to exceed \$55,620.35, subject to (i) MTI's right, pursuant to section 503(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, to seek administrative priority status for \$13,755.27 of Claim 16612 on the grounds that MTI has a valid reclamation claim in the amount of \$13,755.27 and (ii) the Debtors' right to seek, at any time, a judicial determination that the Reserved Defenses are valid.

Memorandum of Law

12. Because the legal points and authorities upon which this Supplemental Reply relies are incorporated herein, the Debtors respectfully request that the requirement of the

⁸ As noted above, \$55,620.35 is being added back into the amount of the Claim because this is the amount reflected on the Debtors' books and records.

service and filing of a separate memorandum of law under Local Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York be deemed satisfied.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) reducing Claim 16612 to a general unsecured non-priority claim against the estate of DAS LLC in an amount not to exceed \$55,620.35, subject to (y) MTI's right, pursuant to section 503(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, to seek administrative priority status for \$13,755.27 of Claim 16612 on the grounds that MTI has a valid reclamation claim in the amount of \$13,755.27 and (z) the Debtors' right to seek, at any time, a judicial determination that the Reserved Defenses are valid and (b) granting the Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
January 2, 2008

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 9331)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT T

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Davis, Polk & Wardwell	Donald Bernstein Brian Resnick	450 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-450-4092 212-450-4213	212-450-3092 212-450-3213	donald.bernstein@dpw.com brian.resnick@dpw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Postpetition Administrative Agent
Delphi Corporation	Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	248-813-2491	sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.j.craft@delphi.com	Debtors
Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson	Brad Eric Sheler Bonnie Steingart Vivek Melwani Jennifer L. Rodburg Richard J. Slivinski	One New York Plaza		New York	NY	10004	212-859-8000	212-859-4000	rodbuie@ffhsj.com sliviri@ffhsj.com	Counsel to Equity Security Holders Committee
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Richard Duker	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-5484	212-270-4016	richard.duker@jpmorgan.com	Prepetition Administrative Agent
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Susan Atkins, Gianni Russello	277 Park Ave 8th Fl		New York	NY	10172	212-270-0426	212-270-0430	gianni.russello@jpmorgan.com susan.atkins@jpmorgan.com	Postpetition Administrative Agent
Latham & Watkins LLP	Robert J. Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-906-1370	212-751-4864	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	Counsel to Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP	Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H. Trust, William T. Russell, Jr.	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-455-2000	212-455-2502	kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com wrussell@stblaw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Prepetition Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons, Ron E. Meisler	333 W. Wacker Dr.	Suite 2100	Chicago	IL	60606	312-407-0700	312-407-0411	jbutler@skadden.com jlyonsch@skadden.com rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J. Matz	4 Times Square	P.O. Box 300	New York	NY	10036	212-735-3000	212-735-2000	kmarafio@skadden.com tmatz@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
United States Trustee	Alicia M. Leonhard	33 Whitehall Street	21st Floor	New York	NY	10004-2112	212-510-0500	212-668-2255 does not take service via fax		Counsel to United States Trustee

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
InteSys Technologies Inc.	Tracy Klestadt	Klestadt & Winters, LLP	292 Madison Avenue, 17th Floor	New York	NY	10017
InteSys Technologies Inc.	Sara Megna	Klestadt & Winters, LLP	292 Madison Avenue, 17th Floor	New York	NY	10017

EXHIBIT U

Hearing Date: January 31, 2008
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

**DEBTORS' SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY WITH RESPECT TO
PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 10770 (INTESYS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.)**

("SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY – (INTESYS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.)")

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby submit this Supplemental Reply With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 10770 filed by InteSys Technologies, Inc. ("InteSys") (the "Supplemental Reply") and respectfully represent as follows:

Background

1. Prior to the filing of the Debtors' bankruptcy petitions on October 8, 2005, Delphi issued purchase orders (the "Purchase Orders") to InteSys for the purchase of pivots, modules, and other electrical goods. On July 25, 2006, InteSys filed proof of claim number 10770 (the "Proof of Claim") against Delphi asserting an unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$511,037.71 for amounts allegedly outstanding under the Purchase Order (the "Claim") for goods manufactured by InteSys.

2. On May 22, 2007, the Debtors objected to the Proof pursuant to the Debtors' Debtors' Fifteenth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 to Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected on Debtors' Books and Records, (C) Untimely Claims and Untimely Tax Claim, and (D) Claims Subject to Modification, Tax Claims Subject to Modification, and Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation (Docket No. 7999) (the "Fifteenth Omnibus Claims Objection").

3. On June 12, 2007, InteSys filed its Response Of InteSys Technologies, Inc. To Debtors' Objection To Claim No. 10770 (Docket No. 8224) (the "Response").

4. On December 4, 2007, the Debtors filed their Statement Of Disputed Issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 10770 filed by InteSys Technologies, Inc. ("InteSys") (Docket No. 11269).

5. On December 17, 2007, InteSys filed its Supplemental Response of InteSys Technologies, Inc. ("InteSys") to Debtors' Statement of Disputed Issues with Respect to Proof of Claim Number 10770 (InteSys Technologies, Inc.) (Docket No. 11491).¹

6. InteSys has failed to adequately support its claim and establish that the Debtors owe an outstanding liability to InteSys in the amount asserted in the Proof of Claim.

Argument

7. InteSys has failed to provide sufficient evidence to support its claim that the Debtors owe it \$511,037.71 for goods allegedly sold to the Debtors. The burden of proof to establish a claim against an estate rests on the claimant and, if a proof of claim does not include sufficient factual support, the proof of claim is not entitled to a presumption of prima facie validity pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). In re WorldCom, Inc., No. 02-13533, 2005 WL 3832065, at *4 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Dec. 29, 2005) (only a claim that alleges facts sufficient to support legal liability to claimant satisfies claimant's initial obligation to file substantiated proof of claim); see also In re Allegheny Intern., Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 174 (3d Cir. 1992) (in its initial proof of claim filing, claimant must allege facts sufficient to support claim); In re Chiro Plus, Inc. 339 B.R. 111, 113 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2006) (claimant bears initial burden of sufficiently alleging claim and establishing facts to support legal liability); In re Armstrong Finishing, L.L.C., No. 99-11576-C11, 2001 WL 1700029, at *2 (Bankr. M.D.N.C. May 2, 2001) (only when claimant alleges facts sufficient to support its proof of claim is it entitled to have claim considered prima

¹ InteSys only submitted one witness declaration and did not identify any other specific witness. Therefore, the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089) bars InteSys from adding other witnesses at a later date.

facie valid); In re United Cos. Fin. Corp., 267 B.R. 524, 527 (Bankr. D. Del. 2000) (claimant must allege facts sufficient to support legal basis for its claim to have claim make prima facie case). Even if the allegations in the Proof of Claim were sufficient to make a prima facie claim, the Debtors have rebutted that claim by providing specific evidence refuting the validity of the Claim. To shift the burden of production back to a claimant, a debtor must "refute at least one of the allegations that is essential to the claim's legal sufficiency." In re WorldCom, Inc., No. 02-13533, 2005 WL 3832065, *4 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2005) (citing In re Allegheny Int'l, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173-174 (3d Cir.1992)). Here, the Debtors have refuted the allegations that are essential to the Claim pursuant to the Declaration of Dean Unrue In Support Of Debtors' Supplemental Reply (the "Unrue Decl."). The burden therefore "reverts to the claimant to prove the validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence.... The burden of persuasion is always on the claimant." Id. Here, InteSys has not met that burden.

8. InteSys asserts in the Proof of Claim that Delphi owes InteSys a total of \$511,037.71 for goods sold. In support of the Claim, InteSys has presented copies of invoices without evidence that the goods described on the invoices were delivered to Delphi. By contrast, the Debtors' reconciliation process, as reflected in the declaration of Dean Unrue, the Debtors' claims administrator reflects a deliberative and methodical attempt to reconcile every one of the many invoices attached to InteSys's Proof of Claim. Unrue Decl.²

9. First, the Debtors' cross referenced the amounts and purchase orders referenced with the Proof of Claim with the Debtors books and records. Unrue Decl. ¶ 8. The Debtors noted that \$156,790.72 of the alleged unpaid invoices already had been paid. Id. ¶ 10.

² The Debtors also expressly incorporate their entire Statement of Disputed Issues into this Supplemental Reply.

10. Second, InteSys claimed \$399,613.88 in amounts owed based on the sale of goods for which it did not provide proofs of deliveries. Delphi's General Terms And Conditions governing its dealings with suppliers require that suppliers "promptly forward the original bill of lading or other shipping receipt with respect to each shipment as Buyer instructs." See General Terms And Conditions, § 2.1, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

11. InteSys has not provided proofs of delivery with respect to the goods associated with Purchase Order Nos. 550036552, 550037922, P3220080, and P3220125. InteSys asserts that the invoices it attached to its Claim should be sufficient to assert the validity of its claim. InteSys' reliance on this assertion is in error because an invoice is only an itemized list of goods shipped to a buyer, stating facts such as quantities, prices, and shipping charges and thus is not sufficient to constitute proof of delivery. A proof of delivery is the receipt signed by the buyer upon delivery and is of greater probative value as to whether goods were actually received by the Debtors. As part of DAS LLC's ordinary course business practices, goods are recorded in the accounts payable system when they are received and a signed proof of delivery is provided. DAS LLC's accounts payable system do not reflect the receipt of these goods.

12. As shown by the evidence presented by the Debtors in the Unrue Decl., the Debtors have refuted at least one of the allegations that is essential to the Claim's legal sufficiency. Accordingly, the burden reverts back to InteSys to prove the validity of its Claim by a preponderance of the evidence. In re Allegheny, 954 F.2d at 173-74. Because InteSys has not shown such a preponderance of the evidence, the \$399,613.88 asserted with respect to Purchase

Order Nos. 550036552, 550037922, P3220080, and P3220125, should not be included in the claim.³

13. After taking into account the above-referenced deductions to the Proof of Claim, the Debtors reconciled the Proof of Claim as illustrated in the following chart:

<u>InteSys' Asserted Amount</u>		\$511,037.71
<u>Modifications</u>	Invoices Without Proof of Delivery	(\$399,613.88)
	Invoices Previously Paid	(\$156,790.72)
	Credits Applied	45,366.89
<u>Reconciled Amount</u>		\$0

14. InteSys failed to present any compelling evidence demonstrating that Delphi breached any of the purchase orders related to the invoices InteSys attached to its Proof of Claim.

15. For all the reasons discussed above, the Debtors are not liable to InteSys and the Claim should be disallowed and expunged in its entirety.

Memorandum of Law

16. Because the legal points and authorities upon which this Supplemental Reply relies are incorporated herein, the Debtors respectfully request that the requirement of the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law under Local Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local

³ Upon further examination of its books and records, Delphi determined that a total credit in the amount of \$45,366.89 should be added back to the claim with respect to invoice numbers 81399, 81400, 81400, 814001, 814002, and 84101, as described in the Statement of Disputed Issues.

Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York
be deemed satisfied.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a)
disallowing and expunging the Claim and (b) granting the Debtors such other and further relief
as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
January 2, 2008

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 9331)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Effective March 2004

DELPHI CORPORATION

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. ACCEPTANCE

Seller acknowledges and agrees that these General Terms and Conditions are incorporated in, and a part of, this contract and each purchase order, release, requisition, work order, shipping instruction, specification and other document, whether expressed in written form, by electronic data interchange or other tangible format, relating to the goods and/or services to be provided by Seller pursuant to this contract (such documents are collectively referred to as this "Contract"). Seller acknowledges and agrees that it has read and understands these General Terms and Conditions. If Seller accepts this Contract in writing or commences any of the work or services which are the subject of this Contract, Seller will be deemed to have accepted this Contract and these General Terms and Conditions in their entirety without modification. Any additions to, changes in, modifications of, or revisions of this Contract (including these General Terms and Conditions) which Seller proposes will be deemed to be rejected by Buyer except to the extent that an authorized employee of Buyer expressly agrees to accept any such proposals in writing.

2. SHIPPING AND BILLING

2.1 Shipping. Seller will (a) properly pack, mark and, ship goods as instructed by Buyer or any carriers and in accordance with any applicable laws or regulations, (b) route shipments as Buyer instructs, (c) not charge for costs relating to handling, packaging, storage or transportation (including duties, taxes, fees, etc.) unless otherwise expressly stated in this Contract, (d) provide packing slips with each shipment that identify Buyer's contract and release number and the date of the shipment, and (e) promptly forward the original bill of lading or other shipping receipt with respect to each shipment as Buyer instructs. Seller will include on bills of lading or other shipping receipts the correct classification identification of the goods shipped as Buyer or the carrier requires. The marks on each package and identification of the goods on packing slips, bills of lading and invoices must enable Buyer to easily identify the goods.

2.2 Billing. Seller will (a) accept payment based upon Buyer's Evaluated Receipt Record/Self-Billed Invoice unless Buyer requests that Seller issue and deliver an invoice and (b) accept payment by electronic funds transfer. If the payment due date is not otherwise specified in this Contract, the payment due date will be the due date established by the Multilateral Netting System (MNS-2) used by Buyer, which provides, on average, that payment will be due on the second day of the second month following the date Buyer receives the goods or services. Buyer may withhold payment for any goods or services until Buyer receives evidence, in such form and detail as Buyer requires, of the absence of any liens, encumbrances and claims on such goods or services.

2.3 Taxes. Unless otherwise stated in this Contract, the price includes all applicable federal, state, provincial, and local taxes other than sales, value added, or similar turnover taxes or charges. Seller will separately invoice Buyer for any sales, value added, or similar turnover taxes or charges that Seller is required by law to collect from Buyer. Seller will provide Buyer with whatever information and documentation that is required under local law in order to enable Buyer to recover any sales, value added, or similar turnover taxes or charges. Invoices shall also be in the appropriate form as required by local law to permit deduction of payments for income tax purposes by the Buyer.

2.4 Withholding of Taxes by Buyer. If Buyer is required by law to make any deduction or withholding from any sum payable to Buyer under this Contract, Buyer shall be entitled to deduct or withhold such amount and effect payment thereof to the applicable tax authority. Buyer will, upon request from Seller, provide Seller

Effective March 2004

official tax receipts or other evidence issued by the applicable tax authorities sufficient to establish that any taxes which are withheld have been paid.

2.5 Delivery Schedules. Deliveries will be made in the quantities, on the dates, and at the times specified by Buyer in this Contract or any subsequent releases or instructions Buyer issues under this Contract. Time is of the essence with respect to all delivery schedules Buyer establishes. Buyer will not be required to pay for any goods that exceed the quantities specified in Buyer's delivery schedules or to accept goods that are delivered in advance of the delivery date specified in Buyer's delivery schedules. Seller bears the risk of loss of all goods delivered in advance of the delivery date specified in Buyer's delivery schedules. If the requirements of Buyer's customers or market, economic or other conditions require changes in delivery schedules, Buyer may change the rate of scheduled shipments or direct temporary suspension of scheduled shipments without entitling Seller to a price adjustment or other compensation.

2.6 Premium Shipments. If Seller fails to have goods ready for shipment in time to meet Buyer's delivery schedules using the method of transportation originally specified by Buyer and, as a result, Buyer requires Seller to ship the goods using a premium (more expeditious) method of transportation, Seller will ship the goods as expeditiously as possible. Seller will pay, and be responsible for, the entire cost of such premium shipment, unless Buyer's actions caused Seller to fail to meet Buyer's delivery schedules, in which case Buyer will pay any costs for premium shipment.

2.7 Volume Forecasts. Buyer may provide Seller with estimates, forecasts or projections of its future anticipated volume or quantity requirements for goods. Seller acknowledges that any such forecasts are provided for informational purposes only and, like any other forward looking projections, are based on a number of economic and business factors, variables and assumptions, some or all of which may change over time. Buyer makes no representation, warranty, guaranty or commitment of any kind or nature, express or implied, regarding any such forecasts provided to Seller, including with respect to the accuracy or completeness of such forecasts.

3. SPECIFICATION, DESIGN AND SCOPE CHANGES

Buyer may at any time require Seller to implement changes to the specifications or design of the goods or to the scope of any services or work covered by this Contract, including work related to inspection, testing or quality control. While Buyer will endeavor to discuss any such changes with Seller as early as practical, Seller will promptly implement such changes. Buyer will equitably determine any adjustment in price or delivery schedules resulting from such changes, including Buyer's payment of reasonable costs of modifications to Seller's Equipment (as defined in Article 16) necessary to implement such changes. In order to assist in the determination of any equitable adjustment in price or delivery schedules, Seller will, as requested, provide information to Buyer, including documentation of changes in Seller's cost of production and the time to implement such changes. In the event of any disagreement arising out of such changes, Buyer and Seller will work to resolve the disagreement in good faith, provided, however, that Seller will continue performing under this Contract, including the manufacture and delivery of goods and prompt implementation of changes required by Buyer, while Buyer and Seller resolve any disagreement arising out of such changes.

4. QUALITY AND INSPECTION

Seller will participate in Buyer's supplier quality and development program(s) and comply with all engineering release and validation requirements and procedures, including Buyer's production part approval processes, which Buyer specifies from time to time. Seller will permit Buyer and its representatives and consultants to enter Seller's facilities at reasonable times to inspect such facilities and any goods, inventories, work-in-process, materials, machinery, equipment, tooling, fixtures, gauges and other items and processes related to Seller's performance of this Contract. No such inspection by Buyer will constitute acceptance by Buyer of any work-in-process or finished goods.

Effective March 2004

5. NON-CONFORMING GOODS

Buyer is not required to perform incoming inspections of any goods, and Seller waives any right to require Buyer to conduct any such inspections. Seller will not substitute any goods for the goods covered by this Contract unless Buyer consents in writing. If Buyer rejects any goods as non-conforming, Buyer may, at its option, (a) reduce the quantities of goods ordered under this Contract by the quantity of non-conforming goods, (b) require Seller to replace the non-conforming goods, and/or (c) exercise any other applicable rights or remedies. If Seller fails to inform Buyer in writing of the manner in which Seller desires that Buyer dispose of non-conforming goods within forty-eight (48) hours of notice of Buyer's rejection of non-conforming goods (or such shorter period as is reasonable under the circumstances), Buyer will be entitled to dispose of the non-conforming goods without liability to Seller, provided, however, that in any event Buyer may elect to arrange for the shipment of any non-conforming goods back to Seller at Seller's expense. Seller will bear all risk of loss with respect to all non-conforming goods and will promptly pay or reimburse all costs incurred by Buyer to return, store or dispose any non-conforming goods. Buyer's payment for any non-conforming goods will not constitute acceptance by Buyer, limit or impair Buyer's right to exercise any rights or remedies, or relieve Seller of responsibility for the non-conforming goods.

6. FORCE MAJEURE

If Seller is unable to produce, sell or deliver any goods or services covered by this Contract, or Buyer is unable to accept delivery, buy or use any goods or services covered by this Contract, as a result of an event or occurrence beyond the reasonable control of the affected party and without such party's fault or negligence, then any delay or failure to perform under this Contract that results from such event or occurrence will be excused for only so long as such event or occurrence continues, provided, however, that the affected party gives written notice of each such delay (including the anticipated duration of the delay) to the other party as soon as possible after the event or occurrence (but in no event more than three (3) days thereafter). Such events and occurrences may include, by way of example and not limitation, natural disasters, fires, floods, windstorms, severe weather, explosions, riots, wars, sabotage, labor problems (including lockouts, strikes and slowdowns), equipment breakdowns and power failures. During any delay or failure to perform by Seller, Buyer may (i) purchase substitute goods from other available sources, in which case the quantities under this Contract will be reduced by the quantities of such substitute goods and Seller will reimburse Buyer for any additional costs to Buyer of obtaining the substitute goods compared to the prices set forth in this Contract and/or (ii) have Seller provide substitute goods from other available sources in quantities and at times Buyer requests and at the prices set forth in this Contract. If Seller fails to provide adequate assurances that any delay will not exceed thirty (30) days or if any delay lasts more than thirty (30) days, Buyer may terminate this Contract without any liability to Seller or obligation to purchase raw materials, work-in-process or finished goods under Section 11. Before any of Seller's labor contracts expire and as soon as Seller anticipates or learns of any impending strike, labor dispute, work stoppage or other disruption at Seller's facilities that might affect the delivery of goods to Buyer, Seller will produce (and locate in an area that will not be affected by any such disruption) a finished inventory of goods in quantities sufficient to ensure the supply of goods to Buyer for at least thirty (30) days after such disruption commences.

7. WARRANTY

7.1 General. Seller warrants and guarantees to Buyer, its successors, assigns and customers that the goods and services covered by this Contract will (a) conform to the then current release/revision level (based on date Buyer's release is issued to Seller) of Buyer's applicable specifications and drawings, (b) conform to all samples, descriptions, brochures and manuals furnished by Seller or Buyer, (c) be merchantable, (d) be of good material and workmanship, (e) be free from defect, and (f) be fit and sufficient for the particular purposes intended by Buyer and any customer of Buyer. If requested by Buyer, Seller will enter into a separate agreement for the administration or processing of warranty chargebacks for nonconforming goods.

Effective March 2004

7.2 Warranty Period. In the case of goods supplied for use as, or incorporation into, parts, components or systems for automotive vehicles or other finished products, the period for each of the foregoing warranties will commence upon delivery of the goods to Buyer and, except as provided in Section 7.4 or as otherwise expressly agreed in writing by an authorized employee of Buyer, end forty-eight (48) months following the date the vehicle or other finished product on which such parts, components or systems are installed is first sold and delivered or otherwise utilized for consumer or commercial purposes, provided, however, that if Buyer offers and provides a longer warranty to its customers with respect to any such parts, components or systems, then such longer warranty period will apply to the goods. In the case of goods supplied for other uses, the period for each of the foregoing warranties will be that provided by applicable law unless otherwise expressly agreed in writing by an authorized employee of Buyer.

7.3 Remedies and Damages. If any goods are reasonably determined (including by use of statistical analysis or other sampling methodology) to fail to conform to the warranties set forth in this Contract, Seller shall reimburse Buyer for all reasonable losses, costs and damages caused by such nonconforming goods. Such costs and damages may include, without limitation, costs, expenses and losses of Buyer and/or its customers arising from (i) inspection, sorting, repair or replacement of any nonconforming goods or any system or component that incorporates such nonconforming goods, (ii) production interruptions or slowdowns, (iii) offlining of vehicles or component systems, and (iv) field service campaigns and other corrective service actions, including, without limitation, the amounts paid to distributors and/or dealers for materials and replacement parts (including reasonable markup to recover administrative costs or other capital expenses) and the labor costs to perform such work.

7.4 Recalls. Notwithstanding the expiration of the warranty period set forth in Section 7.2, if Buyer and/or the manufacturer of the vehicles (or other finished product) on which the goods, or any parts, components or systems incorporating the goods, are installed, voluntarily or pursuant to a government mandate, makes an offer to owners of such vehicles to provide remedial action to address a defect that relates to motor vehicle safety or the failure of the vehicle to comply with any applicable law, safety standard or guideline (a so-called "recall"), Seller will nonetheless be liable for costs and damages associated with the conduct of such recall to the extent that such recall is based upon a reasonable determination (including by use of statistical analysis or other sampling methodology) that the goods fail to conform to the warranties set forth in this Contract.

8. INGREDIENTS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

If Buyer requests, Seller will promptly furnish to Buyer, in such form and detail as Buyer directs: (a) a list of all ingredients in the goods, (b) the amount of all ingredients, and (c) information concerning any changes in or additions to the ingredients. Prior to, and together with, the shipment of the goods, Seller will furnish to Buyer and all carriers sufficient written warning and notice (including appropriate labels on the goods, containers and packing) of any hazardous material that is an ingredient or a part of any of the goods, together with all special handling instructions, safety measures and precautions as may be necessary to comply with applicable law, to inform Buyer and all carriers of any applicable legal requirements and to best allow Buyer and all carriers to prevent bodily injury or property damage in the handling, transportation, processing, use or disposal of the goods, containers and packing.

9. INSOLVENCY OF SELLER

In any of the following or any similar events Buyer may immediately terminate this Contract without any liability to Seller or obligation to purchase raw materials, work-in-process or finished goods under Section 11: (a) insolvency or financial difficulties of Seller, (b) filing of a voluntary petition in bankruptcy by Seller, (c) filing of any involuntary petition in bankruptcy against Seller, (d) appointment of a receiver or trustee for Seller, (e) execution of an assignment for the benefit of creditors by Seller, or (f) any accommodation by Buyer, financial or otherwise, not contemplated by this Contract, that are necessary for Seller to meet its obligations under this

Effective March 2004

Contract. Seller will reimburse Buyer for all costs Buyer incurs in connection with any of the foregoing whether or not this Contract is terminated, including, but not limited to, all attorney or other professional fees.

10. TERMINATION FOR BREACH

Buyer may terminate all or any part of this Contract without any liability to Seller or obligation to purchase raw materials, work-in-process or finished goods under Section 11 if Seller (a) repudiates, breaches, or threatens to breach any of the terms of this Contract, including Seller's warranties, (b) fails to perform or threatens not to perform services or deliver goods in accordance with this Contract or (c) fails to assure timely and proper completion of services or delivery of goods.

11. TERMINATION FOR CONVENIENCE

In addition to any other rights of Buyer to terminate this Contract, Buyer may immediately terminate all or any part of this Contract, at any time and for any reason, by notifying Seller in writing. Upon such termination, Buyer may, at its option, purchase from Seller any or all raw materials, work-in-process and finished goods inventory related to the goods under this Contract which are useable and in a merchantable condition. The purchase price for such finished goods, raw materials and work-in-process, and Seller's sole and exclusive recovery from Buyer (without regard to the legal theory which is the basis for any claim by Seller) on account of such termination, will be (a) the contract price for all goods or services that have been completed in accordance with this Contract as of termination date and delivered and accepted by Buyer and not previously paid for, plus (b) the actual costs of work-in-process and raw materials incurred by Seller in furnishing the goods or services under this Contract to the extent such costs are reasonable in amount and are properly allocable or apportionable under generally accepted accounting principles to the terminated portion of this Contract less (c) the reasonable value or cost (whichever is higher) of any goods or materials used or sold by Seller with Buyer's written consent. In no event will Buyer be required to pay for finished goods, work-in-process or raw materials which Seller fabricates or procures in amounts that exceed those Buyer authorizes in delivery releases nor will Buyer be required to pay for any goods or materials that are in Seller's standard stock or that are readily marketable. Payments made under this Article will not exceed the aggregate price for finished goods that would be produced by Seller under delivery or release schedules outstanding at the date of termination. Within sixty (60) days after the effective date of termination, Seller will submit a comprehensive termination claim to Buyer, with sufficient supporting data to permit an audit by Buyer, and will thereafter promptly furnish any supplemental and supporting information Buyer requests.

12. TECHNICAL INFORMATION

12.1 Information Disclosed by Seller. Seller will create, maintain, update, and provide to Buyer, in compliance with Buyer's drafting and math data standards, all technical information about the goods and their manufacture which is reasonably necessary or requested by Buyer in connection with its use of the goods, including, without limitation, the engineering validation and qualification of the goods for automotive production and other applications and compliance with any legal or regulatory requirements. Such technical information will not be subject to any use or disclosure restrictions, except as provided in Section 12.2 below.

12.2 Waiver of Claims. Seller agrees not to assert any claim (other than a claim for patent infringement) against Buyer, Buyer's customers or their respective suppliers with respect to any technical information that Seller shall have disclosed, or may hereafter disclose, in connection with the goods or services covered by this Contract.

12.3 Repair and Rebuild. Seller authorizes Buyer, its affiliates, agents and subcontractors, and Buyer's customers and their subcontractors to repair, reconstruct or rebuild the goods and products delivered under this Contract without payment of any royalty or other compensation to Seller.

12.4 Software and Written Works. Seller grants to Buyer a permanent, paid-up license to use, repair, modify

Effective March 2004

and sell any operating software incorporated in the goods in conjunction with the use or sale of the goods. In addition, all works of authorship, including without limitation, software, computer programs and databases (including object code, micro code, source code and data structures), and all enhancements, modifications and updates thereof and all other written work products or materials, which are created in the course of performing this Contract, separately or as part of any goods and components, are "works made for hire" and the sole property of Buyer. To the extent that such works of authorship do not qualify under applicable law as works made for hire, Seller hereby assigns to Buyer all right, title and interest in any intellectual property rights in such works of authorship. If such assignment is not possible under any applicable law, Seller hereby grants an exclusive, royalty-free license to Buyer with respect to such works of authorship.

12.5 Development, Engineering And Consulting Services. Engineering, consulting or development services ("Development Services") funded under this Contract that result in any idea, invention, concept, discovery, work of authorship, patent, copyright, trademark, trade secret, know-how or other intellectual property ("IP") shall be the sole property of Buyer. Seller agrees to assign all right, title and interest in and to IP that results from Development Services ("Developed IP") to Buyer. Seller shall notify Buyer of the existence of Developed IP and assist Buyer in every reasonable way to perfect its right, title and interest in Developed IP, such as by executing and delivering all additional documents reasonably requested by Buyer in order to perfect, register, and/or enforce the same, and Buyer shall reimburse Seller for reasonable costs incurred by Seller in providing such assistance.

13. INDEMNIFICATION

13.1 Infringement. Seller will defend, hold harmless and indemnify Buyer and its customers, and their respective successors and assigns, against any claims of infringement (including patent, trademark, copyright, moral, industrial design or other proprietary rights, or misuse or misappropriation of trade secret) and resulting damages and expenses (including, without limitation, attorney and other professional fees and disbursements) relating to the goods or services covered by this Contract, including any claims in circumstances where Seller has provided only part of the goods or services. Seller waives any claim against Buyer that any such infringement arose out of compliance with Buyer's specifications.

13.2 Activities on Buyer's Premises. Seller will defend, hold harmless, and indemnify Buyer from and against any liability, claims, demands, damages, costs or expenses (including, without limitation, reasonable attorney and other professional fees and disbursements) arising from or in connection with the performance of any service or work by Seller or its employees, agents, representatives and subcontractors on Buyer's or Buyer's customer's premises or the use of the property of Buyer or any customer of Buyer, except to the extent such liability arises out of the negligence or willful misconduct of Buyer or Buyer's customer.

13.3 Product Liability. Seller will defend, hold harmless, and indemnify Buyer from and against any liability and expenses (including, without limitation, attorney and other professional fees and disbursements) arising from or in connection with any third party claims or demands to recover for personal injury or death, property damage or economic loss caused by any of the goods or services supplied by Seller (regardless of whether such claim or demand arises under tort, negligence, contract, warranty, strict liability or any other legal theories), except to the extent such injury, damage or loss results from Buyer's specifications as to design or materials or from alteration or improper repair, maintenance or installation by any party other than Seller.

14. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS

Seller, and any goods or services supplied by Seller, will comply with all applicable laws, rules, regulations, orders, conventions, ordinances and standards of the country(ies) of origin and destination or that relate to the manufacture, labeling, transportation, importation, exportation, licensing, approval, performance and/or certification of the goods or services, including, but not limited to, those relating to environmental matters, wages, hours and conditions of employment, subcontractor selection, discrimination, occupational health/safety and motor vehicle safety. Neither Seller nor any of its subcontractors will utilize slave, prisoner

Effective March 2004

or any other form of forced or involuntary labor in the supply of goods or services under this Contract. Upon Buyer's request, Seller will certify in writing its compliance with the foregoing. Seller will defend, hold harmless and indemnify Buyer from and against any liability, claims, demands, damages or expenses (including reasonable attorney or other professional fees and disbursements) arising from or relating to Seller's noncompliance with this Article.

15. INSURANCE

Seller will maintain insurance coverage as required by applicable law or as reasonably requested by Buyer with carriers reasonably acceptable to Buyer. With respect to any such insurance coverage, Seller will furnish to Buyer either a certificate evidencing satisfaction of the above-mentioned insurance requirements under this Contract or certified copies of all insurance policies within ten (10) days after Buyer requests. The certificate must provide that Buyer will receive thirty (30) days prior written notice from the insurer of any termination or reduction in the amount or scope of coverage. The furnishing of certificates of insurance and purchase of insurance will not limit or release Seller from Seller's obligations or liabilities under this Contract.

16. SELLER'S EQUIPMENT

Seller, at its expense, will furnish, keep in good condition, and replace when necessary all of its machinery and equipment, including related tooling, jigs, dies, gauges, fixtures, molds, patterns, fixtures and other accessories, required for the production of goods covered by this Contract (collectively, "Seller's Equipment"). Seller will insure Seller's Equipment with fire and extended coverage insurance for its full replacement value. Seller grants Buyer an irrevocable option to take possession of, and title to, all or part of Seller's Equipment that is specially designed or outfitted for the production of the goods covered by this Contract, in which event Buyer will, within 45 days following delivery of such Seller's Equipment to Buyer, pay to Seller of the lower of (i) the net book value of such Seller's Equipment (i.e., actual cost less amortization) or (ii) then current fair market value of such Seller's Equipment, in each case less any amounts that Buyer has previously paid to Seller on account of such Seller's Equipment. The foregoing option will not apply to the extent that Seller's Equipment is used to produce goods that are the standard stock of Seller and are then being sold by Seller to other customers. Buyer's right to exercise the foregoing option is not conditioned on Seller's breach or Buyer's termination of this Contract or upon payment of any other amounts due under this Contract.

17. BUYER'S PROPERTY AND INFORMATION

✶ 17.1 Acquisition of Tooling and Materials. To the extent that this Contract covers Buyer's purchase of, or reimbursement to Seller for, any tooling, jigs, dies, gauges, fixtures, molds, patterns, equipment, supplies, materials and other items (collectively, "Tooling and Materials") to be used in connection with Seller's actual or anticipated supply of goods to Buyer, Seller will acquire such Tooling and Materials as agent of Buyer and Buyer shall pay to or reimburse Seller the lower of (i) the amount specified in this Contract for such Tooling and Materials or (ii) Seller's actual out-of-pocket cost to acquire the Tooling or Materials from an unrelated third party or, if the Tooling and Materials are constructed or fabricated by Seller or any affiliate of Seller, the actual direct costs for materials, labor and overhead associated with such construction and fabrication. Seller shall assign to Buyer any contract rights or claims in which Seller has an interest with respect to such Tooling and Materials. Seller shall establish a reasonable accounting system that readily enables the identification of Seller's costs as described above. Buyer or its agents shall have the right to audit and examine all books, records, facilities, work, material, inventories and other items relating to any such Tooling and Materials. Upon Seller's acquisition of such Tooling and Materials, title thereto shall vest immediately in Buyer and such Tooling and Materials shall be held as "Buyer's Property" by Seller in accordance with this Article 17.

17.2 Bailment of Buyer's Property. All Tooling and Materials which Buyer furnishes, either directly or indirectly, to Seller or which Buyer buys from, or gives reimbursement to Seller in whole or in part (collectively, "Buyer's Property") will be and remain the property of Buyer and be held by Seller on a bailment basis. Title to all replacement parts, additions, improvements and accessories purchased by Seller will vest in Buyer

Effective March 2004

immediately upon attachment to or incorporation into Buyer's Property. When permitted by law, Seller waives any lien or other rights that Seller might otherwise have on or in any of Buyer's Property for work performed on, or utilizing, such property or otherwise.

17.3 Seller's Duties with Respect to Buyer's Property. While Buyer's Property is in Seller's possession and until Seller delivers Buyer's Property back to Buyer, Seller bears the risk of loss, theft and damage to Buyer's Property. Seller will be responsible for the cost of repairing or replacing Buyer's Property if it is stolen, damaged or destroyed regardless of cause or fault. Seller will at all times: (a) regularly inspect, maintain in good condition, and repair Buyer's Property at Seller's own expense, (b) use Buyer's Property only for the performance of this Contract, (c) deem Buyer's Property to be personal property, (d) conspicuously mark Buyer's Property as the property of Buyer and maintain such markings, (e) not commingle Buyer's Property with the property of Seller or with that of a third person, (f) not move Buyer's Property from Seller's applicable shipping location (as shown by the shipping address of Seller) without prior written approval from an authorized employee of Buyer, and (g) use Buyer's Property in compliance with Buyer's or the manufacturer's instructions and in compliance with all federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations. Buyer will have the right to enter Seller's premises at all reasonable times to inspect Buyer's Property and Seller's records with respect thereto. Seller will not sell, lend, rent, encumber, pledge, lease, transfer or otherwise dispose of Buyer's Property. Furthermore, Seller will not assert, or permit any person claiming an interest through Seller to assert any claims of ownership to or any other interest in Buyer's Property.

17.4 Return of Buyer's Property. Seller agrees that Buyer has the right, at any time and from time to time, with or without reason and without payment of any kind, to retake possession of or request the return of Buyer's Property. Without further notice or court hearings, which rights, if any, are hereby waived, Buyer or its designee(s) will have the right to enter Seller's premises and take possession of any and all of Buyer's Property. Upon Buyer's request and in accordance with Buyer's instructions, Buyer's Property will be immediately released to Buyer or delivered to Buyer by Seller, either (i) Ex Works (Incoterms 2000) at Seller's plant properly packed and marked in accordance with the requirements of the carrier selected by Buyer to transport such Buyer's Property or (ii) to any location Buyer designates, in which event Buyer will pay Seller the reasonable costs of delivering Buyer's Property to the location Buyer designates. If Seller does not release and deliver any Buyer's Property in accordance with this Article, Buyer may obtain an immediate writ of possession without notice and without the posting of any bond and/or enter Seller's premises, with or without legal process, and take immediate possession of Buyer's Property.

17.5 Disclaimer of Warranties. Seller acknowledges and agrees that (i) Buyer is not the manufacturer of Buyer's Property nor the manufacturer's agent nor a dealer therein, (ii) Buyer is bailing Buyer's Property to Seller for Seller's benefit, (iii) Seller is satisfied that Buyer's Property is suitable and fit for its purposes, and (iv) **BUYER HAS NOT MADE AND DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION WHATSOEVER, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE FITNESS, CONDITION, MERCHANTABILITY, DESIGN OR OPERATION OF BUYER'S PROPERTY OR ITS FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE.** Buyer will not be liable to Seller for any loss, damage, injury or expense of any kind or nature caused, directly or indirectly, by Buyer's Property, including, without limitation, the use or maintenance thereof, or the repair, service or adjustment thereof, or by any interruption of service or for any loss of business whatsoever or howsoever caused, including, without limitation, any loss of anticipatory damages, profits or any other indirect, special or consequential damages and/or personal injury or death.

17.6 Use of Buyer's Information. Seller will (i) keep all Buyer's Information (as defined below) confidential and disclose it only to its employees who need to know such Buyer's Information in order for Seller to supply goods and services to Buyer under this Contract and (ii) use the Buyer's Information solely for the purpose of supplying goods and services to Buyer. Goods manufactured based on Buyer's Information may not be used for Seller's own use or sold by Seller to third parties without prior express written consent from an authorized employee of Buyer. "Buyer's Information" means all information provided to Seller by Buyer or its representatives or subcontractors in connection with the business, programs, goods and services covered by this Contract, including, without limitation, pricing and other terms of this Contract, specifications, data, formulas, compositions, designs, sketches, photographs, samples, prototypes, test vehicles, manufacturing

Effective March 2004

packaging or shipping methods and processes and computer software and programs (including object code and source code). Buyer's Information also includes any materials or information that contain, or are based on, any Buyer's Information, whether prepared by Buyer, Seller or any other person.

18. SERVICE AND REPLACEMENT PARTS

During the term of this Contract, Seller will sell to Buyer goods necessary to fulfill Buyer's service and replacement parts requirements to Buyer's customers at the then current production price(s) under this Contract. If the goods are systems or modules, Seller will sell the components or parts that comprise the system or module at price(s) that will not, in the aggregate, exceed the price of the system or module less assembly costs. If this Contract is in effect at the end of the vehicle production program into which the goods covered by the Contract are incorporated, Seller will also sell goods to Buyer to fulfill Buyer's and its customers' service and replacement parts requirements during the fifteen (15) year period following the end of such vehicle production program (the "Post-Production Period"), and this Contract will automatically remain in effect during the entire Post-Production Period. During the initial five (5) years of the Post-Production Period, the price(s) for such goods will be the production price(s) which were in effect at the commencement of the Post-Production Period. For the remainder of the Post-Production Period, the price(s) for such service goods will be as reasonably agreed to by the parties. If requested by Buyer, Seller will also make service literature and other materials available at no additional charge to support Buyer's service activities.

19. REMEDIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

The rights and remedies reserved to Buyer in this Contract are cumulative with, and in addition to, all other or further remedies provided in law or equity. To the extent that this Contract is for the supply of goods for use as, or fabrication into, parts, components or systems, Seller acknowledges and agrees that money damages would not be a sufficient remedy for any actual, anticipatory or threatened breach of this Contract by Seller with respect to its delivery of goods to Buyer and that, in addition to all other rights and remedies which Buyer may have, Buyer shall be entitled to specific performance and injunctive or other equitable relief as a remedy for any such breach.

20. CUSTOMS AND EXPORT CONTROLS

20.1 Credits and Refunds. Transferable credits or benefits associated with or arising from goods purchased under this Contract, including trade credits, export credits or rights to the refund of duties, taxes or fees, belong to Buyer. Seller will, at its expense, provide all information necessary (including written documentation and electronic transaction records in Buyer-approved formats) to permit Buyer to receive these benefits, credits, or rights. Seller will furthermore, at its expense, provide Buyer with all information, documentation, and electronic transaction records relating to the goods necessary for Buyer to fulfill any customs -related obligations, origin marking or labeling requirements and certification or local content reporting requirements, to enable Buyer to claim preferential duty treatment for goods eligible under applicable trade preference regimes, and to make all arrangements that are necessary for the goods to be covered by any duty deferral or free trade zone program(s) of the country of import. Seller will, at its expense, provide Buyer or Buyer's nominated service provider with export documentation to enable the goods to be exported, and obtain all export licenses or authorizations necessary for the export of the goods unless otherwise indicated in this Contract, in which event Seller will provide all information as may be necessary to enable Buyer to obtain such licenses or authorization(s).

20.2 Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism. To the extent any good covered by this Contract are to be imported into the United States of America, Seller shall comply with all applicable recommendations or requirements of the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection's Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism ("C-TPAT") initiative. Upon request, Seller shall certify in writing its compliance with the C-TPAT initiative.

Effective March 2004

21. BUYER'S RECOVERY RIGHT

With respect to any monetary obligations of Seller or Seller's affiliates to Buyer or Buyer's affiliates, including, without limitation, direct and indirect losses, costs and damages resulting from Seller's failure to timely delivery goods or services, the failure of any goods or service to conform to applicable warranties or other breach by Seller of this Contract, Buyer may at any time, as applicable, recover, recoup or setoff such amounts by deducting such amounts from any sums that are, or will become, owing, due or payable to Seller or Seller's affiliates by Buyer or Buyer's affiliates.

22. NO ADVERTISING

Seller will not, in any manner, advertise or publish that Seller has contracted to furnish Buyer the goods or services covered by this Contract or use any trademarks or trade names of Buyer in Seller's goods, advertising or promotional materials unless Buyer consents in writing.

23. NO IMPLIED WAIVER

The failure of either party at any time to require performance by the other party of any provision of this Contract will not affect the right to require such performance at any later time, nor will the waiver by either party of a breach of any provision of this Contract constitute a waiver of any succeeding breach of the same or any other provision. No failure or delay in exercising any right or remedy will operate as a waiver thereof nor will any single or partial exercise thereof preclude other or further exercise thereof. No course of dealing or course of performance may be used to evidence a waiver or limitation of Seller's obligations under this Contract.

24. ASSIGNMENT AND CHANGE IN CONTROL

Buyer may assign its rights and obligations under this Contract without Seller's prior written consent. Seller may not assign or delegate its rights or obligations under this Contract without prior written consent from an authorized employee of Buyer. In addition, Buyer may terminate this Contract upon giving at least 60 days notice to Seller, without any liability to Seller or obligation to purchase raw materials, work-in-process or finished goods under Section 11, if Seller (i) sells, or offers to sell, a material portion of its assets or (ii) sells or exchanges, or offers to sell or exchange, or causes to be sold or exchanged, a sufficient amount of its stock or other equity interests that effects a change in the control of Seller or (iii) executes, or otherwise becomes subject to, a voting or other agreement or trust that effects a change in the control of Seller.

25. RELATIONSHIP OF PARTIES

Seller and Buyer are independent contracting parties. Nothing in this Contract makes either party the agent or legal representative of the other for any purpose whatsoever, nor grants either party any authority to assume or create any obligation on behalf of or in the name of the other party.

26. GOVERNING LAW AND JURISDICTION

26.1 U.S. Contracts. If either (i) this Contract is issued by Buyer from a location within the United States of America or its territories (as shown by the issuing address of Buyer), (ii) this Contract is issued, in whole or part, for goods to be shipped to a Buyer location within the United States of America or its territories (as shown by the ship to or receiving address of Buyer) or (iii) Seller's applicable shipping location is within the United States of America or its territories (as shown by the shipping address of Seller), then: (a) this Contract is to be construed according to the laws of the United States of America and the State of Michigan, excluding the provisions of the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods and any choice of law provisions that require application of any other law, and (b) Buyer hereby agrees that the forum and venue for any legal or equitable action or proceeding arising out of, or in connection with, this Contract will lie

Effective March 2004

in the appropriate federal or state courts in the State of Michigan and specifically waives any and all objections to such jurisdiction and venue.

26.2 Non-U.S. Contracts. In all cases not covered by Section 26.1 above, (a) this Contract is to be construed according to the laws of the country (and state or province, if applicable) where Buyer's receiving location is located (as shown by the ship to or receiving address of Buyer), excluding the provisions of the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods and any choice of law provisions that require application of any other law; (b) any legal or equitable action or proceedings by Buyer against Seller arising out of, or in connection with, this Contract may be brought by Buyer in any court(s) having jurisdiction over Seller or, at Buyer's option, in any court(s) having jurisdiction over Buyer's receiving location, in which event Seller consents to such jurisdiction and venue, including service of process in accordance with applicable procedures; and (c) any legal or equitable actions or proceedings by Seller against Buyer arising out of, or in connection with, this Contract may be brought by Seller only in the court(s) having jurisdiction over the Buyer's receiving location.

27. SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this Contract is invalid or unenforceable under any statute, regulation, ordinance, executive order or other rule of law, such provision will be deemed reformed or deleted, as the case may be, but only to the extent necessary to comply with such statute, regulation, ordinance, order or rule, and the remaining provisions of this Contract will remain in full force and effect.

28. RIGHT TO AUDIT AND INSPECT

Buyer, at its expense, has the right to audit and review all relevant books, records, income statements, balance sheets, cash flow statements, payroll data, receipts and other related supporting data, including Seller's administrative and accounting policies, guidelines, practices and procedures, in order to (i) substantiate any charges and other matters under this Contract and (ii) assess Seller's ongoing ability to perform its obligations under the Production Purchase Order. Seller will maintain and preserve all such documents for a period of four (4) years following final payment under this Contract. Seller will provide Buyer with reasonable access to its facilities and otherwise cooperate and facilitate any such audits by Buyer.

29. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Contract, together with the attachments, exhibits, supplements or other terms of Buyer specifically referenced in this Contract, constitutes the entire agreement between Seller and Buyer with respect to the matters contained in this Contract and supersedes all prior oral or written representations and agreements. This Contract may only be modified by a written contract amendment issued by Buyer. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, Buyer explicitly reserves, and this Contract will not constitute a waiver or release of, any rights and claims against Seller arising out of, or relating to, any fraud or duress in connection with the formation of this Contract or any breach or anticipatory breach of any previously existing contract between Buyer and Seller (whether or not such previously existing contract related to the same or similar goods or subject matter as this Contract). All payments by Buyer to Seller under this Contract are without prejudice to Buyer's claims, rights, or remedies.

30. TRANSLATIONS

Buyer may provide various translated versions of these General Terms and Conditions for informational purposes only. However, the original English language version of these General Terms and Conditions will apply in the event of any disagreement over the meaning or construction of any provisions of these General Terms and Conditions.